

Canonical and semicanonical bases

(Journées Jacques Alev, Reims)

Bernard Leclerc

28 novembre 2008

Overview

Overview

- Lusztig, Kashiwara (1990):

Overview

- Lusztig, Kashiwara (1990): **canonical** basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.

Overview

- Lusztig, Kashiwara (1990): **canonical** basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Lusztig, (2000):

Overview

- Lusztig, Kashiwara (1990): **canonical** basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Lusztig, (2000): **semicanonical** basis of $U(\mathfrak{n})$.

Overview

- Lusztig, Kashiwara (1990): **canonical** basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Lusztig, (2000): **semicanonical** basis of $U(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Sherman-Zelevinsky (2004):

Overview

- Lusztig, Kashiwara (1990): **canonical** basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Lusztig, (2000): **semicanonical** basis of $U(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Sherman-Zelevinsky (2004): **canonical** basis of rank 2 cluster algebras of finite and affine type.

Overview

- Lusztig, Kashiwara (1990): **canonical** basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Lusztig, (2000): **semicanonical** basis of $U(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Sherman-Zelevinsky (2004): **canonical** basis of rank 2 cluster algebras of finite and affine type.
- Caldero-Zelevinsky, (2006):

Overview

- Lusztig, Kashiwara (1990): **canonical** basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Lusztig, (2000): **semicanonical** basis of $U(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Sherman-Zelevinsky (2004): **canonical** basis of rank 2 cluster algebras of finite and affine type.
- Caldero-Zelevinsky, (2006): **semicanonical** basis of rank 2 cluster algebras of affine type.

Overview

- Lusztig, Kashiwara (1990): **canonical** basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Lusztig, (2000): **semicanonical** basis of $U(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Sherman-Zelevinsky (2004): **canonical** basis of rank 2 cluster algebras of finite and affine type.
- Caldero-Zelevinsky, (2006): **semicanonical** basis of rank 2 cluster algebras of affine type.

Problem

Compare these bases.

Algebras

The algebra \mathcal{A}

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Definition

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Definition

\mathcal{A} , algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Definition

\mathcal{A} , algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$

- Generators : u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Definition

\mathcal{A} , algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$

- Generators : u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3
- Relations :

$$u_i u_{i+1} = q^{-2} u_{i+1} u_i, \quad (0 \leq i \leq 2),$$

$$u_i u_{i+2} = q^{-2} u_{i+2} u_i + (q^{-2} - 1) u_{i+1}^2, \quad (0 \leq i \leq 1),$$

$$u_i u_{i+3} = q^{-2} u_{i+3} u_i + (q^{-4} - 1) u_{i+2} u_{i+1}, \quad (i = 0).$$

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Definition

\mathcal{A} , algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$

- Generators : u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3
- Relations :

$$u_i u_{i+1} = q^{-2} u_{i+1} u_i, \quad (0 \leq i \leq 2),$$

$$u_i u_{i+2} = q^{-2} u_{i+2} u_i + (q^{-2} - 1) u_{i+1}^2, \quad (0 \leq i \leq 1),$$

$$u_i u_{i+3} = q^{-2} u_{i+3} u_i + (q^{-4} - 1) u_{i+2} u_{i+1}, \quad (i = 0).$$

Standard monomials

$$u[\mathbf{a}] := u_3^{a_3} u_2^{a_2} u_1^{a_1} u_0^{a_0}, \quad (\mathbf{a} = (a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0) \in \mathbb{N}^4).$$

form a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -basis of \mathcal{A} .

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Rescaling

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Rescaling :

$$E[\mathbf{a}] := q^{b(\mathbf{a})} u[\mathbf{a}],$$

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Rescaling :

$$E[\mathbf{a}] := q^{b(\mathbf{a})} u[\mathbf{a}], \quad b(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{a_i}{2}.$$

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Rescaling :

$$E[\mathbf{a}] := q^{b(\mathbf{a})} u[\mathbf{a}], \quad b(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{a_i}{2}.$$

Definition

$\{E[\mathbf{a}] \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4\}$ is the dual **PBW basis** of \mathcal{A} .

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Special elements

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Special elements :

$$p_0 := u_2 u_0 - q^2 u_1^2 = E[0, 1, 0, 1] - qE[0, 0, 2, 0],$$

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Special elements :

$$p_0 := u_2 u_0 - q^2 u_1^2 = E[0, 1, 0, 1] - qE[0, 0, 2, 0],$$

$$p_1 := u_3 u_1 - q^2 u_2^2 = E[1, 0, 1, 0] - qE[0, 2, 0, 0].$$

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Special elements :

$$p_0 := u_2 u_0 - q^2 u_1^2 = E[0, 1, 0, 1] - qE[0, 0, 2, 0],$$

$$p_1 := u_3 u_1 - q^2 u_2^2 = E[1, 0, 1, 0] - qE[0, 2, 0, 0].$$

Lemma

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Special elements :

$$p_0 := u_2 u_0 - q^2 u_1^2 = E[0, 1, 0, 1] - qE[0, 0, 2, 0],$$

$$p_1 := u_3 u_1 - q^2 u_2^2 = E[1, 0, 1, 0] - qE[0, 2, 0, 0].$$

Lemma

p_0 and p_1 are *q-central*

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Special elements :

$$p_0 := u_2 u_0 - q^2 u_1^2 = E[0, 1, 0, 1] - qE[0, 0, 2, 0],$$

$$p_1 := u_3 u_1 - q^2 u_2^2 = E[1, 0, 1, 0] - qE[0, 2, 0, 0].$$

Lemma

p_0 and p_1 are *q-central* :

$$p_0 u_0 = q^2 u_0 p_0, \quad p_0 u_1 = u_1 p_0, \quad p_0 u_2 = q^{-2} u_2 p_0, \quad p_0 u_3 = q^{-4} u_3 p_0,$$

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Special elements :

$$p_0 := u_2 u_0 - q^2 u_1^2 = E[0, 1, 0, 1] - qE[0, 0, 2, 0],$$

$$p_1 := u_3 u_1 - q^2 u_2^2 = E[1, 0, 1, 0] - qE[0, 2, 0, 0].$$

Lemma

p_0 and p_1 are *q-central* :

$$p_0 u_0 = q^2 u_0 p_0, \quad p_0 u_1 = u_1 p_0, \quad p_0 u_2 = q^{-2} u_2 p_0, \quad p_0 u_3 = q^{-4} u_3 p_0,$$

$$p_1 u_0 = q^4 u_0 p_1, \quad p_1 u_1 = q^2 u_1 p_1, \quad p_1 u_2 = u_2 p_1, \quad p_1 u_3 = q^{-2} u_3 p_1.$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

The algebra \mathcal{A}

Integral form

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Integral form :

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] u[\mathbf{a}]$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Integral form :

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] u[\mathbf{a}] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] E[\mathbf{a}].$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Integral form :

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] u[\mathbf{a}] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] E[\mathbf{a}].$$

Specialization $q \mapsto 1$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Integral form :

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] u[\mathbf{a}] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] E[\mathbf{a}].$$

Specialization $q \mapsto 1$:

$$\underline{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Integral form :

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] u[\mathbf{a}] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] E[\mathbf{a}].$$

Specialization $q \mapsto 1$:

$$\underline{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3],$$

where $x_i = 1 \otimes u_i$.

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Integral form :

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] u[\mathbf{a}] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4} \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] E[\mathbf{a}].$$

Specialization $q \mapsto 1$:

$$\underline{\mathcal{A}} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3],$$

where $x_i = 1 \otimes u_i$. Set

$$f_0 := 1 \otimes p_0 = x_2 x_0 - x_1^2,$$

$$f_1 := 1 \otimes p_1 = x_3 x_1 - x_2^2.$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition

$\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a *cluster algebra*

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition

$\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a *cluster algebra* with initial seed $((x_1, x_2, f_0, f_1), B)$,

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition

$\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a *cluster algebra* with initial seed $((x_1, x_2, f_0, f_1), B)$, where f_0 and f_1 are frozen variables.

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition

$\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a *cluster algebra* with initial seed $((x_1, x_2, f_0, f_1), B)$, where f_0 and f_1 are frozen variables.

$$x_3 = \frac{x_2^2 + f_1}{x_1}, \quad x_0 = \frac{x_1^2 + f_0}{x_2}$$

are *cluster variables*.

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition

$\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a *cluster algebra* with initial seed $((x_1, x_2, f_0, f_1), B)$, where f_0 and f_1 are frozen variables.

$$x_3 = \frac{x_2^2 + f_1}{x_1}, \quad x_0 = \frac{x_1^2 + f_0}{x_2}$$

are *cluster variables*. $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ has *affine* cluster type $A_1^{(1)}$.

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Other cluster variables

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Other cluster variables :

$$x_4 := \frac{f_1^2 x_1^2 + f_0 x_1^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_2^2 + f_0 x_2^4}{x_1^2 x_2}$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Other cluster variables :

$$x_4 := \frac{f_1^2 x_1^2 + f_0 x_1^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_2^2 + f_0 x_2^4}{x_1^2 x_2} = x_0 x_3^2 + x_2^3 - 2x_1 x_2 x_3,$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Other cluster variables :

$$x_4 := \frac{f_1^2 x_1^2 + f_0 x_1^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_2^2 + f_0 x_2^4}{x_1^2 x_2} = x_0 x_3^2 + x_2^3 - 2x_1 x_2 x_3,$$

$$x_{-1} := \frac{f_0^2 x_2^2 + f_1 x_2^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_1^2 + f_1 x_1^4}{x_1 x_2^2} = x_0^2 x_3 + x_1^3 - 2x_0 x_1 x_2,$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Other cluster variables :

$$x_4 := \frac{f_1^2 x_1^2 + f_0 x_1^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_2^2 + f_0 x_2^4}{x_1^2 x_2} = x_0 x_3^2 + x_2^3 - 2x_1 x_2 x_3,$$

$$x_{-1} := \frac{f_0^2 x_2^2 + f_1 x_2^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_1^2 + f_1 x_1^4}{x_1 x_2^2} = x_0^2 x_3 + x_1^3 - 2x_0 x_1 x_2,$$

$$x_5 := x_0^2 x_3^3 + x_1^3 x_3^2 + x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 + 2x_0 x_2^3 x_3 - x_1 x_2^4 - 4x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3^2.$$

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Other cluster variables :

$$x_4 := \frac{f_1^2 x_1^2 + f_0 x_1^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_2^2 + f_0 x_2^4}{x_1^2 x_2} = x_0 x_3^2 + x_2^3 - 2x_1 x_2 x_3,$$

$$x_{-1} := \frac{f_0^2 x_2^2 + f_1 x_2^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_1^2 + f_1 x_1^4}{x_1 x_2^2} = x_0^2 x_3 + x_1^3 - 2x_0 x_1 x_2,$$

$$x_5 := x_0^2 x_3^3 + x_1^3 x_3^2 + x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 + 2x_0 x_2^3 x_3 - x_1 x_2^4 - 4x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3^2.$$

$\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ has infinitely many

- cluster variables x_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$),

The algebra $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

Other cluster variables :

$$x_4 := \frac{f_1^2 x_1^2 + f_0 x_1^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_2^2 + f_0 x_2^4}{x_1^2 x_2} = x_0 x_3^2 + x_2^3 - 2x_1 x_2 x_3,$$

$$x_{-1} := \frac{f_0^2 x_2^2 + f_1 x_2^2 + 2f_0 f_1 x_1^2 + f_1 x_1^4}{x_1 x_2^2} = x_0^2 x_3 + x_1^3 - 2x_0 x_1 x_2,$$

$$x_5 := x_0^2 x_3^3 + x_1^3 x_3^2 + x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3 + 2x_0 x_2^3 x_3 - x_1 x_2^4 - 4x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3^2.$$

$\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ has infinitely many

- cluster variables x_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$),
- clusters $\{x_n, x_{n+1}\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Geometric interpretation of \underline{A}

Geometric interpretation of \underline{A}

- G Kac-Moody group of type $A_1^{(1)}$

Geometric interpretation of \underline{A}

- G Kac-Moody group of type $A_1^{(1)}$
- $W = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$ the Weyl group

Geometric interpretation of \underline{A}

- G Kac-Moody group of type $A_1^{(1)}$
- $W = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$ the Weyl group
- $w = s_1 s_0 s_1 s_0$

Geometric interpretation of \underline{A}

- G Kac-Moody group of type $A_1^{(1)}$
- $W = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$ the Weyl group
- $w = s_1 s_0 s_1 s_0$
- $\Phi_w = \{ \alpha > 0 \mid w(\alpha) < 0 \} = \{ \alpha_0, s_0 \alpha_1, s_0 s_1 \alpha_0, s_0 s_1 s_0 \alpha_1 \}$

Geometric interpretation of \underline{A}

- G Kac-Moody group of type $A_1^{(1)}$
- $W = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$ the Weyl group
- $w = s_1 s_0 s_1 s_0$
- $\Phi_w = \{\alpha > 0 \mid w(\alpha) < 0\} = \{\alpha_0, s_0 \alpha_1, s_0 s_1 \alpha_0, s_0 s_1 s_0 \alpha_1\}$
- $N(w) = \langle N_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_w \rangle$, a unipotent subgroup of G of dimension 4

Geometric interpretation of \underline{A}

- G Kac-Moody group of type $A_1^{(1)}$
- $W = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$ the Weyl group
- $w = s_1 s_0 s_1 s_0$
- $\Phi_w = \{\alpha > 0 \mid w(\alpha) < 0\} = \{\alpha_0, s_0 \alpha_1, s_0 s_1 \alpha_0, s_0 s_1 s_0 \alpha_1\}$
- $N(w) = \langle N_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_w \rangle$, a unipotent subgroup of G of dimension 4
- For $v \in W$, $k = 0, 1$, generalized flag minor $\Delta_{v(\varpi_k)} \in \mathbb{C}[G]$

Geometric interpretation of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- G Kac-Moody group of type $A_1^{(1)}$
- $W = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle$ the Weyl group
- $w = s_1 s_0 s_1 s_0$
- $\Phi_w = \{ \alpha > 0 \mid w(\alpha) < 0 \} = \{ \alpha_0, s_0 \alpha_1, s_0 s_1 \alpha_0, s_0 s_1 s_0 \alpha_1 \}$
- $N(w) = \langle N_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi_w \rangle$, a unipotent subgroup of G of dimension 4
- For $v \in W$, $k = 0, 1$, generalized flag minor $\Delta_{v(\varpi_k)} \in \mathbb{C}[G]$

Proposition (Geiss-L-Schröer)

The assignment

$$x_0 \mapsto \Delta_{s_0(\varpi_0)}, x_1 \mapsto \Delta_{s_0 s_1(\varpi_1)}, f_0 \mapsto \Delta_{s_0 s_1 s_0(\varpi_0)}, f_1 \mapsto \Delta_{s_0 s_1 s_0 s_1(\varpi_1)},$$

extends to an isomorphism $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N(w)]$.

Interpretations of \mathcal{A} (1)

Interpretations of \mathcal{A} (1)

Fock-Goncharov, Berenstein-Zelevinsky: **Quantum** cluster algebras.

Interpretations of \mathcal{A} (1)

Fock-Goncharov, Berenstein-Zelevinsky: **Quantum** cluster algebras.

Proposition

\mathcal{A} is the **quantum** cluster algebra with initial seed $((u_1, u_2, p_0, p_1), B, L)$, where

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Interpretations of \mathcal{A} (2)

Interpretations of \mathcal{A} (2)

- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ as Hopf algebras.

Interpretations of \mathcal{A} (2)

- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ as Hopf algebras.
- Drinfeld, Jimbo: $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **quantum** deformation $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.

Interpretations of \mathcal{A} (2)

- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ as Hopf algebras.
- Drinfeld, Jimbo: $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **quantum** deformation $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Hence, $\mathbb{C}[N]$ has a **quantum** deformation $\mathbb{C}_q[N]$.

Interpretations of \mathcal{A} (2)

- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ as Hopf algebras.
- Drinfeld, Jimbo: $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **quantum** deformation $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Hence, $\mathbb{C}[N]$ has a **quantum** deformation $\mathbb{C}_q[N]$.
- $\mathbb{C}[N(w)] \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$ (where $N'(w) = N \cap (w^{-1}Nw)$), the polynomial **subalgebra** of $\mathbb{C}[N]$ with generators

$$\Delta_{s_0(\varpi_0)}, \Delta_{s_0 s_1(\varpi_1)}, \Delta_{s_0(\varpi_0), s_1 s_0 s_0(\varpi_0)}, \Delta_{s_0 s_1(\varpi_1), s_0 s_1 s_0 s_1(\varpi_1)}.$$

Interpretations of \mathcal{A} (2)

- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ as Hopf algebras.
- Drinfeld, Jimbo: $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **quantum** deformation $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.
- Hence, $\mathbb{C}[N]$ has a **quantum** deformation $\mathbb{C}_q[N]$.
- $\mathbb{C}[N(w)] \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$ (where $N'(w) = N \cap (w^{-1}Nw)$), the polynomial **subalgebra** of $\mathbb{C}[N]$ with generators

$$\Delta_{s_0(\varpi_0)}, \Delta_{s_0 s_1(\varpi_1)}, \Delta_{s_0(\varpi_0), s_1 s_0 s_0(\varpi_0)}, \Delta_{s_0 s_1(\varpi_1), s_0 s_1 s_0 s_1(\varpi_1)}.$$

Proposition

$\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{C}_q[N(w)]$, the *subalgebra* of $\mathbb{C}_q[N]$ generated by

$$u_0 = \Delta_{s_0(\varpi_0)}^q, \quad u_1 = \Delta_{s_0 s_1(\varpi_1)}^q,$$

$$u_2 = \Delta_{s_0(\varpi_0), s_1 s_0 s_0(\varpi_0)}^q, \quad u_3 = \Delta_{s_0 s_1(\varpi_1), s_0 s_1 s_0 s_1(\varpi_1)}^q.$$

Bases

Canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Canonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- Cluster monomials: $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Canonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- Cluster monomials: $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.

Canonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- Cluster monomials: $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.
- C_k , k th (normalized) Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.

Canonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- **Cluster monomials:** $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.
- C_k , k th (normalized) Chebyshev polynomial of the **first kind**.
- $\underline{\mathcal{B}} := \{\text{cluster monomials}\} \cup \{(f_0 f_1)^{k/2} C_k(z(f_0 f_1)^{-1/2}) \mid k \geq 1\}$.

Canonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- **Cluster monomials:** $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.
- C_k , k th (normalized) Chebyshev polynomial of the **first kind**.
- $\underline{\mathcal{B}} := \{\text{cluster monomials}\} \cup \{(f_0 f_1)^{k/2} C_k(z(f_0 f_1)^{-1/2}) \mid k \geq 1\}$.

Theorem (Sherman-Zelevinsky)

$\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$, characterized by positivity properties.

Canonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- **Cluster monomials:** $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.
- C_k , k th (normalized) Chebyshev polynomial of the **first kind**.
- $\underline{\mathcal{B}} := \{\text{cluster monomials}\} \cup \{(f_0 f_1)^{k/2} C_k(z(f_0 f_1)^{-1/2}) \mid k \geq 1\}$.

Theorem (Sherman-Zelevinsky)

$\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$, characterized by positivity properties.

Example: $C_2(t) = t^2 - 2$, hence $z^2 - 2f_0 f_1 \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}$.

Semicanonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- Cluster monomials: $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- Cluster monomials: $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.

Semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- Cluster monomials: $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.
- S_k , k th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.

Semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- Cluster monomials: $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.
- S_k , k th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.
- $\underline{\mathcal{S}} := \{\text{cluster monomials}\} \cup \{(f_0 f_1)^{k/2} S_k(z (f_0 f_1)^{-1/2}) \mid k \geq 1\}$

Semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- Cluster monomials: $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.
- S_k , k th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.
- $\underline{\mathcal{S}} := \{\text{cluster monomials}\} \cup \{(f_0 f_1)^{k/2} S_k(z (f_0 f_1)^{-1/2}) \mid k \geq 1\}$

Theorem (Caldero-Zelevinsky)

$\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$, coming from the representation theory of the Kronecker quiver.

Semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- Cluster monomials: $f_0^{k_0} f_1^{k_1} x_n^{a_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}}$, $n, k_0, k_1, a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $z := x_3 x_0 - x_2 x_1$.
- S_k , k th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.
- $\underline{\mathcal{S}} := \{\text{cluster monomials}\} \cup \{(f_0 f_1)^{k/2} S_k(z(f_0 f_1)^{-1/2}) \mid k \geq 1\}$

Theorem (Caldero-Zelevinsky)

$\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$, coming from the representation theory of the Kronecker quiver.

Example: $S_2(t) = t^2 - 1$, hence $z^2 - f_0 f_1 \in \underline{\mathcal{S}}$.

Dual semicanonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Dual semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **semicanonical** basis Σ (Lusztig).

Dual semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **semicanonical** basis Σ (Lusztig).
- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ has a **dual semicanonical** basis Σ^* coming from generic representations of the preprojective algebra.

Dual semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **semicanonical** basis Σ (Lusztig).
- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ has a **dual semicanonical** basis Σ^* coming from generic representations of the preprojective algebra.
- $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N(w)] \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)} \subset \mathbb{C}[N]$.

Dual semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **semicanonical** basis Σ (Lusztig).
- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ has a **dual semicanonical** basis Σ^* coming from generic representations of the preprojective algebra.
- $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N(w)] \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)} \subset \mathbb{C}[N]$.

Theorem (Geiss-L-Schröer)

Dual semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **semicanonical** basis Σ (Lusztig).
- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ has a **dual semicanonical** basis Σ^* coming from generic representations of the preprojective algebra.
- $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N(w)] \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)} \subset \mathbb{C}[N]$.

Theorem (Geiss-L-Schröer)

- $\Sigma^* \cap \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$.

Dual semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **semicanonical** basis Σ (Lusztig).
- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ has a **dual semicanonical** basis Σ^* coming from generic representations of the preprojective algebra.
- $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N(w)] \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)} \subset \mathbb{C}[N]$.

Theorem (Geiss-L-Schröer)

- $\Sigma^* \cap \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$.
- Via $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$, get a basis $\underline{\Sigma}^*$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ containing the cluster monomials.

Dual semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **semicanonical** basis Σ (Lusztig).
- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ has a **dual semicanonical** basis Σ^* coming from generic representations of the preprojective algebra.
- $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N(w)] \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)} \subset \mathbb{C}[N]$.

Theorem (Geiss-L-Schröer)

- $\Sigma^* \cap \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$.
 - Via $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$, get a basis $\underline{\Sigma}^*$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ containing the cluster monomials.
-
- Can show that $\underline{\Sigma}^* = \{\text{cluster monomials}\} \cup \{z^k \mid k \geq 1\}$.

Dual semicanonical basis of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

- $U(\mathfrak{n})$ has a **semicanonical** basis Σ (Lusztig).
- $\mathbb{C}[N] \cong U(\mathfrak{n})^*$ has a **dual semicanonical** basis Σ^* coming from generic representations of the preprojective algebra.
- $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N(w)] \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)} \subset \mathbb{C}[N]$.

Theorem (Geiss-L-Schröer)

- $\Sigma^* \cap \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of $\mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$.
 - Via $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \cong \mathbb{C}[N]^{N'(w)}$, get a basis $\underline{\Sigma}^*$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ containing the cluster monomials.
-
- Can show that $\underline{\Sigma}^* = \{\text{cluster monomials}\} \cup \{z^k \mid k \geq 1\}$.
 - **Remark** : This is the same as Dupont's basis coming from generic representations of the Kronecker quiver.

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Definition

- Let σ be the anti-automorphism of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\sigma(q) = q^{-1}, \quad \sigma(u_i) = q^{2i} u_i, \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Definition

- Let σ be the anti-automorphism of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\sigma(q) = q^{-1}, \quad \sigma(u_i) = q^{2i} u_i, \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

- For $\mathbf{a} = (a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0) \in \mathbb{N}^4$, put

$$N(\mathbf{a}) := (a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0)^2 - (7a_3 + 5a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0).$$

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Definition

- Let σ be the anti-automorphism of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\sigma(q) = q^{-1}, \quad \sigma(u_i) = q^{2i} u_i, \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

- For $\mathbf{a} = (a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0) \in \mathbb{N}^4$, put

$$N(\mathbf{a}) := (a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0)^2 - (7a_3 + 5a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0).$$

- $(\mathbf{a} \triangleleft \mathbf{b}) \iff (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}(-1, 2, -1, 0) \oplus \mathbb{N}(0, -1, 2, -1)).$

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Definition

- Let σ be the anti-automorphism of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\sigma(q) = q^{-1}, \quad \sigma(u_i) = q^{2i} u_i, \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

- For $\mathbf{a} = (a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0) \in \mathbb{N}^4$, put

$$N(\mathbf{a}) := (a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0)^2 - (7a_3 + 5a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0).$$

- $(\mathbf{a} \triangleleft \mathbf{b}) \iff (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}(-1, 2, -1, 0) \oplus \mathbb{N}(0, -1, 2, -1)).$
- $S(\mathbf{a}) := \{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^4 \mid \mathbf{a} \triangleleft \mathbf{b} \text{ and } \mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{a}\}$ is finite.

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Theorem

There is a unique $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -basis $\mathcal{B} = \{B[\mathbf{a}] \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying

- (i) $B[\mathbf{a}] - E[\mathbf{a}] \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{a})} q\mathbb{Z}[q]E[\mathbf{b}]$,
- (ii) $\sigma(B[\mathbf{a}]) = q^{-N(\mathbf{a})}B[\mathbf{a}]$.

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Theorem

There is a unique $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -basis $\mathcal{B} = \{B[\mathbf{a}] \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying

$$(i) \quad B[\mathbf{a}] - E[\mathbf{a}] \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{a})} q\mathbb{Z}[q]E[\mathbf{b}],$$

$$(ii) \quad \sigma(B[\mathbf{a}]) = q^{-N(\mathbf{a})}B[\mathbf{a}].$$

Examples: $B[0, 0, 0, 1] = u_0$, $B[0, 0, 1, 0] = u_1$, $B[0, 1, 0, 0] = u_2$,

$$B[1, 0, 0, 0] = u_3, \quad B[0, 1, 0, 1] = p_0, \quad B[1, 0, 1, 0] = p_1.$$

$$B[2, 0, 0, 1] = E[2, 0, 0, 1] - (q + q^3)E[1, 1, 1, 0] + q^2E[0, 3, 0, 0].$$

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Theorem

There is a unique $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -basis $\mathcal{B} = \{B[\mathbf{a}] \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^4\}$ of \mathcal{A} satisfying

$$(i) \quad B[\mathbf{a}] - E[\mathbf{a}] \in \bigoplus_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{a})} q\mathbb{Z}[q]E[\mathbf{b}],$$

$$(ii) \quad \sigma(B[\mathbf{a}]) = q^{-N(\mathbf{a})} B[\mathbf{a}].$$

Examples: $B[0, 0, 0, 1] = u_0$, $B[0, 0, 1, 0] = u_1$, $B[0, 1, 0, 0] = u_2$,

$$B[1, 0, 0, 0] = u_3, \quad B[0, 1, 0, 1] = p_0, \quad B[1, 0, 1, 0] = p_1.$$

$$B[2, 0, 0, 1] = E[2, 0, 0, 1] - (q + q^3)E[1, 1, 1, 0] + q^2E[0, 3, 0, 0].$$

Remark: Via $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_q[N]$, \mathcal{B} is a subset of the dual of Lusztig's canonical basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$.

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Proposition

- For $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$B[0, 0, a_1, a_0] = E[0, 0, a_1, a_0],$$

$$B[0, a_2, a_1, 0] = E[0, a_2, a_1, 0],$$

$$B[a_3, a_2, 0, 0] = E[a_3, a_2, 0, 0].$$

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Proposition

- For $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$B[0, 0, a_1, a_0] = E[0, 0, a_1, a_0],$$

$$B[0, a_2, a_1, 0] = E[0, a_2, a_1, 0],$$

$$B[a_3, a_2, 0, 0] = E[a_3, a_2, 0, 0].$$

- For $\mathbf{a} = [a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0] \in \mathbb{N}^4$,

$$\begin{aligned} B[\mathbf{a}]p_0 &= q^{-(a_2+2a_1+3a_0)} B[a_3, a_2 + 1, a_1, a_0 + 1] \\ &= q^{2(2a_3+a_2-a_0)} p_0 B[\mathbf{a}], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 B[\mathbf{a}] &= q^{-(3a_3+2a_2+a_1)} B[a_3 + 1, a_2, a_1 + 1, a_0] \\ &= q^{2(-a_3+a_1+2a_0)} B[\mathbf{a}]p_1. \end{aligned}$$

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Proposition implies:

- every element of \mathcal{B} is product of a monomial in q, p_0, p_1 times an element of the form:

$$B[0, 0, a_1, a_0], \quad B[0, a_2, a_1, 0], \quad B[a_3, a_2, 0, 0],$$

$$B[a_3, 0, 0, a_0].$$

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Proposition implies:

- every element of \mathcal{B} is product of a monomial in q, p_0, p_1 times an element of the form:

$$B[0, 0, a_1, a_0], \quad B[0, a_2, a_1, 0], \quad B[a_3, a_2, 0, 0],$$

$$B[a_3, 0, 0, a_0].$$

- The first three types are the **quantum cluster monomials** supported on $\{u_0, u_1\}$, $\{u_1, u_2\}$, $\{u_2, u_3\}$.

Dual canonical basis of \mathcal{A}

Proposition implies:

- every element of \mathcal{B} is product of a monomial in q, p_0, p_1 times an element of the form:

$$B[0, 0, a_1, a_0], \quad B[0, a_2, a_1, 0], \quad B[a_3, a_2, 0, 0],$$

$$B[a_3, 0, 0, a_0].$$

- The first three types are the **quantum cluster monomials** supported on $\{u_0, u_1\}$, $\{u_1, u_2\}$, $\{u_2, u_3\}$.
- We are left with type $B[a_3, 0, 0, a_0]$.

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Define

$$Z := B[1, 0, 0, 1] = E[1, 0, 0, 1] - q^2 E[0, 1, 1, 0] = u_3 u_0 - q^2 u_2 u_1.$$

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Define

$$Z := B[1, 0, 0, 1] = E[1, 0, 0, 1] - q^2 E[0, 1, 1, 0] = u_3 u_0 - q^2 u_2 u_1.$$

Then

$$q^4 Z^2 = B[2, 0, 0, 2] + B[1, 1, 1, 1] = B[2, 0, 0, 2] + q^2 p_0 p_1.$$

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Define

$$Z := B[1, 0, 0, 1] = E[1, 0, 0, 1] - q^2 E[0, 1, 1, 0] = u_3 u_0 - q^2 u_2 u_1.$$

Then

$$q^4 Z^2 = B[2, 0, 0, 2] + B[1, 1, 1, 1] = B[2, 0, 0, 2] + q^2 p_0 p_1.$$

Hence

$$B[2, 0, 0, 2] = q^4 Z^2 - q^2 p_0 p_1 \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Define

$$Z := B[1, 0, 0, 1] = E[1, 0, 0, 1] - q^2 E[0, 1, 1, 0] = u_3 u_0 - q^2 u_2 u_1.$$

Then

$$q^4 Z^2 = B[2, 0, 0, 2] + B[1, 1, 1, 1] = B[2, 0, 0, 2] + q^2 p_0 p_1.$$

Hence

$$B[2, 0, 0, 2] = q^4 Z^2 - q^2 p_0 p_1 \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Specializing $q \mapsto 1$, we get $z^2 - f_0 f_1 \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}$.

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Note: $z^2 - f_0 f_1 \notin \mathcal{B}$.

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Note: $z^2 - f_0 f_1 \notin \mathcal{B}$.

Corollary

*The $q \mapsto 1$ specialization of Lusztig-Kashiwara's dual canonical basis \mathcal{B} is **not equal** to the Sherman-Zelevinsky canonical basis \mathcal{B} .*

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Note: $z^2 - f_0 f_1 \notin \mathcal{B}$.

Corollary

The $q \mapsto 1$ specialization of Lusztig-Kashiwara's dual canonical basis \mathcal{B} is *not equal* to the Sherman-Zelevinsky canonical basis \mathcal{B} .

Conjecture

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$q^{4k} \mathcal{B}[1, 0, 0, 1] \mathcal{B}[k, 0, 0, k] = \mathcal{B}[k + 1, 0, 0, k + 1] + \mathcal{B}[k, 1, 1, k].$$

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Note: $z^2 - f_0 f_1 \notin \mathcal{B}$.

Corollary

The $q \mapsto 1$ specialization of Lusztig-Kashiwara's dual canonical basis \mathcal{B} is *not equal* to the Sherman-Zelevinsky canonical basis \mathcal{B} .

Conjecture

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$q^{4k} \mathcal{B}[1, 0, 0, 1] \mathcal{B}[k, 0, 0, k] = \mathcal{B}[k + 1, 0, 0, k + 1] + \mathcal{B}[k, 1, 1, k].$$

- Computer checked for $k \leq 5$.

Imaginary elements of \mathcal{B}

Note: $z^2 - f_0 f_1 \notin \mathcal{B}$.

Corollary

The $q \mapsto 1$ specialization of Lusztig-Kashiwara's dual canonical basis \mathcal{B} is *not equal* to the Sherman-Zelevinsky canonical basis \mathcal{B} .

Conjecture

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$q^{4k} \mathcal{B}[1, 0, 0, 1] \mathcal{B}[k, 0, 0, k] = \mathcal{B}[k + 1, 0, 0, k + 1] + \mathcal{B}[k, 1, 1, k].$$

- Computer checked for $k \leq 5$.
- \implies the $q \mapsto 1$ specialization of $\mathcal{B}[k, 0, 0, k]$ is given by Chebyshev polynomial of **second kind**.

Real elements of \mathcal{B}

Real elements of \mathcal{B}

Can check

$$\begin{aligned} B[2, 0, 0, 1]B[0, 1, 0, 0] &= q^{-3}(qB[2, 1, 0, 1] + B[2, 0, 2, 0]), \\ B[0, 0, 1, 0]B[1, 0, 0, 2] &= q^{-3}(qB[1, 0, 1, 2] + B[0, 2, 0, 2]). \end{aligned}$$

Real elements of \mathcal{B}

Can check

$$\begin{aligned} B[2, 0, 0, 1]B[0, 1, 0, 0] &= q^{-3}(qB[2, 1, 0, 1] + B[2, 0, 2, 0]), \\ B[0, 0, 1, 0]B[1, 0, 0, 2] &= q^{-3}(qB[1, 0, 1, 2] + B[0, 2, 0, 2]). \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture

For $k \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B[k+1, 0, 0, k]B[k-1, 0, 0, k-2] &= q^{-4k(k-1)}(qB[2k, 0, 0, 2k-2] \\ &\quad + B[k+1, k-2, k+1, k-2]), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B[k-2, 0, 0, k-1]B[k, 0, 0, k+1] &= q^{-4k(k-1)}(qB[2k-2, 0, 0, 2k] \\ &\quad + B[k-2, k+1, k-2, k+1]). \end{aligned}$$

Real elements of \mathcal{B}

Real elements of \mathcal{B}

- Computer checked for $k \leq 4$.

Real elements of \mathcal{B}

- Computer checked for $k \leq 4$.
- $\implies u_1, u_2, B[k+1, 0, 0, k], B[k, 0, 0, k+1]$ ($k \geq 0$) are the quantum cluster variables.

Real elements of \mathcal{B}

- Computer checked for $k \leq 4$.
- $\implies u_1, u_2, B[k+1, 0, 0, k], B[k, 0, 0, k+1]$ ($k \geq 0$) are the quantum cluster variables.

Conjecture

If $a_3 \neq a_0$ then $B[a_3, 0, 0, a_0]$ is a quantum cluster monomial.

Conclusion

Conclusion

Conjecture

When $q \mapsto 1$, Lusztig-Kashiwara's *dual canonical* basis \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} specializes to Caldero-Zelevinsky's *semicanonical* basis $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$.

Conclusion

Conjecture

When $q \mapsto 1$, Lusztig-Kashiwara's *dual canonical* basis \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} specializes to Caldero-Zelevinsky's *semicanonical* basis $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$.

- Can define \mathcal{B} for **non affine** rank 2 quantum cluster algebras, e.g.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conclusion

Conjecture

When $q \mapsto 1$, Lusztig-Kashiwara's *dual canonical* basis \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} specializes to Caldero-Zelevinsky's *semicanonical* basis $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$.

- Can define \mathcal{B} for *non affine* rank 2 quantum cluster algebras, e.g.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Can we describe the $q \mapsto 1$ specialization of \mathcal{B} in terms of representation theory of quivers, or preprojective algebras?

Conclusion

Conjecture

When $q \mapsto 1$, Lusztig-Kashiwara's *dual canonical basis* \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} specializes to Caldero-Zelevinsky's *semicanonical basis* $\underline{\mathcal{S}}$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$.

- Can define \mathcal{B} for **non affine** rank 2 quantum cluster algebras, e.g.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Can we describe the $q \mapsto 1$ specialization of \mathcal{B} in terms of representation theory of quivers, or preprojective algebras ?
- What is the **positive cone** spanned by $\mathcal{B}|_{q=1}$?