POLYNOMIAL REALIZATIONS OF SOME COMBINATORIAL HOPF ALGEBRAS

LOÏC FOISSY, JEAN-CHRISTOPHE NOVELLI AND JEAN-YVES THIBON

ABSTRACT. We construct explicit polynomial realizations of some combinatorial Hopf algebras based on various kind of trees or forests, and some more general classes of graphs, ranging from the Connes-Kreimer algebra to an algebra of labelled forests isomorphic to the Hopf algebra of parking functions, and to a new noncommutative algebra based on endofunctions admitting many interesting subalgebras and quotients.

CONTENTS

1

1. INTRODUCTION

One knows many examples of Hopf algebras based on various kinds of trees or forests [3, 6, 7, 20, 17, 18, 24]. Such algebras are increasingly popular, mainly because of their application to renormalization problems in quantum field theory [19, 3], but some of them occured earlier in combinatorics [12, 13] or in numerical analysis [14].

The simplest one, generally known as the Connes-Kreimer algebra [3], is a commutative algebra freely generated by rooted trees, endowed with a coproduct defined in terms of *admissible cuts*.

This is a basic example of a *combinatorial Hopf algebra*, a heuristic notion encompassing a large class of graded connected Hopf algebras based on combinatorial objects, endowed with some extra structure such as distinguished bases, scalar products or degree-preserving products (called internal products). A distinctive feature of combinatorial Hopf algebras is that products and coproducts in distinguished bases are given by combinatorial algorithms. However, in many cases, the basis elements can be realized as polynomials (commutative or not) in some auxiliary set of variables, in such a way that the product of the algebra becomes the usual product of polynomials, and the coproduct a simple trick of "doubling the variables" (see, *e.g.*, [4, 25, 24] for detailed examples).

Such a construction was not known for the Connes-Kreimer algebra, despite the fact that it is one of the simplest examples. The present paper provides such a construction, which in turn will be obtained by specialization of a new realization of a Hopf algebra of labelled forests [10], itself isomorphic to the (dual) Hopf algebra of parking functions [25]. This provides as well realizations of the noncommutative Connes-Kreimer algebra (isomorphic to the Loday-Ronco algebra of planar binary trees) [6, 7, 20], and new morphisms between these algebras and other combinatorial Hopf algebras.

Previously known realizations were defined in terms of an auxiliary alphabet A, endowed with some ordering. A given combinatorial Hopf algebra is then realized by interpreting the elements of some basis as the sum of all words over A sharing some specific property (*e.g.*, descent set, standardization, packing, parkization), the product is then the ordinary product of polynomials, and the coproduct is the *ordinal sum* $A + B$ of two isomorphic copies of the ordered set A.

As we shall see, it is possible to extend this approach to the algebras of the Connes-Kreimer family, provided that one replaces the order on A by another kind of binary relation, for which an analog of the ordinal sum can be defined. This construction works for a slightly more general class of graphs, and we can obtain for example a new Hopf algebra based on endofunctions, regarded as a generalization of labelled forests where the roots can be replaced by cycles.

2. ROOTED TREES AND ROOTED FORESTS

In all the paper, $\mathbb{K}$ will denote a field of characteristic zero.

2.1. **Reminders on rooted trees and forests.** A *rooted tree* is a finite tree with a distinguished vertex called the root. A rooted forest is a finite graph $\mathcal{F}$ such that any connected component of $\mathcal{F}$ is a rooted tree. The set of vertices of the rooted forest $\mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $V(\mathcal{F})$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a rooted forest. The edges of $\mathcal{F}$ are oriented downwards (from the leaves to the roots). If $v, w \in V(\mathcal{F})$, with $v \neq w$, we shall write $v \rightarrow w$ if there is an edge in $\mathcal{F}$ from v to w, and $v \twoheadrightarrow w$ if there is an oriented path from v to w in $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{v}$ be a subset of $V(\mathcal{F})$. We shall say that $\boldsymbol{v}$ is an *admissible cut* of $\mathcal{F}$, and we shall write $\boldsymbol{v} \models V(\mathcal{F})$, if $\boldsymbol{v}$ is totally disconnected, that is to say that there is no path from v to w in $\mathcal{F}$ for any pair (v, w) of distinct elements of $\boldsymbol{v}$. If $\boldsymbol{v} \models V(\mathcal{F})$, we denote by $\mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}$ the rooted subforest of $\mathcal{F}$ obtained by keeping only the vertices above $\boldsymbol{v}$, that is to say $\{w \in V(\mathcal{F}), \exists v \in \boldsymbol{v}, w \twoheadrightarrow v\} \cup \boldsymbol{v}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Roo}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}$ the rooted subforest obtained by keeping the other vertices.

2.2. **The Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebras.** Connes and Kreimer proved in [3] that the vector space $\mathbf{H}$ spanned by rooted forests can be turned into a Hopf algebra. Its product is given by the disjoint union of rooted forests, and the coproduct is defined for any rooted forest $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$(1) \qquad \Delta(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{v} \models V(\mathcal{F})} \mathrm{Roo}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}.$$

For example,

$$(2) \quad \Delta\left(\mathsf{V}\!\!\!\!\raisebox{2pt}{\tiny$\shortmid$}\right) = \mathsf{V}\!\!\!\!\raisebox{2pt}{\tiny$\shortmid$} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{V}\!\!\!\!\raisebox{2pt}{\tiny$\shortmid$} + \mathsf{V} \otimes . + \mathsf{l} \otimes \mathsf{l} + \mathsf{l}\!\!\raisebox{2pt}{\tiny$\shortmid$} \otimes . + \mathsf{l} \otimes .. + . \otimes \mathsf{l}..$$

This Hopf algebra is commutative and noncocommutative. Its dual is the universal enveloping algebra of the free pre-Lie algebra on one generator [2].

A similar construction can be done on plane forests. The resulting noncommutative, noncocommutative Hopf algebra $\mathbf{H}_{NCK}$ is called the noncommutative Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra [6, 7]. It is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra of planar binary trees [20].

3. Ordered rooted trees and permutations

We recall here a generalization of the construction of product and the coproduct of $\mathbf{H}$ to the space spanned by ordered rooted forests introduced in [10].

3.1. **Hopf algebra of ordered trees.** An *ordered (rooted) forest* is a rooted forest with a total order on the set of its vertices. The set of ordered forests will be denoted by $\mathbf{F}_o$; for all $n \geq 0$, the set of ordered forests with n vertices will be denoted by $\mathbf{F}_o(n)$. An ordered (rooted) tree is a connected ordered forest. The set of ordered trees will be denoted by $\mathbf{T}_o$; for all $n \geq 1$, the set of ordered trees with n vertices will be denoted by $\mathbf{T}_o(n)$. The $\mathbb{K}$-vector space generated by $\mathbf{F}_o$ is denoted by $\mathbf{H}_o$. It is a graded space, the homogeneous component of degree n being $Vect(\mathbf{F}_o(n))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For example,

$$(3) \qquad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_o(1) &= \{ \bullet_1 \}, \\ \mathbf{T}_o(2) &= \{ \, \mathord{!}_1^2 \, , \mathord{!}_2^1 \, \}, \\ \mathbf{T}_o(3) &= \left\{ {}^3\mathrm{V}_1^2 \, , {}^3\mathrm{V}_2^1 \, , {}^2\mathrm{V}_3^1 \, , \mathord{!}_1^3 \, , \mathord{!}_3^2 \, , \mathord{!}_1^1 \, , \mathord{!}_2^1 \, , \mathord{!}_3^1 \, , \mathord{!}_3^2 \, \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \qquad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_o(0) &= \{ 1 \}, \\ \mathbf{F}_o(1) &= \{ \bullet_1 \}, \\ \mathbf{F}_o(2) &= \{ \bullet_1 \bullet_2 , \mathord{!}_1^2 , \mathord{!}_2^1 \, \}, \\ \mathbf{F}_o(3) &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bullet_1 \bullet_2 \bullet_3 , \bullet_1 \mathord{!}_2^3 , \bullet_1 \mathord{!}_3^2 , \bullet_2 \mathord{!}_1^3 , \bullet_2 \mathord{!}_3^1 , \bullet_3 \mathord{!}_1^2 , \bullet_3 \mathord{!}_2^1 , \\ {}^2\mathrm{V}_1^3 , {}^1\mathrm{V}_2^3 , {}^1\mathrm{V}_3^2 , \mathord{!}_1^3 , \mathord{!}_3^2 , \mathord{!}_2^3 , \mathord{!}_2^1 , \mathord{!}_3^1 , \mathord{!}_3^1 \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are two ordered forests, then the rooted forest $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$ is seen as the ordered forest such that, for all $v \in V(\mathcal{F})$, $w \in V(\mathcal{G})$, $v < w$. This defines a noncommutative product on the the set of ordered forests. For example, the product of $\bullet_1$ and $\mathord{!}_1^2$ gives $\bullet_1 \mathord{!}_2^3$, whereas the product of $\mathord{!}_1^2$ and $\bullet_1$ gives $\mathord{!}_1^2 \bullet_3 = \bullet_3 \mathord{!}_1^2$. This product is linearly extended to $\mathbf{H}_o$, which in this way becomes a graded algebra.

The number of ordered forests with n vertices is $(n+1)^{n-1}$, which is also the number of parking functions of length n. By definition, $\mathbf{H}_o$ is free over *irreducible* ordered forests (that is to say ordered forests which cannot be written as the product of two nonempty ordered forests), which are in bijection with *connected* parking functions. For example, here are the connected ordered forests with $k \le 3$ vertices:

$$\bullet_1 , \mathord{!}_1^2 , \mathord{!}_2^1 , \bullet_2 \mathord{!}_1^3 , \bullet_2 \mathord{!}_3^1 , {}^2\mathrm{V}_1^3 , {}^1\mathrm{V}_2^3 , {}^1\mathrm{V}_3^2 , \mathord{!}_1^3 , \mathord{!}_3^2 , \mathord{!}_2^3 , \mathord{!}_2^1 , \mathord{!}_3^1 , \mathord{!}_3^1 .$$

Hence, as an associative algebra $\mathbf{H}_o$ is isomorphic to the Hopf algebra of parking functions **PQSym** introduced in [25].

If $\mathcal{F}$ is an ordered forest, then any subforest of $\mathcal{F}$ is also ordered. In [10], a coproduct $\Delta : \mathbf{H}_o \longmapsto \mathbf{H}_o \otimes \mathbf{H}_o$ on $\mathbf{H}_o$ has been defined in the following way: for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{F}_o$,

$$(5) \qquad \Delta(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{v} \models V(\mathcal{F})} \mathrm{Roo}_{\boldsymbol{v}} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}} \mathcal{F}.$$

As for the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of rooted trees [3], one can prove that this coproduct is coassociative, so $\mathbf{H}_o$ is a graded Hopf algebra. For example,

$$(6) \quad \Delta \left({}^{1}_{4}\mathrm{V}_2^3 \right) = {}^{1}_{4}\mathrm{V}_2^3 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes {}^{1}_{4}\mathrm{V}_2^3 + {}^2\mathrm{V}_1^3 \otimes \bullet_1 + \mathord{!}_1^2 \otimes \mathord{!}_2^1 + \mathord{!}_2^1 \otimes \bullet_1 + \mathord{!}_1^2 \otimes \bullet_1 \bullet_2 + \bullet_1 \otimes \mathord{!}_3^1 \bullet_2 .$$

Theorem 3.1. *As a Hopf algebra, $\mathbf{H}_o$ is isomorphic to the graded dual $\mathbf{PQSym}^*$ of $\mathbf{PQSym}$.*

Note. Actually, **PQSym** is self-dual, but as we shall see, $\mathbf{H}_o$ admits **WQSym** as a natural quotient rather than as a natural subalgebra, which is also the case of $\mathbf{PQSym}^*$.

Proof – We shall only give here the main ideas of the proof, see [8] for more details. Another product, denoted by $\searrow$, is defined on the augmentation ideal of $\mathbf{H}_o$: if $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are two ordered forests, $\mathcal{F} \searrow \mathcal{G}$ is the ordered forest obtained by grafting $\mathcal{G}$ shifted by the number of vertices of $\mathcal{F}$ on the greatest vertex of $\mathcal{F}$. For example,

(7) $$ \begin{smallmatrix}2\\1\end{smallmatrix} \searrow \cdot_1 \cdot_2 = \begin{smallmatrix}3 & 4\\ & 2\\ & 1\end{smallmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{smallmatrix}1\\2\end{smallmatrix} \searrow \cdot_1 \cdot_2 = \begin{smallmatrix}1 & 3 & 4\\ & 2\end{smallmatrix}. $$

This product is associative, and satisfies a certain compatibility with the product of $\mathbf{H}_o$. The coproduct of $\mathbf{H}_o$ also splits into two parts, separating the admissible cuts, according to whether the greatest vertex of $\mathcal{F}$ is in $\mathrm{Roo}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}$ or $\mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}$. These coproducts make the augmentation ideal of $\mathbf{H}_o$ a dendriform coalgebra, and there is a certain compatibility (called duplicial) between each product and each coproduct of $\mathbf{H}_o$, making $\mathbf{H}_o$ what is called in [8] a *Dup-Dend* bialgebra. Moreover, the Hopf algebra $\mathbf{PQSym}^*$ is a *Dup-Dend* bialgebra. A rigidity theorem, similar with the rigidity theorem for bidendriform bialgebra of [9], tells then that a graded, connected *Dup-Dend* bialgebra is free. As a consequence, as $\mathbf{H}_o$ and $\mathbf{PQSym}$ have the same Poincaré-Hilbert series, they are isomorphic as graded *Dup-Dend* bialgebras, so as graded Hopf algebras. ∎

3.2. **A realization of $\mathbf{H}_o$.** The Hopf algebra of ordered rooted forests can be realized by explicit polynomials in an auxiliary alphabet of bi-indexed variables

(8) $$ A = \{a_{ij},\ 1 \leq i \leq j\}. $$

On such an alphabet, we consider the relation $\prec$ defined by:

(9) $$ a_{ij} \prec a_{jk} \text{ for } i \leq j \text{ and } j < k. $$

In particular, for all $i \leq j$, $a_{ij} \prec a_{ij}$ if, and only if, $i = j$. We call the pair $(A, \prec)$ a $\prec$-alphabet. This is an analog of the notion of ordered alphabet used for most other combinatorial Hopf algebras. If $(B, \prec)$ is another $\prec$-alphabet, their $\prec$-sum $A \oplus B$ is defined as their disjoint union endowed with the $\prec$-relation restricting to the original ones of A and B, and such that :

$$ a_{ij} \prec b_{kk} \text{ for all } i \leq j \text{ and } k. $$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ordered forest with n vertices. We attach to the root of each tree of $\mathcal{F}$ a loop, that is to say an edge from the root to itself. For example, we shall consider $\begin{smallmatrix}3\\2\end{smallmatrix}\!\!\begin{smallmatrix}4\\ \\1\end{smallmatrix}$ as $\begin{smallmatrix}3\\2\end{smallmatrix}\!\!\begin{smallmatrix}4\\ \\1\end{smallmatrix}$. There is then a natural bijection from the set of edges of $\mathcal{F}$ (including the edges of the loops) and the vertices of $\mathcal{F}$, associating with an edge of $\mathcal{F}$ its initial vertex. As the set of vertices of $\mathcal{F}$ is totally ordered, the set of edges of $\mathcal{F}$ is then totally ordered. We shall denote by $e_1 < \ldots < e_n$ the set of edges of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $w = w_1 \ldots w_n$ be a word of length n over A. We say that w is $\mathcal{F}$-*compatible* if, for $k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that the initial vertex of e_k is the terminal vertex of

e_l, or equivalently if $l \to k$ in $\mathcal{F}$, then $w_k \prec w_l$. We then write $w \vdash \mathcal{F}$. Now define the polynomials

$$(10) \qquad\qquad S^{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \sum_{w \vdash \mathcal{F}} w \,.$$

For example, let

$$(11) \qquad\qquad \mathcal{F} = \begin{smallmatrix}2\\3\end{smallmatrix}\ {}^{1}\!\bigvee_{4}^{5}{}^{6} = \overset{2}{\underset{3}{\bigcirc}}\ {}^{5}\!\bigvee_{4}^{1}{}^{6}$$

Then,

$$(12) \qquad
\begin{aligned}
S^{\mathcal{F}} &= \sum_{\substack{w_3 \prec w_2, w_3 \\ w_4 \prec w_1, w_4, w_6 \\ w_6 \prec w_5}} w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 w_6 \\[4pt]
&= \sum_{\substack{i_3 < i_2 \\ i_4 < i_1, i_6 \\ i_6 < i_5}} a_{i_4 i_1} a_{i_3 i_2} a_{i_3 i_3} a_{i_4 i_4} a_{i_6 i_5} a_{i_4 i_6}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. *The polynomials $S^{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ provide a faithful realization of $\mathbf{H}_o$. That is,*

$$(13) \qquad\qquad S^{\mathcal{F}} S^{\mathcal{G}} = S^{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}$$

and if we allow A and B to commute and identify $P(A)Q(B)$ with $P \otimes Q$,

$$(14) \qquad S^{\mathcal{F}}(A \oplus B) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{v} \models V(\mathcal{F})} S^{\mathrm{Roo}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}}(A) S^{\mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}}(B) = S^{\Delta(\mathcal{F})}\,.$$

Proof – Let us first prove that the multiplicativity. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ordered forest with k vertices and $\mathcal{G}$ be an ordered forest with l vertices. In the ordered forest $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$, the vertices of $\mathcal{F}$ are the k first vertices and the vertices of $\mathcal{G}$ are the l last ones, and there are no edges between the vertices of $\mathcal{F}$ and the vertices of $\mathcal{G}$. Consequently, a word $w_1 \ldots w_{k+l}$ is $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$-compatible if, and only if, $w_1 \ldots w_k$ is $\mathcal{F}$-compatible and $w_{k+1} \ldots w_{k+l}$ is $\mathcal{G}$-compatible. Hence:

$$S^{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}} = \sum_{w' \models \mathcal{F}, w'' \models \mathcal{G}} w'w'' = S^{\mathcal{F}} S^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Let us prove that the realization is faithful. Let $w = a_{i_1,j_1} \ldots a_{i_n,j_n}$ be a word on the alphabet A. Let $J(w) = \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$ and $j(w) = \mathrm{card}(J(w))$. Then $j(w)$ defines a degree on $K\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$. If $P = \sum x_w w$ is an element of $K\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$, we denote by $\tilde{P}$ the component of P of maximal $J(w)$, if it exists. In particular, if the degree of the words appearing in P is bounded, then $\tilde{P}$ exists.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ordered forest. The degree of the words w appearing in $S^{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ is the number n of vertices of $\mathcal{F}$, so $\tilde{S}^{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ exists. As there are $\mathcal{F}$-compatible words w such that $j(w) = n$, $j(S^{\mathcal{F}}(A)) = n$. Hence, if $w = a_{i_1,j_1} \ldots a_{i_n,j_n}$ appears in $\tilde{S}^{\mathcal{F}}$, then necessarily $j_1, \ldots, j_n$ are all distinct, so we can reconstruct $\mathcal{F}$ from w: the vertex k is a root if, and only if, $i_k = j_k$ and there is an edge from the vertex

k to the vertex l in $\mathcal{F}$ if, and only if, $i_k = j_l$. As a consequence, the $\tilde{S}^{\mathcal{F}}(A)$ are linearly independent. And so are the $S^{\mathcal{F}}(A)$.

Consider now a word $w = c_{i_1 j_1} \ldots c_{i_n j_n}$ in $S^{\mathcal{F}}(A \oplus B)$. If $c_{i_k j_k}$ belongs to B, and if $l \to k$ in $\mathcal{F}$, then $c_{i_k j_k} \prec c_{i_l j_l}$, so $c_{i_l j_l}$ also belongs to B. As a consequence, there exists a unique admissible cut $\boldsymbol{v}$ such that the vertices of $\mathcal{F}$ labelled by those subscripts k such that $c_{i_k j_k}$ belongs to B is $\mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}$ and the vertices of $\mathcal{F}$ indexed by those subscripts k such that $c_{i_k j_k}$ belongs to A is $\mathrm{Roo}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, w is a word appearing in $S^{\mathrm{Roo}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}}(A) \otimes S^{\mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}}(B)$. Conversely, any word appearing in $S^{\mathrm{Roo}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}}(A) \otimes S^{\mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}}(B)$ appears in $S^{\mathcal{F}}(A \oplus B)$. So

$$(15) \qquad S^{\mathcal{F}}(A \oplus B) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{v} \models \mathcal{F}} S^{\mathrm{Roo}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}}(A) \otimes S^{\mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}}(B) = S^{\Delta(\mathcal{F})}.$$

$\blacksquare$

Example. Let $\mathcal{F} = {}^{2}\mathsf{V}_{1}^{\,4}{}_{3}$. Then

$$(16) \qquad S^{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{\substack{w_1 \prec w_1, w_2, w_3, \\ w_3 \prec w_4}} w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4,$$

so that

$$(17) \qquad S^{\mathcal{F}}(A) = \sum a_{i_1 i_1} a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_1 i_3} a_{i_3 i_4},$$

and

(18)
$$S^{\mathcal{F}}(A \oplus B) = \sum a_{i_1 i_1} a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_1 i_3} a_{i_3 i_4} + \sum a_{i_1 i_1} b_{j_2 j_2} a_{i_1 i_3} a_{i_3 i_4} + \sum a_{i_1 i_1} a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_1 i_3} b_{j_4 j_4}$$
$$+ \sum a_{i_1 i_1} b_{j_2 j_2} a_{i_1 i_3} b_{j_4 j_4} + \sum a_{i_1 i_1} a_{i_1 i_2} b_{j_3 j_3} b_{j_3 j_4}$$
$$+ \sum a_{i_1 i_1} b_{j_2 j_2} b_{j_3 j_3} b_{j_3 j_4} + \sum b_{j_1 j_1} b_{j_1 j_2} b_{j_1 j_3} b_{j_3 j_4}$$
$$= S^{\mathcal{F}}(A) + S^{\mathsf{V}}(A) S^{\bullet 1}(B) + S^{{}^{2}\mathsf{V}_{1}^{3}}(A) S^{\bullet 1}(B)$$
$$+ S^{\mathsf{V}}(A) S^{\bullet 1 \, \bullet 2}(B) + S^{\mathsf{V}}(A) S^{\mathsf{V}}(B) + S^{\bullet 1}(A) S^{\bullet 1 \, \mathsf{V}}(B) + S^{\mathcal{F}}(B).$$

We shall give a second realization of $\mathbf{H}_o$ in Section 5.2.

3.3. Epimorphism to WQSym.

Let us recall the definition of $\mathbf{WQSym}$, the Hopf algebra of *Word Quasi-Symmetric functions* (cf. [15, 24]). This algebra has many interpretations, *e.g.*, as the Solomon-Tits descent algebra [28, 24], as a centralizer algebra for a kind of Schur-Weyl duality [23], and as an algebra of nonlinear difference operators [22].

The *packed word* $u = \mathrm{pack}(w)$ associated with a word $w \in A^*$ (over an ordered alphabet A) is obtained by the following process. If $b_1 < b_2 < \ldots < b_r$ are the letters occuring in w, u is the image of w by the homomorphism $b_i \mapsto a_i$. A word

u is said to be *packed* if $\mathrm{pack}(u) = u$. The natural basis of **WQSym**, which lifts the quasi monomial basis of $QSym$, is labelled by packed words. It is defined by

$$(19) \qquad \mathbf{M}_u = \sum_{\mathrm{pack}(w)=u} w \,.$$

In this basis, the product is given by

$$(20) \qquad \mathbf{M}_u \mathbf{M}_v = \sum_{\substack{w=u'v' \\ \mathrm{pack}(u')=u,\, \mathrm{pack}(v')=v}} \mathbf{M}_v \,.$$

Let π be the algebra morphism $a_{ij} \mapsto a_j$ from the free associative algebra on the a_{ij} to the free associative algebra over single-indexed letters a_i.

Proposition 3.3. WQSym *is a quotient Hopf algebra of* $\mathbf{H}_o$:

$$(21) \qquad \pi(\mathbf{H}_o) = \mathbf{WQSym}.$$

Proof – Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ordered forest with n vertices. A packed word $m = a_1 \ldots a_n$ will be said to be $\mathcal{F}$-admissible if $i \to j$ in $\mathcal{F}$ implies that $a_j < a_i$. Then

$$(22) \qquad \pi(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{m\ \mathcal{F}\text{-admissible}} \left(\sum_{\mathrm{pack}(w)=m} w \right) = \sum_{m\ \mathcal{F}\text{-admissible}} \mathbf{M}_m.$$

So $\pi(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbf{WQSym}$.

Let us prove the surjectivity of π. We totally order packed words by the lexicographic order. For any packed word $w = a_1 \ldots a_n$, let us construct an ordered forest $\mathcal{F}_w$ of degree n such that the smallest packed word appearing in $\pi(\mathcal{F}_w)$ is w. We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 1$, then $w = (1)$ and we take $\mathcal{F}_{(1)} = \bullet_1$. Let us assume the result for any packed word with $n-1$ letters. We separate the construction of $\mathcal{F}_w$ into three cases.

(1) $1 = a_1 = a_2 \leq a_3 \ldots \leq a_n$. We then take $\mathcal{F}_w = \bullet_1 \mathcal{F}_{a_2 \ldots a_n}$.

(2) $1 = a_1 < a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \ldots \leq a_n$. Then $a_2 = 2$. Let $a_2' \ldots a_n' = \mathrm{pack}(a_2 \ldots a_n)$, that is to say $a_i' = a_i - 1$ for all i. We then take $\mathcal{F}_w = B^+(\mathcal{F}_{a_2' \ldots a_n'})$, that is to say the ordered tree obtained by adding a root to $\mathcal{F}_{a_2' \ldots a_n'}$, this root being the smallest element.

(3) The letters $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are not in order. There exists $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, such that $a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \ldots a_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$ is ordered. We then take $\mathcal{F}_w = \sigma \cdot \mathcal{F}_{a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \ldots a_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}}$, where σ acts by changing the order of the vertices of $\mathcal{F}_{a_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \ldots a_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}}$.

It is not difficult to show that these $\mathcal{F}_w$ give the result. So π is surjective. ∎

For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(\bullet_1) &= \mathbf{M}_{(1)} \\
\pi(\bullet_1 \bullet_2) &= \mathbf{M}_{(11)} + \mathbf{M}_{(12)} + \mathbf{M}_{(21)} \\
\pi(\mathbf{\mathrlap{!}}_1^2) &= \mathbf{M}_{(12)} \\
\pi(\mathbf{\mathrlap{!}}_2^1) &= \mathbf{M}_{(21)} \\
\pi(^2\mathbf{V}_1^3) &= \mathbf{M}_{(123)} + \mathbf{M}_{(132)} + \mathbf{M}_{(122)} \\
\pi(^1\mathbf{V}_3^2) &= \mathbf{M}_{(231)} + \mathbf{M}_{(321)} + \mathbf{M}_{(221)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

(23)

3.4. Embedding of the noncommutative Connes-Kreimer algebra.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ be a plane forest. It can be seen as an ordered forest, by totally ordering the vertices of $\mathcal{F}$ "up-left", that is, by performing a left depth-first traversal of the forest and numbering each vertex on the first encounter. For example,

(24)
$$
\mathbf{V} \quad \mathbf{V} \mapsto {}^2\mathbf{V}_1^{4\,\downarrow 3} \quad {}^{7\bullet}_{6}\mathbf{V}_5^8
$$

Proposition 3.4. *The map $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \mapsto S^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Hopf embedding of the noncommutative Connes-Kreimer algebra $\mathbf{H}_{NCK}$ into $\mathbf{H}_o$.*

Proof – This is clearly compatible with the product, since shifted concatenation preserves the planar structure, and with the coproduct which is given on both sides by admissible cuts, the labeling having been chosen such that in $\mathbf{H}_o$, the coproduct of the image of a plane forest contains only terms corresponding to plane forests. ∎

Thus, we have also a polynomial realization of $\mathbf{H}_{NCK}$. For example:

(25)
$$
\mathbf{!} \ \mathbf{V} \mapsto S^{\mathbf{!}_1^2 \ {}^{4}\mathbf{V}_3^{\downarrow 6}_{5}} = \sum_{\substack{i_1 < i_2 \\ i_3 < i_4 \\ i_3 < i_5 < i_6}} a_{i_1 i_1} a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_3 i_3} a_{i_3 i_4} a_{i_3 i_5} a_{i_5 i_6}.
$$

3.5. Embedding of $\mathbf{H}_{NCK}$ into WQSym.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\pi : \mathbf{H}_o \to \mathbf{WQSym}$ be the projection induced by $a_{ij} \mapsto a_j$ in the second realization. Then the restriction of π to $\mathbf{H}_{NCK}$ is injective.*

Proof – Let B_+ denote as usual the operation consisting of connecting the trees of a (plane) forest to a common root, and define an endomorphism b of $\mathbf{WQSym}$ by

(26)
$$
b(\mathbf{M}_u) = M_{1 \cdot u[1]}
$$

where $\cdot$ is the concatenation and $u[1]$ means shifting by 1 the letters of u, *e.g.*, $b(\mathbf{M}_{2131}) = \mathbf{M}_{13242}$.

We then have

(27)
$$
\pi \circ B_+ = b \circ \pi.
$$

An ordered forest $\mathcal{F}$ can be regarded as a poset $P_{\mathcal{F}}$ (the roots being minimal elements). Identifying a map f from $P_{\mathcal{F}}$ to some $[m]$ with the word $w_f = f(1)f(2)\cdots f(n)$, we have

$$(28) \qquad \pi(S^{\mathcal{F}}) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{S}(P_{\mathcal{F}})} \mathbf{M}_{w_f} \, .$$

where $\mathcal{S}(P_{\mathcal{F}})$ is the set of increasing surjections from $P_{\mathcal{F}}$ to some $[m]$.

Now, if we restrict to those $\mathcal{F}$ which are the canonical labeling of plane forests, the lexicographically minimal increasing surjection words w_f are all distinct and allow to reconstruct $\mathcal{F}$. It appears then clearly that the images of the plane forests are linearly independent. ∎

3.6. **The noncommutative Faà di Bruno algebra.** Recall that the Faà di Bruno algebra is the Hopf algebra of polynomial functions on the group of formal diffeomorphisms of the real line tangent to the identity [5]. It can be identified with the algebra Sym of symmetric functions, endowed with the coproduct acting on the complete homeogenous functions h_n by

$$(29) \qquad \Delta_1 h_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} h_k(X) h_{n-k}((k+1)Y)$$

or equivalently,

$$(30) \qquad \Delta \sigma_1 = \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n \otimes \sigma_1^{n+1} \, ,$$

where

$$(31) \quad \sigma_1 := \sum_n h_n(X) = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 - x_i)^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_1(\alpha X) = \sum_n h_n(\alpha X) = \sigma_1(X)^{\alpha}.$$

The noncommutative version [7, 1] can be identified with the algebra $\mathbf{Sym}$ of noncommutative symmetric functions [11, 26], endowed with the coproduct

$$(32) \qquad \Delta_1 S_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} S_k(A) S_{n-k}((k+1)B)$$

or, again

$$(33) \qquad \Delta \sigma_1 = \sum_{n \geq 0} S_n \otimes \sigma_1^{n+1} \, ,$$

where

$$(34) \qquad \sigma_1(A) = \sum_n S_n(A) = \overrightarrow{\prod_{i \geq 1}} (1 - a_i)^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_1(\alpha A) = \sigma(A)^{\alpha}.$$

The Faà di Bruno algebra is known to be a Hopf subalgebra of the Connes-Kreimer algebra, and in the same way, the noncommutative version can be embedded in $\mathbf{H}_{NCK}$ [7]. Let

$$(35) \qquad U = \sum_F F = \frac{1}{1-V}, \quad V = \sum_T T = B_+(U)$$

be the sum of all plane forests and the sum of all plane trees in $\mathbf{H}_{NCK}$. It is shown in [7] that the square $Z = U^2$ of U has the same coproduct as σ_1:

$$(36) \qquad \Delta_{NCK} Z = \sum_{n \geq 0} Z_n \otimes Z^{n+1} .$$

Thus, composing the map $S_n \mapsto Z_n$ with the embedding of $\mathbf{H}_{NCK}$ into $\mathbf{WQSym}$, we obtain an embedding of the noncommutative Faà di Bruno algebra.

3.7. **Epimorphism to the original Connes-Kreimer algebra.** If, in the above realization of $\mathbf{H}_{NCK}$, we map $a_{ij} \mapsto x_{ij}$ where the x_{ij} are commuting indeterminates, we obtain a commutative Hopf algebra which turns out to be the original Connes-Kreimer algebra. We can even do this at the level of $\mathbf{H}_o$. With both realizations, $S^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $S^{\mathcal{G}}$ have the same image iff the underlying unordered forests are the same. Thus the image of $\mathbf{H}_o$ is also the Connes-Kreimer algebra.

Proposition 3.6. *The map $a_{ij} \mapsto x_{ij}$ provides a polynomial realization of the Connes-Kreimer algebra.*

Proof – The fact that $S^{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ depends only on the underlying forests is clear from the definition. Compatibility with the product and coproduct is also immediate. The only point which has to be checked is that the map is surjective. This follows from the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. ∎

The commutative images of the polynomials $S^{\mathcal{F}}$ are special cases of polynomials known in numerical analysis, as well as their coproduct formula (see, *e.g.*, [14]). More precisely, the specialization of these polynomials $S^{\mathcal{F}}$ to the coefficients of a finite matrix gives the polynomials associated with each tree by a Runge-Kutta method (here, with a triangular matrix). The direct construction of the coproduct in terms of the $\prec$-alphabets presented here is new.

3.8. **Analog of the Schur basis.** The basis $S^{\mathcal{F}}$ is *multiplicative*, in the sense that the product of two basis elements is again a basis element. In general, combinatorial Hopf algebras admit several interesting bases, and such multiplicative bases are generally obtained by summing some other combinatorial basis along intervals on some order. This is the case here.

There is a natural order on ordered forests with a given number n of vertices, whose cover relation is $\mathcal{F} < \mathcal{F}'$ iff $\mathcal{F}'$ is obtained from $\mathcal{F}$ by deleting exactly one edge. In other words, considering the egdes of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ as elements of $\{1, \ldots, n\}^2$, $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{F}'$ if, and only if, the set of edges of $\mathcal{F}'$ is included in the set of edges of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let us set

$$
R_{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{F}} (-1)^{|E(\mathcal{F})| - |E(\mathcal{G})|} S^{\mathcal{G}}. \tag{37}
$$

For example:

$$
R_{\substack{\bullet^2_1 \\ \bullet_3}} = S^{\bullet^2_1 \ \bullet_3} - S^{^2\mathbb{V}^3_1} - S^{\substack{\bullet^3_2 \\ \bullet_1}} - S^{\substack{\bullet^1_1 \\ \bullet_3}}. \tag{38}
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a forest with k vertices and let $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$. The restriction $\mathcal{F}_{|I}$ is the subforest of $\mathcal{F}$ obtained by taking all the vertices of $\mathcal{F}$ which are in I and all the edges between these vertices. As I is totally ordered (as a part of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$), $\mathcal{F}_{|I}$ is an ordered forest. Hence

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{F}''$ be two ordered forests, with respectively k' and k'' vertices. Then*

$$
R_{\mathcal{F}'} R_{\mathcal{F}''} = \sum R_{\mathcal{F}}, \tag{39}
$$

where the sum is over all ordered forests $\mathcal{F}$ with $k' + k''$ vertices, such that $\mathcal{F}_{|\{1,\ldots,k'\}} = \mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{F}_{|\{k'+1,\ldots,k'+k''\}} = \mathcal{F}''$.

Proof – Let us define another product $\star$ on $\mathbf{H}_o$, given by the formula we want to prove. Let us then compute $S^{\mathcal{F}'} \star S^{\mathcal{F}''}$ for any ordered forests $\mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{F}''$. By a Möbius inversion, for any ordered forest $\mathcal{G}$,

$$
S^{\mathcal{G}} = \sum_{\mathcal{G}' \leq \mathcal{G}} R_{\mathcal{G}'}, \tag{40}
$$

so that

$$
S^{\mathcal{F}'} \star S^{\mathcal{F}''} = \sum_{\mathcal{G}' \leq \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{G}'' \leq \mathcal{F}''} R_{\mathcal{G}'} \star R_{\mathcal{G}''} = \sum R_{\mathcal{G}}, \tag{41}
$$

where the sum is over all ordered forests $\mathcal{G}$ with $k' + k''$ vertices, such that $\mathcal{G}_{|\{1,\ldots,k'\}} \leq \mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{G}_{|\{k'+1,\ldots,k'+k''\}} \leq \mathcal{F}''$. Such an ordered forest $\mathcal{G}$ is obtained, first by adding edges between vertices of $\mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{F}''$, then edges between vertices of the two obtained ordered forests. Equivalently, it can be obtained by adding edges between vertices of $\mathcal{F}'\mathcal{F}''$, so that

$$
S^{\mathcal{F}'} \star S^{\mathcal{F}''} = \sum_{\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{F}'\mathcal{F}''} R_{\mathcal{G}} = S^{\mathcal{F}'\mathcal{F}''} = S^{\mathcal{F}'} S^{\mathcal{F}''}. \tag{42}
$$

So $\star$ is the product of $\mathbf{H}_o$. ∎

For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\bullet_1 \bullet_2} R_{\bullet_1} &= R_{\bullet_1 \bullet_2 \bullet_3} + R_{\substack{\bullet^2_1 \\ \bullet_3}} + R_{\substack{\bullet_1 \\ \bullet^3_2}} + R_{\substack{\bullet_2 \\ \bullet^3_1}} + R_{\substack{\bullet_1 \\ \bullet^2_3}} + R_{1\mathbb{V}^2_3} + R_{\substack{\bullet^2_3 \\ \bullet_1}} + R_{\substack{\bullet^1_3 \\ \bullet_2}}. \\
R_{\bullet_1} R_{\bullet_1 \bullet_2} &= R_{\bullet_1 \bullet_2 \bullet_3} + R_{\substack{\bullet_2 \\ \bullet^1_3}} + R_{\substack{\bullet^1_2 \\ \bullet_3}} + R_{\substack{\bullet^2_1 \\ \bullet_3}} + R_{\substack{\bullet^3_1 \\ \bullet_2}} + R_{2\mathbb{V}^3_1} + R_{\substack{\bullet^3_1 \\ \bullet_2}} + R_{\substack{\bullet^1_1 \\ \bullet_3}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ be two ordered forests, equal as rooted forests. There exists a permutation σ, such that the ordered forest $\mathcal{F}^{\sigma}$ obtained from $\mathcal{F}$ by permuting the indices by σ is equal to $\mathcal{F}'$. Then, for any ordered forest $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{F}$, as $\mathcal{G}$ is

obtained from $\mathcal{F}$ by adding some edges, $\mathcal{G}^\sigma \leq \mathcal{F}'$. As a consequence, the commutative image of $R^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $R^{\mathcal{F}'}$ are equal. For any rooted forest $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$, we then denote by $R^{\overline{\mathcal{F}}}$ the image of $R^{\mathcal{F}}$ in the Connes-Kreimer algebra, where $\mathcal{F}$ is any ordered forest with underlying rooted forest $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$; this does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{F}$. These elements form a new basis of the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra.

Examples. In the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\cdot} &= \cdot, \\
R^{\mathfrak{l}} &= \mathfrak{l}, \\
R^{\cdot\cdot} &= \cdot\cdot - 2\mathfrak{l}, \\
R^{\mathfrak{l}} &= \mathfrak{l}, \\
R^{\vee} &= \vee, \\
R^{\cdot\mathfrak{l}} &= \cdot\mathfrak{l} - \vee - 2\mathfrak{l}, \\
R^{\cdot\cdot\cdot} &= \cdots - 6\cdot\mathfrak{l} + 3\vee + 6\mathfrak{l}, \\
R^{\mathfrak{l}} &= \mathfrak{l}, \\
R^{\curlyvee} &= \curlyvee, \\
R^{\vee} &= \vee, \\
R^{\mathbb{V}} &= \mathbb{V}, \\
R^{\mathfrak{l}\,\mathfrak{l}} &= \mathfrak{l}\,\mathfrak{l} - 2\vee - 2\mathfrak{l}, \\
R^{\mathfrak{l}\cdot} &= \mathfrak{l}\cdot - \vee - \curlyvee - 2\mathfrak{l}, \\
R^{\vee\cdot} &= \vee\cdot - \mathbb{V} - 2\vee - \curlyvee, \\
R^{\mathfrak{l}\cdot\cdot} &= \mathfrak{l}\cdot\cdot - 2\vee\cdot - 4\mathfrak{l}\cdot - 2\mathfrak{l}\,\mathfrak{l} + \mathbb{V} + 6\vee + 3\curlyvee + 6\mathfrak{l}, \\
R^{\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot} &= \cdots\cdot - 12\mathfrak{l}\cdot\cdot + 12\vee\cdot + 24\mathfrak{l}\cdot + 12\mathfrak{l}\,\mathfrak{l} - 4\mathbb{V} - 24\vee - 12\curlyvee - 24\mathfrak{l}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

4. The cocommutative Hopf algebra on permutations

Besides the self dual Hopf algebra structure (known as **FQSym** or as the Malvenuto-Reutenauer algebra [21]) on the linear span of all permutations, there is another one which is cocommutative and noncommutative. It was first described by Grossman and Larson [13] in terms of heap ordered trees. Several other (non-obviously equivalent) constructions can be found in [16].

The starting point of [16] is a commutative algebra, denoted by $\mathfrak{S}QSym$, spanned by the polynomials

$$(44) \qquad M_\sigma = \sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_n} x_{i_1 i_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{i_n i_{\sigma(n)}}$$

in commuting indeterminates x_{ij} satisfying $x_{ij} x_{ik} = x_{ik} x_{jk} = 0$. The dual Hopf algebra $\mathfrak{S}Sym$ is free over the set of connected permutations, and the dual basis $\mathbf{S}^\sigma$ of M_σ satisfies

$$(45) \qquad \mathbf{S}^\sigma \mathbf{S}^\tau = \mathbf{S}^{\sigma \bullet \tau},$$

where $\bullet$ denotes shifted concatenation [16], defined by $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \bullet (b_1, \ldots, b_n) = (a_1, \ldots, a_m, b_1 + m, \ldots, b_n + m)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $A = \{a_{ij} | i, j \geq 1\}$ endowed with the relation $a_{ij} \prec a_{kl}$ iff $j = k$. Then, the polynomials*

$$(46) \qquad \mathbf{S}^\sigma(A) := \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_n \geq 1} a_{i_{\sigma(1)} i_1} \cdots a_{i_{\sigma(n)} i_n}$$

satisfy (45). Moreover, if $(B, \prec)$ is another alphabet, their sum $A \oplus B$ is defined as their disjoint union endowed with the $\prec$-relation restricting to the original ones of A and B, and such that :

$$a_{ij} \prec b_{kl} \text{ for all } i, j, k, l.$$

Then these polynomials span a Hopf algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}Sym$ for the coproduct $\Delta F(A) = F(A \oplus B)$.

Proof – The independence of the $\mathbf{S}^\sigma$ is proved in the same way as for Theorem 3.2: indeed, in any $\mathbf{S}^\sigma(A)$ appears a word such that all subscripts i_k are different and such a word allows one to rebuild σ. Moreover, the $\mathbf{S}^\sigma$ defined by (46) satisfy (45). For the coproduct, observe that $\mathbf{S}^\sigma(A)$ can alternatively be characterized as the sum of all σ-compatible words, defined by the condition:

$w = a_{k_1 l_1} \cdots a_{k_n l_n}$ is σ-compatible iff

$$(47) \qquad i = \sigma(j) \Rightarrow a_{k_i l_i} \prec a_{k_j l_j}.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{S}^\sigma(A \oplus B)$ is well-defined, and obtained from $\mathbf{S}^\sigma(A)$ by splitting the set of cycles of σ into two parts in all possible ways, and replacing a's by b's into one of the parts. This is exactly the coproduct of the basis $\mathbf{S}^\sigma$ of $\mathfrak{S}Sym$ as described in [16]. ∎

Example. Let us consider $\sigma = 24513$. Then

$$(48) \qquad \mathbf{S}^\sigma(A) = \sum a_{i_2 i_1} a_{i_4 i_2} a_{i_5 i_3} a_{i_1 i_4} a_{i_3 i_5},$$

so that

$$(49) \qquad \mathbf{S}^\sigma = \sum_{\substack{w_1 \prec w_4 \prec w_2 \prec w_1 \\ w_3 \prec w_5 \prec w_3}} w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{S}^{\sigma}(A \oplus B) = \sum a_{i_2 i_1} a_{i_4 i_2} a_{i_5 i_3} a_{i_1 i_4} a_{i_3 i_5} + \sum a_{i_2 i_1} a_{i_4 i_2} b_{i_5 i_3} a_{i_1 i_4} b_{i_3 i_5}$$

(50)
$$+ \sum b_{i_2 i_1} b_{i_4 i_2} a_{i_5 i_3} b_{i_1 i_4} a_{i_3 i_5} + \sum b_{i_2 i_1} b_{i_4 i_2} b_{i_5 i_3} b_{i_1 i_4} b_{i_3 i_5}$$

$$= \mathbf{S}^{\sigma}(A) + \mathbf{S}^{(231)}(A)\mathbf{S}^{(12)}(B) + \mathbf{S}^{(12)}(A)\mathbf{S}^{(231)}(B) + \mathbf{S}^{\sigma}(B).$$

5. A Hopf algebra of endofunctions

5.1. **Construction.** The commutative Hopf algebra of permutations of [16] is actually a subalgebra and a quotient of a commutative algebra based on endofunctions. There is a similar construction here.

Let $A = \{a_{ij} | i \neq j, \ i, j \geq 1\}$, endowed with the relation $a_{ij} \prec a_{kl}$ iff $j = k$. For a function $f : [n] \to [n]$, let us say that a word $w = w_1 \cdots w_n$ is f-compatible iff $i \neq j$ and $i = f(j) \Rightarrow w_i \prec w_j$. Define

$$(51) \qquad \mathbf{S}^f(A) := \sum_{w \ f\text{-compatible}} w \,.$$

For example, representing a function as the list of its image, if $f = (24352)$, one has

(52)
$$\mathbf{S}^f = \sum_{\substack{w_2 \prec w_1, \\ w_2 \prec w_5 \prec w_4 \prec w_2}} w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5$$

$$= \sum_{i \neq k, j, n, k \neq n, l \neq m} a_{ij} a_{ki} a_{lm} a_{nk} a_{in}.$$

Note that, as before, these elements are linearly independent: any monomial in $\mathbf{S}^f$ with as many different subscripts as possible allows one to reconstruct the relations $w_i < w_j$, and hence the images of f (fixed points being the missing ones).

Theorem 5.1. *The $\mathbf{S}^f$ span a subalgebra of $\mathbb{K}\langle A \rangle$, with*

$$(53) \qquad \mathbf{S}^f \mathbf{S}^g = \mathbf{S}^{f \bullet g},$$

where, again, $\bullet$ denotes the shifted concatenation. Moreover, if $(B, \prec)$ is another alphabet, their sum $A \oplus B$ is defined as their disjoint union endowed with the $\prec$-relation restricting to the original ones of A and B, and such that :

$$a_{ij} \prec b_{kl} \ \text{for all} \ i \neq j, k \neq l.$$

Then these polynomials span a (non-cocommutative) Hopf algebra for the coproduct $\Delta \mathbf{S}^f = \mathbf{S}^f(A \oplus B)$.

Proof – Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1. ∎

Let us give a description of the coproduct. Let $f : [n] \to [n]$ and let $I \subseteq [n]$. Let $f^I : I \to I$ be the map satisfying $f^I(x) = f(x)$ if $f(x) \in I$ and $f^I(x) = x$ otherwise. If I has cardinality k, there exists a unique increasing bijection $\tau_I :$

$I \to [k]$; Then $\text{std}(f^I) := \tau_I \circ f^I \circ \tau_I^{-1}$. We shall say that I is an ideal of f and write $I \models f$ if $f^{-1}(I) \subseteq I$.

One then sees that

$$(54) \qquad \Delta(S^f) = \sum_{I \models f} S^{\text{std}(f^{[n]\setminus I})} \otimes S^{\text{std}(f^I)}.$$

Example. Let us consider $f = (23234)$. Then

$$(55) \qquad S^f = \sum_{\substack{w_2 \prec w_1, w_3 \\ w_3 \prec w_2, w_4 \\ w_4 \prec w_5}} w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5,$$

so that

$$(56) \qquad S^f(A) = \sum_{\substack{j \neq i,k \\ l \neq k,m}} a_{ji} a_{kj} a_{jk} a_{kl} a_{lm}$$

and

(57)
$$S^f(A \oplus B) = \sum a_{ji} a_{kj} a_{jk} a_{kl} a_{lm} + \sum b_{qp} a_{kj} a_{jk} a_{kl} a_{lm} + \sum a_{ji} a_{kj} a_{jk} a_{kl} b_{qp}$$
$$+ \sum b_{qp} a_{kj} a_{jk} a_{kl} b_{rs} + \sum a_{ji} a_{kj} a_{jk} b_{pq} b_{qr}$$
$$+ \sum b_{qp} a_{kj} a_{jk} b_{rs} b_{st} + \sum b_{qp} b_{rq} b_{qr} b_{rs} b_{st}$$
$$= S^f(A) + S^{(2123)}(A) S^{(1)}(B) + S^{(2323)}(A) S^{(1)}(B) + S^{(212)}(A) S^{(12)}(B)$$
$$+ S^{(232)}(A) S^{(11)}(B) + S^{(21)}(A) S^{(122)}(B) + S^{(f)}(B).$$

Hence

$$(58) \qquad \begin{aligned} \Delta(S^f) = & S^f \otimes 1 + S^{(2123)} \otimes S^{(1)} + S^{(2323)} \otimes S^{(1)} + S^{(212)} \otimes S^{(12)} \\ & + S^{(232)} \otimes S^{(11)} + S^{(21)} \otimes S^{(122)} + 1 \otimes S^{(f)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the ideals of f are $\emptyset$, $\{1\}$, $\{5\}$, $\{1,5\}$, $\{4,5\}$, $\{1,4,5\}$, and $\{1,2,3,4,5\}$.

We shall give a graphical representation of endofunctions. If $f : [n] \to [n]$, the vertices of the graph associated with f are the elements of $[n]$, and there is an edge from i to j iff $f(i) = j$ for all $i \neq j$. For example, the graph associated with (23234) is

(59)

The ideals of f are then given by admissible cuts of the graph (note that the edges in the cycles cannot be cut).

We shall denote this Hopf algebra of endofunctions by **EFSym**.

5.2. **Hopf subalgebras and quotients.**

5.2.1. *Permutations.* The $\mathbf{S}^\sigma$, where σ runs over permutations, span a Hopf subalgebra of **EFSym** isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}\mathbf{Sym}$ Indeed, if f and g are permutations, then $f \bullet g$ is also a permutation; if f is a permutation, then its ideals are the disjoint unions of cycles of f, so one recovers the Hopf algebra structure of $\mathfrak{S}\mathbf{Sym}$ described in [16]. Note that the two realizations are different: in the realization of section 4, $S^{(1)} = \sum_{i \geq 1} a_{ii}$ and $S^{(12)} = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} a_{ij}a_{ij}$; in the realization as endofunctions,
$$S^{(1)} = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} a_{ij} \text{ and } S^{(12)} = \sum_{i,j \geq 1, i \neq j} a_{ij}a_{ji}.$$

5.2.2. *Ordered forests.* The $\mathbf{S}^\phi$, where ϕ runs over acyclic functions, span a Hopf subalgebra of **EFSym** isomorphic to our first algebra of labelled forests, hence to $\mathbf{PQSym}^*$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a labelled forest, we can define an acyclic function $f_\mathcal{F}$ in the following way: if there is an edge from i to j in $\mathcal{F}$, then $f(j) = i$. If i is a root of $\mathcal{F}$, then $f_\mathcal{F}(i) = i$. For example,

$$(60) \qquad \begin{aligned} f_{\bullet_1} &= (1) \\ f_{\bullet_1 \bullet_2} &= (12) \\ f_{\substack{2 \\ 1}} &= (11) \\ f_{\substack{1 \\ 2}} &= (22) \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $f_\mathcal{F}$ is the endofunction whose graph is $\mathcal{F}$, the orientation being implicitly from top to bottom. Now, $f_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}} = f_\mathcal{F} \bullet f_\mathcal{G}$. Moreover, the ideals of $f_\mathcal{F}$ are the set of the indices I such that the vertices of $\mathcal{F}$ indexed by I are a $\mathrm{Lea}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\mathcal{F}$, where $\boldsymbol{v}$ runs over the set of admissible cuts of $\mathcal{F}$. So

$$(61) \qquad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_o &\to \mathbf{EFSym} \\ S^\mathcal{F} &\mapsto S^{f_\mathcal{F}} \end{aligned}$$

is an injective map of graded Hopf algebras. This gives a second realization of h_o. These two realizations don't coincide; for example, if $\mathcal{F} = \substack{1 \\ 2}$, then $S^\mathcal{F} = \sum_{i \geq j \geq 1} a_{ji}a_{jj}$ and $S^{f_{FF}} = \sum_{j \neq i,k} a_{ji}a_{kj}$.

5.2.3. *Plane forests.* We have seen that the noncommutative Connes-Kreimer algebra is a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathbf{H}_o$. Moreover, for any ordered forest $\mathcal{F}$, the acyclic function $f_\mathcal{F}$ is a nondecreasing parking function if, and only if, $\mathcal{F}$ is a plane forest. So the restriction of the embedding $S^\mathcal{F} \mapsto S^{f_\mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism from the noncommutative Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra to the subspace spanned by the $\mathbf{S}^\pi$, where π runs over nondecreasing parking functions, which is then a Hopf subalgebra of **EFSym**. So this gives another realization of the noncommutative

Connes-Kreimer algebra. These realizations are indeed different: for the realization of section 3.2, $S^{\downharpoonright^2_1} = \sum_{1 \le i \le j} a_{ii} a_{ij}$, whereas for the realization as endofuctions,

$$S^{\downharpoonright^2_1} = \sum_{1 \le i,j,k} a_{ij} a_{jk}.$$

Let I_o be the subspace generated by the S^f, where f runs over the set of endofunctions which are not acyclic. It is clear that I_o is an ideal of the Hopf algebra of endofunctions. Moreover, if f is not acyclic, then it contains a cycle $C = i_1 \mapsto i_2 \mapsto \ldots \mapsto i_k \mapsto i_1$ of length ≥ 2. Let I be an ideal of f. If $C \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then by definition of the ideals, $C \subseteq I$, so $\mathrm{std}(f^I)$ or $\mathrm{std}(f^{[n]-I})$ is not acyclic: this implies that I_o is a Hopf ideal of the Hopf algebra of endofunctions. So the quotient $\mathbf{H}_o/I_o$ is isomorphic to the Hopf subalgebra of acyclic endofunctions, so to $\mathbf{H}_o$ and $\mathbf{PQSym}^*$.

5.2.4. *Nondecreasing sets.* The restriction to nondecreasing functions also gives rise to a Hopf algebra: if f and g are nondecreasing functions, then $f \bullet g$ is also nondecreasing, and for any ideal I of f, $\mathrm{std}(f^I)$ and $\mathrm{std}(f^{[n]-I})$ are also nondecreasing.

5.2.5. *Burnside classes.* The restriction to idempotent functions, or more generally to Burnside classes ($f^p = f^q$) gives, as in the commutative case, Hopf subalgebras: if $f^p = f^q$ and $g^p = g^q$, then $(f \bullet g)^p = (f \bullet g)^q$ and for any part I of the domain of f, $\mathrm{std}(f^I)^p = \mathrm{std}(f^I)^q$. Graphically, this corresponds to endofunctions f such that the graph of f contains only cycles of length dividing $|p-q|$ and trees of height smaller than $|p-q|$. In particular, for the idempotent functions, this gives endofunctions whose graph is a corolla, that is to say a tree of height smaller than 1.

5.2.6. *Connes-Kreimer.* The commutative images of the $\mathbf{S}^\phi$ ($a_{ij} \mapsto x_{ij}$) span a commutative Hopf algebra containing the algebra Sym of ordinary symmetric functions (as the image of the subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{GSym}$) and the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of trees (as the image of the subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathbf{H}_o$).

5.3. **Analog of the Schur basis.** We define a partial order on the set of endofuctions of $[n]$ for a fixed n, whose cover relation is $f < g$ if there exists an element j of $[n]$ with $f(j) \neq j$, such that $g(k) = f(k)$ if $k \neq j$ and $g(j) = j$. For example, for $n = 2$, the Hasse graph of this partial order is:

(62)

```
                    (12)
                   ╱    ╲
              (11)        (22)
                   ╲    ╱
                    (21)
```

Note. Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be two ordered forests. It is not difficult to show that $f_{\mathcal{F}} \leq f_{\mathcal{G}}$ if, and only if, $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$.

For any endofunction f, let us set

$$(63) \qquad R_f = \sum_{g \leq f} (-1)^{\mathrm{Fix}(f) - \mathrm{Fix}(g)} S^g,$$

where $Fix(f)$ denotes the number of fixed points of f. By a Möbius inversion, for any endofunction f,

$$(64) \qquad S^f = \sum_{g \leq f} R_g.$$

By analogy with Theorem 3.7, one can show

Theorem 5.2. *Let f' and f'' be two endofunctions of respectively $[n']$ and $[n'']$. Then*

$$(65) \qquad R_{f'} R_{f''} = \sum R_f,$$

where the sum is over all endofunctions f of $[n' + n'']$, such that $\mathrm{std}(f^{[n']}) = f'$ and $\mathrm{std}(f^{[n'+n'']\setminus[n']}) = f''$.

For example,

$$(66) \qquad \begin{aligned} R_{(12)} R_{(1)} =& R_{(121)} + R_{(122)} + R_{(123)} + R_{(131)} + R_{(132)} + R_{(133)} \\ &+ R_{(321)} + R_{(322)} + R_{(323)} + R_{(331)} + R_{(332)} + R_{(333)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(67) \qquad \begin{aligned} R_{(1)} R_{(12)} =& R_{(111)} + R_{(113)} + R_{(121)} + R_{(123)} + R_{(211)} + R_{(213)} \\ &+ R_{(221)} + R_{(223)} + R_{(311)} + R_{(313)} + R_{(321)} + R_{(323)} \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for $R_{(12)} R_{(1)}$, one gets all functions such that $f(1)$ is either 1 or 3, and $f(2)$ is either 2 or 3, the value $f(3)$ having no constraint at all.

Let us consider the subspace I'_o generated by the R_f, where f runs over the set of endofunctions f which are not acyclic. If f' or f'' is not acyclic and if R_f appears in $R_{f'} R_{f''}$, then f is not acyclic. So I'_0 is an ideal. Let us denote by $\overline{R_f}$ the class of R_f modulo I'_0. Note that $\overline{R_f}$ is nonzero if, and only if, f is acyclic, that is to say there exists an ordered forest $\mathcal{F}$ such that $f = f_{\mathcal{F}}$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}$ is an ordered forest with n vertices and $I \subseteq [n]$, then $\mathcal{F}_{|I} = \mathcal{G}$ if, and only if, $\mathrm{std}(f_{\mathcal{F}}^I) = f_{\mathcal{G}}$. So the map $R_{\mathcal{F}} \mapsto \overline{R}_{f_{\mathcal{F}}}$ is an algebra isomorphism from the algebra $\mathbf{H}_o$ to the algebra of endofunctions quotiented by I'_o.

Remark. The ideals I_o and I'_o are different: in degree 2, I_o is spanned by S^{21}, whereas I'_0 is generated by $R_{21} = S^{(21)} - S^{(11)} - S^{(22)} + S^{(12)}$.

	Alphabet	Relation $\prec$	Sum of alphabets	words
$\mathbf{H}_O$	a_{ij}, $1 \leq i \leq j$ non commutative	$a_{ij} \prec a_{jk}$	$a_{ij} \prec b_{kk}$	$l \to k$ $\Rightarrow w_k \prec w_k$
$\mathbf{H}_{CK}$	x_{ij}, $1 \leq i \leq j$ commutative	$x_{ij} \prec x_{jk}$	$x_{ij} \prec x_{kk}$	$l \to k$ $\Rightarrow w_k \prec w_k$
$\mathfrak{S}\mathbf{Sym}$	a_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j$, $i \neq j$ non commutative	$a_{ij} \prec a_{jk}$	$a_{ij} \prec b_{kl}$	$l \to k$ $\Rightarrow w_k \prec w_k$
$\mathbf{EFSym}$	a_{ij}, $1 \leq i, j$, $i \neq j$ non commutative	$a_{ij} \prec a_{jk}$	$a_{ij} \prec b_{kl}$	$l \to k$ $\Rightarrow w_k \prec w_k$

References

[1] C. Brouder, A. Frabetti and C. Krattenthaler, *Non-commutative Hopf algebra of formal diffeomorphisms*, Adv. Math. **200** (2006), no. 2, 479524.

[2] F. Chapoton and M. Livernet, *Pre-Lie algebra and the Rooted Trees Operad*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2001, no. 8, 395–408.

[3] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, *Hopf algebras, Renormalization and Noncommutative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **199** (1998), no. 1, 203–242, arXiv:hep-th/9808042.

[4] G. Duchamp, F. Hivert, and J.-Y. Thibon, *Noncommutative symmetric functions VI: free quasi-symmetric functions and related algebras*, Internat. J. Alg. Comput. **12** (2002), 671–717.

[5] H. Figueroa and J.M. Gracia-Bondia, *Combinatorial Hopf algebras in quantum field theory I*, Rev. Math. Phys. **17** (2005), 881–976.

[6] L. Foissy, *Les algèbres de Hopf des arbres enracinés décorés* I, Bull. Sci. Math. **126** (2002), no. 3, 193–239.

[7] L. Foissy, *Les algèbres de Hopf des arbres enracinés décorés* II, Bull. Sci. Math. **126** (2002), no. 4, 249–288.

[8] L. Foissy, *Ordered forests and parking functions*, Int Math Res Notices (2011) doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnr061, arXiv:1007.1547.

[9] L. Foissy, *Bidendriform bialgebras, trees, and free quasi-symmetric functions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **209** (2007), no. 2, 439–459, arXiv:math/0505207.

[10] L. Foissy and J. Unterberger, *Ordered forests, permutations and iterated integrals*, preprint, arXiv:1004.5208.

[11] I. M. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. S. Retakh and J.-Y. Thibon. *Noncommutative symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. **112** (1995), 218–348.

[12] R. L. Grossman and R. G. Larson, *Hopf-algebraic structure of combinatorial objects and differential operators*, Israel J. Math. **72** (1990), no. 1–2, 109–117, arXiv:0711.3877.

[13] R. L. Grossman and R. G. Larson, *Hopf algebras of heap ordered trees and permutations*, Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), no. 2, 453–459, arXiv:0706.1327.

[14] E. Hairer, C. Lubich and G. Wanner, *Geometric Numerical Integration - Structure-Preserving Algorithms for Ordinary Differential Equations*, Second edition, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics 31. Springer, Berlin (2006).

[15] F. Hivert, *Combinatoire des fonctions quasi-symétriques*, Thèse de Doctorat, Marne-La-Vallée, 1999.

[16] F. Hivert, J.-C. Novelli, and J.-Y. Thibon, *Commutative combinatorial Hopf algebras*, J. Algebraic Combin. **28** (2008), no. 1, 65–95.

[17] F. Hivert, J.-C. Novelli, and J.-Y. Thibon, *Un analogue du monoïde plaxique pour les arbres binaires de recherche*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér I Math. **332** (2002), 577–580.

[18] F. Hivert, J.-C. Novelli, and J.-Y. Thibon, *The algebra of binary search trees*, Theo. Comp. Sci. **339** (1) (2005), 129–165.

[19] D. KREIMER, *Chen's iterated integral represents the operator product expansion*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3** (1999), no. 3, 627–670.

[20] J.-L. LODAY and M.O. RONCO, Hopf algebra of the planar binary trees, *Adv. Math.* 139 (1998) n. 2, 293–309.

[21] C. MALVENUTO and C. REUTENAUER, *Duality between quasi-symmetric functions and the Solomon descent algebra*, J. Algebra **177** (1995), no. 3, 967–982.

[22] F. MENOUS, J.-C. NOVELLI, and J.-Y. THIBON, *Mould calculus, polyhedral cones, and characters of combinatorial Hopf algebras*, math.CO/11091.1634.

[23] J.-C. NOVELLI, F. PATRAS, and J.-Y. THIBON, *Natural endomorphisms of quasi-shuffle Hopf algebras*, math.CO/1101.0725.

[24] J.-C. NOVELLI and J.-Y. THIBON, *Polynomial realizations of some trialgebras*, Proc. FPSAC'06 (San Diego, 2006).

[25] J.-C. NOVELLI and J.-Y. THIBON, *Hopf algebras and dendriform structures arising from parking functions*, Fund. Math. **193** (2007), no. 3, 189–241.

[26] J.-C. NOVELLI and J.-Y. THIBON, *Noncommutative Symmetric Functions and Lagrange Inversion* Adv. App. Math., **40** (2008), 8–35.

[27] J.-C. NOVELLI, J.-Y. THIBON, and N.M. THIÉRY, *Algèbres de Hopf de graphes*, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A, **339** (2004), vol. 9, 607–610.

[28] F. PATRAS and M. SCHOCKER, *Twisted Descent Algebras and the Solomon-Tits Algebra*, Adv. in Math., **199** (1) (2006), 151–184.

(Foissy) LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, MOULIN DE LA HOUSSE, UNIVERSITÉ DE REIMS, BP 1039, F – 51687 REIMS CEDEX 2, FRANCE

(Novelli, Thibon) INSTITUT GASPARD MONGE, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-EST MARNE-LA-VALLÉE, 5, BOULEVARD DESCARTES, CHAMPS-SUR-MARNE, 77454 MARNE-LA-VALLÉE CEDEX 2, FRANCE

E-mail address, Loïc Foissy: `loic.foissy@univ-reims.fr`

E-mail address, Jean-Christophe Novelli: `novelli@univ-mlv.fr`

E-mail address, Jean-Yves Thibon: `jyt@univ-mlv.fr`