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#### Abstract

We study the infinitesimal (in the sense of Joni and Rota) bialgebra $H_{R T}$ of planar rooted trees introduced in a previous work of two of the authors, whose coproduct is given by deletion of a vertex. We prove that its dual $H_{R T}^{*}$ is isomorphic to a free non unitary algebra, and give two free generating sets. Giving $H_{R T}$ a second product, we make it an infinitesimal bialgebra in the sense of Loday and Ronco, which allows to explicitly construct a projector onto its space of primitive elements, which freely generates $H_{R T}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Rooted trees and planar rooted trees have a very rich algebraic structure: Grossman and Larson [10] first gave rooted trees a structure of noncommutative and cocommutative algebraic structure, closely related to the Butcher group of RungeKutta methods [2]; then, in order to algebraically treat the process of renormalization in quantum field theory, Connes and Kreimer introduced a commutative, noncocommutative Hopf algebra of rooted trees [3], and it was proved that the Connes-Kreimer and the Grossman-Larson Hopf algebra are in duality [12,16]. A self-dual noncommutative version of the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra was simultaneously introduced by Foissy and Holtkamp [5,13], and this object was deformed as an infinitesimal bialgebra in the sense of Loday and Ronco [15] in [7].

Recently, Gao and Wang introduced another infinitesimal coproduct $\Delta_{R T}$ on planar rooted trees, where the usual 1-cocycle compatibility between the operator $B^{+}$ (see paragraph 2.1 below) and the coproduct is modified: in the Foissy-Holtkamp

[^0]case, this is the 1 -cocycle condition
$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{T}} \circ B^{+}(x)=B^{+}(x) \otimes \mathbb{1}+\left(\operatorname{id} \otimes B^{+}\right) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(x)
$$
whereas in the Gao-Wang case, this is:
$$
\Delta_{R T} \circ B^{+}(x)=x \otimes \mathbb{1}+\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes B^{+}\right) \circ \Delta_{R T}(x)
$$

All these coproducts on planar rooted trees are different; for example, in the "classical" Foissy-Holtkamp case:

$$
\Delta(\boldsymbol{Y})=\boldsymbol{\gamma} \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\gamma}+2 \cdot \otimes \mathfrak{l}+\cdots \otimes \cdot
$$

whereas in the "infinitesimal" Foissy-Holtkamp case:

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(\Upsilon)=\Upsilon \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes \vartheta+\cdot \otimes:+\ldots \otimes \cdot
$$

and in the Gao-Wang case:

$$
\Delta_{R T}(\curlyvee)=\ldots \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathfrak{l}+\bullet \otimes \boldsymbol{\bullet}
$$

The Foissy-Holtkamp coproducts are described with the help of different families of admissible cuts, whereas the Gao-Wang coproduct is combinatorially described by the deletion of a vertex, separating the planar tree into two forests, see (7) below.

Our aim in this paper is to understand of this infinitesimal Hopf algebra $H_{R T}$, as well as its dual. We start by giving a combinatorial description of the product $\diamond$ of $H_{R T}^{*}$ in the dual basis $\left(Z_{F}\right)$ of the basis of forests of $H_{R T}$ in terms of particular graftings in 3.13 . We deduce that $\left(H_{R T}^{*}, \diamond\right)$ is a free nonunitary algebra, freely generated by the elements $Z_{F}$ indexed by forests of even length. As a consequence, the infinitesimal bialgebra $H_{R T}^{*}$ is both, a free nonunitary algebra, and a cofree counitary coalgebra; by duality, $H_{R T}$ is both, a free unitary algebra (an immediate result), and a cofree noncounitary coalgebra.

With its usual product, $H_{R T}$ is an infinitesimal bialgebra in the sense of Joni and Rota [14]:

$$
\Delta_{R T}(x y)=x \cdot \Delta_{R T}(y)+\Delta_{R T}(x) \cdot y, \forall x, y \in H_{R T} .
$$

With a second product $\star$, we make it an infinitesimal bialgebra in the sense of Loday and Ronco [15] (Proposition 4.1):

$$
\Delta_{R T}(x \star y)=x \star \Delta_{R T}(y)+\Delta_{R T}(x) \star y+x \otimes y, \forall x, y \in H_{R T}
$$

As a consequence, we obtain a projector $\theta$ on the space of primitive elements of $H_{R T}$ in Theorem 4.2, for which we give a cancellation-free expression in Corollary 4.8. As a consequence, we prove in Corollary 4.6 that $\left(H_{R T}, \star, \Delta_{R T}\right)$ is isomorphic, as an infinitesimal bialgebra, to a nonunitary free algebra, with the concatenation
product and deconcatenation coproduct. Dualizing these results, we describe the transposition $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ of the product $\star$ and obtain by transposition a projector $\theta^{*}$ on the space of primitive elements of $H_{R T}^{*}$; as a consequence, we obtain a second set of free generators of $\left(H_{R T}^{*}, \diamond\right)$, namely the elements $Z_{F}$ indexed by forests with no tree reduced to a single root (Corollary 4.14).

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains reviews on planar rooted trees and forests, the Gao and Wang infinitesimal Hopf algebra $H_{R T}$ and the description of the coproduct $\Delta_{R T}$. In Section 3, we describe the dual product $\diamond$ of $H_{R T}^{*}$ in terms of graftings, with also results on the number of such graftings, and we deduce the freeness of $H_{R T}^{*}$. We define and study the second product $\star$ on $H_{R T}$ in Section 4, as well as the associated projector $\theta$ and its transpose.

Notation. In this paper, we will be working over a unitary commutative base ring $\mathbf{k}$. By an algebra we mean an associative algebra (possibly without unit) and by a coalgebra we mean a coassociative coalgebra (possibly without counit), unless otherwise stated. Linear maps and tensor products are taken over k. For any algebra $A$, we view $A \otimes A$ as an $A$-bimodule via

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \cdot(b \otimes c):=a b \otimes c \text { and }(b \otimes c) \cdot a:=b \otimes c a . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. The infinitesimal unitary Hopf algebras of planar rooted forests

In this section, we first recall some basic notations used throughout the paper.
2.1. Planar rooted forests. We expose some concepts and notations on planar rooted forests from $[11,17]$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the set of planar rooted trees and $M(\mathcal{T})$ the free monoid generated by $\mathcal{T}$ in which the multiplication is the concatenation, denoted by $m_{R T}$ and usually suppressed. Thus an element $F$ in $M(\mathcal{T})$, called a planar rooted forest, is a noncommutative product of planar rooted trees in $\mathcal{T}$. The empty tree $\mathbb{1}$ is the unity of $M(\mathcal{T})$.

Here are some examples of elements of $\mathcal{T}$ where the root is on the bottom:

$$
\cdot \quad:, \quad \gamma, \quad \vdots, \quad \forall, \quad \dot{\gamma}, \quad \dot{\quad}, \quad 豸 .
$$

Here are some examples of elements of $M(\mathcal{T})$ :

$$
\mathbb{1}, \quad \ldots, \quad: \ldots, \quad .!, \quad \vee!, \quad \ldots .
$$

Let $H_{R T}:=\mathbf{k} M(\mathcal{T})$ be the free $\mathbf{k}$-module spanned by $M(\mathcal{T})$. Denote by

$$
B^{+}: H_{R T} \rightarrow H_{R T}
$$

the grafting map sending $\mathbb{1}$ to • and sending a planar rooted forest in $H_{R T}$ to its grafting on a new root, and by $m_{R T}$ the concatenation on $H_{R T}$. Then $H_{R T}$ is closed under the concatenation $m_{R T}$ [17]. Here are some examples of $B^{+}$on $H_{R T}$ :

$$
B^{+}(\mathbb{1})=\cdot, \quad B^{+}(\cdot)=\mathfrak{1}, \quad B^{+}(\mathfrak{l})=\mathfrak{\ell} .
$$

For $F=T_{1} \cdots T_{m} \in M(\mathcal{T})$ with $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{m} \in \mathcal{T}$, we define $\operatorname{bre}(F):=m$ to be the breadth of $F$. Here we use the convention that bre $(\mathbb{1})=0$ when $m=0$. The depth $\operatorname{dep}(T)$ of a rooted tree is the maximal length of linear chains from the root to the leaves of the tree. For $F=T_{1} \cdots T_{m} \in M(\mathcal{T})$ with $m \geqslant 0$, we define

$$
\operatorname{dep}(F):=\max \left\{\operatorname{dep}\left(T_{i}\right) \mid i=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

2.2. Infinitesimal unitary Hopf algebras of planar rooted forests. In order to provide an algebraic framework for the calculus of divided differences, Joni and Rota [14] introduced the concept of an infinitesimal bialgebra.
Definition 2.1. [14] An infinitesimal bialgebra is a triple $(A, m, \Delta)$ where $(A, m)$ is an associative algebra, $(A, \Delta)$ is a coassociative coalgebra and for each $a, b \in A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(a b)=a \cdot \Delta(b)+\Delta(a) \cdot b=\sum_{(b)} a b_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)}+\sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} b \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(A, m, \Delta)$ is an infinitesimal bialgebra, the space of its primitive elements is $\operatorname{Prim}(A)=\operatorname{ker}(\Delta)$.

Note that we do not require that $(A, m)$ is unitary, nor that $(A, \Delta)$ is counitary. The concept of an infinitesimal Hopf algebra was introduced by Aguiar in order to develop and study infinitesimal bialgebras [1]. If $A$ is an infinitesimal bialgebra, then the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)$ is still an algebra under convolution:

$$
f * g:=m(f \otimes g) \Delta
$$

but possibly without unity with respect to the convolution $*[1]$. Therefore, it is impossible to consider antipode. To solve this difficulty, Aguiar equipped the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)$ with circular convolution $\circledast$ given by
$f \circledast g:=f * g+f+g$, that is, $(f \circledast g)(a):=\sum_{(a)} f\left(a_{(1)}\right) g\left(a_{(2)}\right)+f(a)+g(a)$ for $a \in A$.
Note that $f \circledast 0=f=0 \circledast f$ and so $0 \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)$ is the unity with respect to the circular convolution $\circledast$.

With the help of the circular convolution, one can describe infinitesimal Hopf algebras.

Definition 2.2. [1] An infinitesimal bialgebra $(A, m, \Delta)$ is called an infinitesimal Hopf algebra if the identity map id $\in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)$ is invertible with respect to the circular convolution. In this case, its inverse $S \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A, A)$ is called the antipode of $A$. It is characterized by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(a)} S\left(a_{(1)}\right) a_{(2)}+S(a)+a=0=\sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} S\left(a_{(2)}\right)+S(a)+a \text { for } a \in A \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta(a)=\sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$.
Now we recall the infinitesimal Hopf algebraic structure on top of planar rooted forests defined in [9]. The coproduct $\Delta_{R T}$ on $H_{R T}$ is defined recursively on depth. Let $F$ be a forest in $H_{R T}$. For the initial step of $\operatorname{dep}(F)=0$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{R T}(F):=\Delta_{R T}(\mathbb{1})=0 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the induction step of $\operatorname{dep}(F) \geqslant 1$, we reduce to the induction on $\operatorname{bre}(F) \geqslant 1$. If $\operatorname{bre}(F)=1$, then $F=B^{+}(\bar{F})$ for some $\bar{F} \in M(\mathcal{T})$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{R T}(F):=\Delta_{R T} B^{+}(\bar{F}):=\bar{F} \otimes \mathbb{1}+\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes B^{+}\right) \Delta_{R T}(\bar{F}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\Delta_{R T} B^{+}=\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathbb{1}+\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes B^{+}\right) \Delta_{R T}$. Here the coproduct $\Delta_{R T}(\bar{F})$ is defined by the induction hypothesis on depth. If bre $(F) \geqslant 2$, then $F=T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{m}$ with $\operatorname{bre}(F)=m \geqslant 2$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{R T}(F):=T_{1} \cdot \Delta_{R T}\left(T_{2} \cdots T_{m}\right)+\Delta_{R T}\left(T_{1}\right) \cdot\left(T_{2} \cdots T_{m}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. Foissy [7] also studied another kind of infinitesimal Hopf algebras on planar rooted forests, using a different coproduct $\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}$ given by

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(F):= \begin{cases}\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}, & \text { if } F=\mathbb{1} \\ F \otimes \mathbb{1}+\left(\operatorname{id} \otimes B^{+}\right) \Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{F}), & \text { if } F=B^{+}(\bar{F}), \\ F_{1} \cdot \Delta_{\mathcal{T}}\left(F_{2}\right)+\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}\left(F_{1}\right) \cdot F_{2}-F_{1} \otimes F_{2}, & \text { if } F=F_{1} F_{2}\end{cases}
$$

We give some examples to expose the differences between these two coproducts $\Delta_{R T}$ and $\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}$. On the one hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{R T}(\cdot) & =\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \\
\Delta_{R T}(\mathfrak{l}) & =\cdot \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdot ; \\
\Delta_{R T}(\mho) & =\cdot \bullet \otimes \mathbb{1}+\cdot \otimes \cdot+\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathfrak{!} \\
\Delta_{R T}(\vdots) & =\mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathbb{1}+: \otimes \cdot+\cdot \otimes!+\mathbb{1} \otimes \vartheta
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(\cdot)=\cdot \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes \cdot
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{l}) & =\mathfrak{:} \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathfrak{l}+\cdot \otimes \cdot ; \\
\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(\boldsymbol{V}) & =\boldsymbol{V} \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\gamma}+\boldsymbol{\bullet} \otimes \cdot+\cdot \otimes \mathfrak{l} \\
\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{V}) & =\mathfrak{V} \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathfrak{V}+\mathfrak{l} \otimes \cdot+\cdot \otimes \boldsymbol{V}+\mathfrak{l} \otimes:
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us recall the combinatorial description of $\Delta_{R T}$ given in [9], in terms of an order on the set $V(F)$ of vertices of a forest $F[5,7]$.

Definition 2.4. Let $F=T_{1} \cdots T_{m} \in M(\mathcal{T})$ with $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $m \geqslant 1$, and let $u, v \in V(F)$ be two vertices. Then
(a) $u \leqslant_{h} v$ (being higher) if there exists a (directed) path from $u$ to $v$ in $F$, the edges of $F$ being oriented from roots to leaves;
(b) $u \leqslant_{\ell} v$ (being more on the left) if $u$ and $v$ are not comparable for $\leqslant_{h}$ and one of the following assertions is satisfied:
(i) $u$ is a vertex of $T_{i}$ and $v$ is a vertex of $T_{j}$ with $1 \leqslant j<i \leqslant m$.
(ii) $u$ and $v$ are vertices of the same $T_{i}$, and $u \leqslant \ell v$ in the forest obtained from $T_{i}$ by deleting its root;
(c) $u \leqslant_{h, \ell} v$ (being higher or more on the left) if $u \leqslant_{h} v$ or $u \leqslant_{\ell} v$.

As usual, we denote $u<_{h, \ell} v$ (resp. $u<_{\ell} v, u<_{h} v$ ) if $u \leqslant_{h, \ell} v$ (resp. $u \leqslant_{\ell} v$, $u \leqslant h v$ ) but $u \neq v$. The induced subgraph in $G$ by $V$ is the graph whose vertex set is $V$ and whose edge set consists of all of the edges in $G$ that have both endpoints in $V$ [4].

Let $F \in M(\mathcal{T})$ be a planar rooted forest. For each vertex $v \in V(F)$, denote by $B_{v}$ the induced subgraph in $F$ by the set $\left\{u \in V(F) \mid v<_{h, \ell} u\right\}$, and by $R_{v}$ the induced subgraph in $F$ by the set $V(F) \backslash\left(V\left(B_{v}\right) \cup\{v\}\right)$. Equivalently, $R_{v}$ is the induced subgraph in $F$ by the set $\left\{u \in V(F) \mid u<_{h, \ell} v\right\}$. Note that both $B_{v}$ and $R_{v}$ are planar rooted forests in $M(\mathcal{T})$, not containing the vertex $v$. Then by [9, eq. (8)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{R T}(F)=\sum_{v \in V(F)} B_{v} \otimes R_{v} \text { for } F \in M(\mathcal{T}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.5. [9]
(a) The quadruple $\left(H_{R T}, m_{R T}, \mathbb{1}, \Delta_{R T}\right)$ is an infinitesimal unitary bialgebra.
(b) The quadruple $\left(H_{R T}, m_{R T}, \mathbb{1}, \Delta_{R T}\right)$ is an infinitesimal unitary Hopf algebra.

## 3. The dual of infinitesimal unitary Hopf algebra on planar rooted forests

In this section, we show that the dual $H_{R T}^{*}=\left(H_{R T}^{*}, \Delta_{R T}^{*}, m_{R T}^{*}, \mathbb{1}^{*}\right)$ of $H_{R T}$ is a free algebra. Let us first recall some fundamental facts.
Lemma 3.1. [8] Let $V=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} V^{(n)}$ be a graded vector space, with finite-dimensional homogeneous components. Then
(a) The graded dual $V^{*}:=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(V^{(n)}\right)^{*}$ is also a graded vector space, and $V^{* *} \simeq$ $V$.
(b) $V \otimes V$ is also a graded vector space with $(V \otimes V)^{(n)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} V^{(i)} \otimes V^{(n-i)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $(V \otimes V)^{*} \simeq V^{*} \otimes V^{*}$.

The Hopf algebra $H_{R T}$ can be graded by the number of vertices. Denote by

$$
H_{R T}(n):=\mathbf{k}\{F \in M(\mathcal{T})| | F \mid=n-1\} \text { for } n \geqslant 1
$$

where $|F|$ is the number of vertices of $F$. Then

$$
H_{R T}=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{R T}(n) \text { and } H_{R T}^{*}=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(H_{R T}(n)\right)^{*}
$$

We now give a combinatorial description of the dual of the coproduct $\Delta_{R T}$. Let us propose the following concepts as a preparation.

Definition 3.2. Let $T$ be a planar rooted tree. The left path $\operatorname{LP}(T)$ of $T$ is defined to be the path from the root to the left most leaf of $T$.

Example 3.3. The following paths in green are left paths of planar rooted trees, respectively.

$$
v, 1, \forall, v, \gamma .
$$

Definition 3.4. Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be two planar rooted trees. A left grafting of $T^{\prime}$ over $T$ is a planar rooted tree obtained by grafting $T^{\prime}$ to a vertex $v$ of the left path $\mathrm{LP}(T)$ by connecting $v$ and the root of $T^{\prime}$, such that $T^{\prime}$ is on the left of $T$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}\left(T^{\prime}, T\right)$ the set of all left graftings of $T^{\prime}$ over $T$.

Example 3.5. Consider $T^{\prime}=$. and $T=$ !. Then $V$ and $!$ are the two left graftings of $T^{\prime}$ over $T$.

In general, we propose

Definition 3.6. Let $F=T_{1} \cdots T_{m}$ be a planar rooted forest with $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $T$ a planar rooted tree. A left grafting of $F$ over $T$ is a planar rooted tree obtained by left grafting each $T_{i}$ in a vertex $v_{i}$ of $\operatorname{LP}(T)$ such that $v_{i} \leqslant_{h, \ell} v_{j}$ when $i<j$. Denoted by $\mathcal{L}(F, T)$ the set of all left grafting of $F$ over $T$.

Notice that the $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}$ may be grafted in the same vertex.
Example 3.7. Let $F=\ldots$ and $T=\ell$. Then

$$
\mathcal{L}(F, T)=\{\forall, \forall, \quad \bigvee\}
$$

Definition 3.8. Let $F$ be a planar rooted forest and $T$ a planar rooted tree.
Step 1: Decompose $F=F_{1} F_{2}$ and $F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}$, where $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{1}^{\prime}, F_{2}^{\prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})$.
Step 2: Left graft $F_{2}^{\prime}$ over $T$ to obtain an $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)$, and concatenate $F_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{F}$ to get $F_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{F}$, and call the concatenation $F_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{F}$ a grafting of $F$ over $T$.
Denote by $\mathcal{G}(F, T)$ the set of all graftings of $F$ over $T$.
Let us compute explicitly an example for better understanding of Definition 3.8.
Example 3.9. Let $F=\ldots$ and $T=!$. Then the decomposition $F=F_{1} F_{2}$ as concatenation product can be

$$
F=\mathbb{1}(\ldots)=(\cdot)(\cdot)=(\ldots) \mathbb{1}
$$

Case 1. $F_{1}=\mathbb{1}$ and $F_{2}=\ldots$ Then $B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=\vee$ and $F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=\Upsilon$. The decomposition $F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}$ can be

$$
F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=(\bigvee) \mathbb{1}=\mathbb{1}(\vee)
$$

We have two subcases.
Subcase 1.1. $F_{1}^{\prime}=\vartheta$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}=\mathbb{1}$. Then $\vartheta!$ is the only one grafting of $F$ over $T$ in this subcase.
Subcase 1.2. $F_{1}^{\prime}=\mathbb{1}$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}=\vartheta$. Then

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)=\mathcal{L}(\vee,!)=\{\vee,!\}
$$

and $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{\zeta}$ are two graftings of $F$ over $T$ in this subcase.
Case 2. $F_{1}=$. and $F_{2}=\ldots$ Then $B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=$ : and $F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=\ldots$. The decomposition $F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}$ can be

$$
F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=(.!) \mathbb{1}=(.)(!)=\mathbb{1}(.!)
$$

We have the following three subcases.

Subcase 2.1. $F_{1}^{\prime}=.!$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}=\mathbb{1}$. Then.$!\ell$ is the only one grafting of $F$ over $T$ in this subcase.
Subcase 2.2. $F_{1}^{\prime}=$. and $F_{2}^{\prime}=$ : . Then

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)=\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{\imath}, \mathfrak{\imath})=\{\vee, \vdots\}
$$

and $. \vee, \ldots$ are two graftings of $F$ over $T$ in this subcase.
Subcase 2.3. $F_{1}^{\prime}=\mathbb{1}$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}=\ldots$. Then

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)=\mathcal{L}(. \mathfrak{\imath}, \mathfrak{\imath})=\{\downarrow, \stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow}, \dot{\downarrow}\}
$$

and $\forall, \forall$ are three graftings of $F$ over $T$ in this subcase.
Case 3. $F_{1}=\ldots$ and $F_{2}=\mathbb{1}$. Then $B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=$. and $F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=\ldots$. The decomposition $F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}$ can be

$$
F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=(\ldots) \mathbb{1}=(\ldots)(\cdot)=(\cdot)(\ldots)=\mathbb{1}(\ldots)
$$

There are four subcases.
Subcase 3.1. $F_{1}^{\prime}=\ldots$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}=\mathbb{1}$. Thus $\ldots \ell$ is the only one grafting of $F$ over $T$ in this subcase.
Subcase 3.2. $F_{1}^{\prime}=\ldots$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}=\ldots$ Then

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)=\mathcal{L}(\cdot, \imath)=\{\vee, \vdots\}
$$

and $\ldots \vee, \ldots$ are two graftings of $F$ over $T$ in this subcase.
Subcase 3.3. $F_{1}^{\prime}=$. and $F_{2}^{\prime}=\ldots$ We have

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)=\mathcal{L}(\ldots, \mathfrak{\imath})=\{\boxtimes, \forall \vartheta, \bigvee\}
$$

Then $\cdot V, . \vee, . Y$ are three graftings of $F$ over $T$ in this subcase.
Subcase 3.4. $F_{1}^{\prime}=\mathbb{1}$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}=\ldots$ Then

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)=\mathcal{L}(\ldots,!)=\{\mathscr{W}, \forall, \vartheta, \vartheta\}
$$

and $\mathscr{V}, \forall, \vartheta, \Psi \begin{array}{r} \\ \forall\end{array}$ are four graftings of $F$ over $T$ in this subcase.

In summary, there are nineteen graftings of $F$ over $T$ :

Proposition 3.10. Let $F$ be a planar rooted forest and $T$ be a planar rooted tree. We put $\operatorname{bre}(F):=k$ and we denote by $l$ the cardinality of $L P(T)$. Then:

$$
a_{k, l}:=|\mathcal{G}(F, T)|=\binom{k+l+2}{l+1}-1
$$

Moreover, in $\mathbb{Q}[[X, Y]]$ :

$$
\sum_{k, l=0}^{\infty} a_{k, l} X^{k} Y^{l}=\frac{1}{(1-X)(1-Y)(1-X-Y)}
$$

Proof. As bre $(F)=k$, if we put $F=T_{1} \cdots T_{k}$, with $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k}$ planar rooted trees, there are exactly $k+1$ possibilities for writing $F=F_{1} F_{2}$, which are $\left(F_{1}^{(i)}, F_{2}^{(i)}\right)=$ $\left(T_{1} \cdots T_{i-1}, T_{i} \cdots T_{k}\right)$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k+1$. Note that $G^{(i)}=F_{1}^{(i)} B^{+}\left(F_{2}^{(i)}\right)$ is a forest of breadth $i$. Therefore, there are exactly $\binom{i+l}{i}$ ways to write it as $G^{(i)}=G_{0} \cdots G_{l}$, so there are exactly $\binom{i+l}{l}$ ways to proceed in the second step of Definition 3.8. Hence:

$$
|\mathcal{G}(F, T)|=\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\binom{i+l}{l}=\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\binom{i+l}{l}-1=\sum_{j=l}^{k+l+1}\binom{j}{l}-1=\binom{k+l+2}{l+1}-1
$$

Moreover:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k, l=0}^{\infty} a_{k, l} X^{k} Y^{l} & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\binom{k+l+2}{l+1} X^{k} Y^{l}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} X^{k} Y^{l} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^{k}}{Y} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\binom{k+l+1}{l} Y^{l}-\frac{1}{(1-X)(1-Y)} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^{k}}{Y}\left(\frac{1}{(1-Y)^{k+2}}-1\right)-\frac{1}{(1-X)(1-Y)} \\
& =\frac{1}{Y(1-Y)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{X}{1-Y}\right)^{k}-\frac{1}{Y} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^{k}-\frac{1}{(1-X)(1-Y)} \\
& =\frac{1}{Y(1-Y)^{2}} \frac{1}{1-\frac{X}{1-Y}}-\frac{1}{Y(1-X)}-\frac{1}{(1-X)(1-Y)} \\
& =\frac{1}{(1-X)(1-Y)(1-X-Y)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for any $k, l \geqslant 1, a_{k, l}=a_{l, k}$.

In general, we propose
Definition 3.11. Let $F$ and $F^{\prime}=T F_{1}$ be two planar rooted forests with $T \in \mathcal{T}$. We call $\tilde{F} F_{1}$ a grafting of $F$ over $F^{\prime}$, where $\tilde{F}$ is a grafting of $F$ over $T$ given in Definition 3.8. Let $\mathcal{G}\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)$ be the set of all graftings of $F$ over $F^{\prime}$.

For $F, F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})$, denote by $n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ the number of ways of grafting of $F$ over $F^{\prime}$ to obtain $F^{\prime \prime}$. For example,

$$
\begin{gathered}
n^{\prime}(\ldots,: ; \boldsymbol{\bullet})=0, \quad n^{\prime}(\ldots,: ; \ldots \boldsymbol{\bullet})=0, \quad n^{\prime}(\ldots,: ; \mathfrak{\cup})=1 \\
\text { and } \quad n^{\prime}(\ldots,: ; \mathfrak{Y})=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

For each $F \in M(\mathcal{T})$, we define

$$
Z_{F}:\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
H_{R T} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{k}  \tag{8}\\
F^{\prime} & \mapsto & \delta_{F, F^{\prime}} \text { for } F^{\prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\delta_{F, F^{\prime}}$ is the Kronecker function. Then $\left\{Z_{F} \mid F \in M(\mathcal{T})\right\}$ is a basis of $H_{R T}^{*}$. We denote by $n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ the coefficient of $F \otimes F^{\prime}$ in $\Delta_{R T}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $F, F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})$. The following result gives the relation between $n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Lemma 3.12. Let $F, F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})$. Then $n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)=n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Moreover, this coefficient is 0 or 1 .

Proof. We first show that $n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let $F^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)$ be a grafting of $F$ over $F^{\prime}$ determinated by the decompositions $F=F_{1} F_{2}$ and $F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=$ $F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}$, in which the new vertex added by $B^{+}$is denoted by $v$. Graphically,

$$
F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=F_{1} \underbrace{}_{v} .
$$

Then $v \in V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and

$$
V\left(F_{1}\right)=\left\{u \in V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid v<_{\ell} u\right\} \text { and } V\left(F_{2}\right)=\left\{u \in V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid v<_{h} u\right\} .
$$

By the combinatorial description of the coproduct $\Delta_{R T}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we have

$$
B_{v}=\left\{u \in V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid v<_{h, \ell} u\right\}=F_{1} F_{2}=F .
$$

Since $V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)=V(F) \sqcup V\left(F^{\prime}\right) \sqcup\{v\}$, we get

$$
R_{v}=\text { the induced subgraph of } F^{\prime \prime} \text { by } V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) \backslash\left(\{v\} \sqcup V\left(B_{v}\right)\right)=F^{\prime} .
$$

Therefore a grafting $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $F$ over $F^{\prime}$ induces a term $B_{v} \otimes R_{v}=F \otimes F^{\prime}$ in $\Delta_{R T}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and so $n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Next we show that $n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$. By Eq. (7), we may let $B_{v} \otimes$ $R_{v}$ be an item in $\Delta_{R T}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for some $v \in V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Write $F^{\prime \prime}=T_{1} \cdots T_{m}$ with $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m} \in \mathcal{T}$, and assume $v \in V\left(T_{i}\right)$ for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Let $F:=B_{v}$, $F^{\prime}:=R_{v}$,

$$
F_{1}:=\text { the induced subgraph of } F^{\prime \prime} \text { by }\left\{u \in V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid v<_{\ell} u\right\}
$$

and

$$
F_{2}:=B_{v} \backslash F_{1}=\text { the induced subgraph of } F^{\prime \prime} \text { by }\left\{u \in V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid v<_{h} u\right\} .
$$

Since $F=B_{v}$ is the induced subgraph of $F^{\prime \prime}$ by $\left\{u \in V\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid v<_{h, \ell} u\right\}$, it follows from Definition 2.4 that $F=B_{v}=F_{1} F_{2}$. Let $F_{1}^{\prime}:=T_{1} \cdots T_{i-1}$ and

$$
F_{2}^{\prime}:=\text { the induced subgraph of } T_{i} \text { by }\left\{u \in V\left(T_{i}\right) \mid v<_{h, \ell} u\right\} \sqcup\{v\}
$$

Then $F^{\prime \prime}$ is a grafting of $F$ over $F^{\prime}$ determinate by the decomposition $F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)=$ $F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}$ (see Fig.1).


Fig. 1 The illustration of the grafting $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $F$ over $F^{\prime}$.
Hence, if $F \otimes F^{\prime}$ is a term of $\Delta_{R T}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then we obtain a grafting $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $F$ over $F^{\prime}$ and so $n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Therefore $n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)=n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

If $n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant 2$, then there exist two different vertices $u$ and $v$ such that $B_{u} \otimes R_{u}=F \otimes F^{\prime}=B_{v} \otimes R_{v}$ by Eq. (7). Thus $B_{u}=B_{v}$ and so $u=v$ by the definition of $B_{u}$ and $B_{v}$, a contradiction.

The following result gives a combinatorial description of the multiplication in $H_{R T}^{*}$, dual of the coproduct $\Delta_{R T}$, which we denote by $\diamond=\Delta_{R T}^{*}$.

Proposition 3.13. Let $F, F^{\prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})$. The product of $Z_{F}$ and $Z_{F^{\prime}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{F} \diamond Z_{F^{\prime}}=\sum_{F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})} n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right) Z_{F^{\prime \prime}}=\sum_{F^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)} Z_{F^{\prime \prime}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The second equation follows from Lemma 3.12. We are left to show the first equation. For any $F, F^{\prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})$, we suppose

$$
Z_{F} \diamond Z_{F^{\prime}}=\sum_{F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})} a_{F, F^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}} Z_{F^{\prime \prime}}
$$

For any $F_{1} \in M(\mathcal{T})$, we have

$$
\sum_{F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})} a_{F, F^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}} Z_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(F_{1}\right)=a_{F, F^{\prime}}^{F_{1}} Z_{F_{1}}\left(F_{1}\right)=a_{F, F^{\prime}}^{F_{1}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{F} \diamond Z_{F^{\prime}}\left(F_{1}\right) & =\Delta_{R T}^{*}\left(Z_{F} \otimes Z_{F^{\prime}}\right)\left(F_{1}\right)=\left(Z_{F} \otimes Z_{F^{\prime}}\right)\left(\Delta_{R T}\left(F_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(Z_{F} \otimes Z_{F^{\prime}}\right)\left(\sum_{\left(F_{1}\right)} F_{1(1)} \otimes F_{1(2)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(F_{1}\right)} Z_{F}\left(F_{1(1)}\right) \otimes Z_{F^{\prime}}\left(F_{1(2)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(F_{1}\right)} \delta_{F, F_{1(1)}} \otimes \delta_{F^{\prime}, F_{1(2)}} \\
& =n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F_{1}\right) \quad\left(\text { by Definition of } n\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F_{1}\right) \quad(\text { by Lemma } 3.12) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $a_{F, F^{\prime}}^{F_{1}}=n^{\prime}\left(F, F^{\prime} ; F_{1}\right)$, as required.
Let us expose an example.
Example 3.14. Let $F, F^{\prime}$ be the planar rooted forests in Example 3.9. Then the product of $Z_{\text {. }}$. and $Z_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is

Remark 3.15. (a) We define the degree of a forest as $\operatorname{deg}(F)=|F|+1$ for $F \in M(\mathcal{T})$. Since a new vertex is added by the grafting operator $B^{+}$in the second step of Definition 3.8, the multiplication

$$
\diamond: H_{R T}^{*} \otimes H_{R T}^{*} \rightarrow H_{R T}^{*}
$$

is homogeneous of degree 0 by Proposition 3.13.
(b) Let $F, F^{\prime}=T \overline{F^{\prime}}$ be two planar rooted forests. Then by Definition 3.11 and Proposition 3.13,

$$
Z_{F} \diamond Z_{F^{\prime}}=\sum_{F^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)} Z_{F^{\prime \prime}}=\sum_{F_{1} F_{2}=F} \sum_{F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}=F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)} \sum_{\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T\right)} Z_{F_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{F} \overline{F^{\prime}}},
$$

where $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{1}^{\prime}, F_{2}^{\prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})$.
The following result characterizes the coproduct on $H_{R T}^{*}$.
Lemma 3.16. Let $T_{1} \cdots T_{n} \in M(\mathcal{T})$. The coproduct of $Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{n}} \in H_{R T}^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{R T}^{*}\left(Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{n}}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{i}} \otimes Z_{T_{i+1} \cdots T_{n}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the convention that $Z_{T_{1} T_{0}}=\mathbb{1}$ and $Z_{T_{n+1} T_{n}}=\mathbb{1}$.
Proof. Suppose

$$
m_{R T}^{*}\left(Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{n}}\right)=\sum_{F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})} c_{F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}} Z_{F^{\prime}} \otimes Z_{F^{\prime \prime}}
$$

For any $F_{1}, F_{2} \in M(\mathcal{T})$, we have

$$
m_{R T}^{*}\left(Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{n}}\right)\left(F_{1} \otimes F_{2}\right)=Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{n}}\left(m_{R T}\left(F_{1} \otimes F_{2}\right)\right)=Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{n}}\left(F_{1} F_{2}\right)=\delta_{T_{1} \cdots T_{n}, F_{1} F_{2}},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})} c_{F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}}\left(Z_{F^{\prime}} \otimes Z_{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right)\left(F_{1} \otimes F_{2}\right) & =\sum_{F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})} c_{F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}} Z_{F^{\prime}}\left(F_{1}\right) \otimes Z_{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(F_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime} \in M(\mathcal{T})} c_{F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}} \delta_{F^{\prime}, F_{1}} \otimes \delta_{F^{\prime \prime}, F_{2}} \\
& =c_{F_{1}, F_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\delta_{T_{1} \cdots T_{n}, F_{1} F_{2}}=c_{F_{1}, F_{2}}$ and so $c_{F_{1}, F_{2}}=1$ if $T_{1} \cdots T_{n}=F_{1} F_{2}$ and $c_{F_{1}, F_{2}}=0$ otherwise. This completes the proof.

For example, we have

$$
m_{R T}^{*}\left(Z_{\mathbf{.}}\right)=Z_{\mathbf{.}}: \otimes \mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1} \otimes Z_{\mathbf{!}}+Z_{\mathbf{\bullet}} \otimes Z_{\mathbf{g}}
$$

Now we are ready for our main result in this section. Denote by

$$
S:=\left\{Z_{F} \mid F \in M(\mathcal{T}) \text { such that } \operatorname{bre}(F) \text { is even }\right\}
$$

Theorem 3.17. The algebra $\left(H_{R T}^{*}, \diamond\right)$ is the free non unitary algebra on $S$.

Proof. We first prove that $S$ generates $H_{R T}^{*}$. Let $A$ be the subalgebra of $\left(H_{R T}^{*}, \diamond\right)$ generated by $S$ and let $Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{m}} \in H_{R T}^{*}$ be a basis element with $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m} \in \mathcal{T}$. If $m$ is even, then $Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{m}} \in A$. If $m$ is odd, we prove that $Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{m}} \in A$ by induction on $\left|T_{1}\right| \geqslant 1$. If $\left|T_{1}\right|=1$, then $T_{1}=$ • and

$$
Z_{\mathbb{1}} \diamond Z_{T_{2} \cdots T_{m}}=Z_{\bullet} T_{2} \cdots T_{m}+\sum_{F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\bullet, T_{2}\right)} Z_{F^{\prime} T_{3} \cdots T_{m}} \quad \text { (by Item (b) of Remark 3.15). }
$$

Since $Z_{\mathbb{1}}, Z_{T_{2} \cdots T_{m}} \in A$ and $Z_{F^{\prime} T_{3} \cdots T_{m}} \in A$ by bre $\left(F^{\prime} T_{3} \cdots T_{m}\right)=m-1$, we have $Z_{T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{m}}=Z_{. T_{2} \cdots T_{m}} \in A$. If $\left|T_{1}\right| \geqslant 2$, then $T_{1}=B^{+}(F)$ for some $F \in H_{R T}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{F} \diamond Z_{T_{2} \cdots T_{m}} & \sum_{F_{1} F_{2}=F} \sum_{F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}=F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right)} \sum_{\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T_{2}\right)} Z_{F_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{F} T_{3} \cdots T_{m}}(\text { by Item (b) of Remark 3.15) } \\
& =Z_{B^{+}(F) T_{2} \cdots T_{m}}+\sum_{F_{1} F_{2}=F} \sum_{\substack{F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}=F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right) \\
F_{1}^{\prime}=\mathbb{1}}} \sum_{\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T_{2}\right)} Z_{\tilde{F} T_{3} \cdots T_{m}} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{F_{1} F_{2}=F \\
F_{1} \neq \mathbb{1}}} \sum_{\substack{F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}=F_{1} B^{+}\left(F_{2}\right) \\
F_{1}^{\prime} \neq \mathbb{1}}} \sum_{\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{L}\left(F_{2}^{\prime}, T_{2}\right)} Z_{F_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{F} T_{3} \cdots T_{m}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since bre $\left(\tilde{F} T_{3} \cdots T_{m}\right)=m-1$, we have $Z_{T_{2} \cdots T_{m}}, Z_{\tilde{F} T_{3} \cdots T_{m}} \in A$ by the definition of $A$. Moreover, $Z_{F}, Z_{F_{1}^{\prime} \tilde{F} T_{3} \cdots T_{m}} \in A$ by the induction hypothesis. Hence $Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{m}} \in A$ and $A=H_{R T}^{*}$.

Next, we prove that $\left(H_{R T}^{*}, \diamond\right)$ is the free algebra on $S$. By [6, Proposition 8], the formal series of $H_{R T}=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{R T}(n)$ is

$$
\mathbf{F}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{dim} H_{R T}(i) x^{i}=x+x^{2}+2 x^{3}+5 x^{4}+\cdots=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 x}}{2}
$$

which is also the formal series of $H_{R T}^{*}=\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(H_{R T}(n)\right)^{*}$. Thus $\mathbf{F}^{2}(x)=\mathbf{F}(x)-x$. Since each planar rooted tree is a grafting operation $B^{+}$of a planar rooted forest $F$ and vice-versa, the formal series of planar rooted trees is the same as the one of planar rooted forests, that is,

$$
\mathbf{T}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} x^{i}=\mathbf{F}(x)=x+x^{2}+2 x^{3}+5 x^{4}+\cdots
$$

where $a_{i}$ is the number of trees with $i$ vertices. So the formal series of forests with even breadth is
$\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} b_{i} x^{i}=1+\mathbf{T}(x) \mathbf{T}(x)+\mathbf{T}(x) \mathbf{T}(x) \mathbf{T}(x) \mathbf{T}(x)+\cdots=\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \mathbf{T}^{2 i}(x)=\frac{1}{1-\mathbf{T}^{2}(x)}=\frac{1}{1-\mathbf{F}^{2}(x)}$,
where $b_{i}$ is the number of forests of even breadth with $i$ vertices. Let $\mathbf{G}(x):=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} g_{i} x^{i}$, where $g_{i}$ is the number of forests of even breadth with degree $i$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G}(x) & =x \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{i} x^{i-1}=x \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i+1} x^{i}=x \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} x^{i} \quad(\operatorname{bydeg}(F)=|F|+1) \\
& =\frac{x}{1-\mathbf{F}^{2}(x)}=\frac{\mathbf{F}(x)}{1+\mathbf{F}(x)} \quad\left(\text { by } x=\mathbf{F}(x)-\mathbf{F}^{2}(x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T(S)$ be the free algebra on $S$. Since $H_{R T}^{*}$ is generated by $S$, there exists a surjective algebra morphism $\phi: T(S) \rightarrow H_{R T}^{*}$. By Item (a) of Remark 3.15, the formal series of $T(S)$ is

$$
\mathbf{G}(x)+\mathbf{G}(x) \mathbf{G}(x)+\mathbf{G}(x) \mathbf{G}(x) \mathbf{G}(x)+\mathbf{G}(x) \mathbf{G}(x) \mathbf{G}(x) \mathbf{G}(x)+\cdots=\frac{\mathbf{G}(x)}{1-\mathbf{G}(x)}=\frac{\frac{\mathbf{F}(x)}{1+\mathbf{F}(x)}}{1-\frac{\mathbf{F}(x)}{1+\mathbf{F}(x)}}=\mathbf{F}(x) .
$$

Thus $H_{R T}^{*}$ and $T(S)$ have the same formal series and so $\phi$ is injective. Hence $T(S)$ is isomorphic to $H_{R T}^{*}$, as required.

## 4. Primitive elements of $H_{R T}$

In this section, we first construct a second product $\star$ on $H_{R T}$, making $H_{R T}$ a unital infinitesimal graded bialgebra in the sense of Loday and Ronco [15]. Then we give a projection of $H_{R T}$ on its primitive elements. Finally, we characterize the dual of $\star$.
4.1. A second product on $H_{R T}$. The following result gives $H_{R T}$ a second product $\star$.

Proposition 4.1. We define a product $\star$ on $H_{R T}$ by

$$
x \star y=x \cdot . y, \forall x, y \in H_{R T} .
$$

Then $\star$ is associative (and not unitary), and for any $x, y \in H_{R T}$ :

$$
\Delta_{R T}(x \star y)=x \star \Delta_{R T}(y)+\Delta_{R T}(x) \star y+x \otimes y
$$

Moreover, $\left(H_{R T}, \star\right)$ is a graded algebra (recall that for any $n \geqslant 1, H_{R T}(n)$ is the subspace generated by forests with $n-1$ vertices).

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in H_{R T}$ :

$$
(x \star y) \star z=x \cdot \cdot y \cdot \cdots z=x \star(y \star z)
$$

so $\star$ is associative. As $\Delta_{R T}(\cdot)=\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}$, for any $x, y \in H_{R T}$ :

$$
\Delta_{R T}(x \star y)=\Delta_{R T}(x \cdot \cdots y)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\Delta_{R T}(x \cdot \bullet) \cdot y+x \cdot \Delta_{R T}(y) \\
& =\left(x \cdot \Delta_{R T}(\cdot)\right) \cdot y+\left(\Delta_{R T}(x) \cdot \bullet\right) \cdot y+(x \cdot \bullet) \cdot \Delta_{R T}(y) \\
& =x \cdot(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}) \cdot y+\Delta_{R T}(x) \cdot(\bullet y)+(x \cdot \bullet) \cdot \Delta_{R T}(y) \\
& =x \otimes y+\Delta_{R T}(x) \star y+x \star \Delta_{R T}(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x \in H_{R T}(k)$ and $y \in H_{R T}(l)$, with $k, l \geqslant 1$. Then $x$ is a linear span of forests with $k-1$ vertices and $y$ is a linear span of forests with $l-1$ vertices. By definition of $\star, x \star y$ is a linear span of forests with $k-1+l-1+1=k+l-1$ vertices, so belongs to $H_{R T}(k+l)$.
4.2. A projection on primitive elements. The following result gives a projection of $H_{R T}$ on its primitive elements.

Theorem 4.2. We define an operator $\theta$ on $H_{R T}$ by:

$$
\theta(x):=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \star^{(k-1)} \circ \Delta^{(k-1)}(x), \forall x \in H_{R T},
$$

where $\Delta^{(l)}: H_{R T} \longrightarrow H_{R T}^{\otimes(l+1)}$ and $\star^{(l)}: H_{R T}^{\otimes(l+1)} \longrightarrow H_{R T}$ are inductively defined:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(0)} & =\mathrm{id}_{H_{R T}}, & \star^{(0)} & =\mathrm{id}_{H_{R T}} \\
\Delta^{(l+1)} & =\left(\Delta^{(l)} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{H_{R T}}\right) \circ \Delta_{R T}, & \star^{(l+1)} & =\star \circ\left(\star^{(l)} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{H_{R T}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\theta$ is a projector on $\operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta_{R T}\right)$. The kernel of $\theta$ is

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(\theta)=H_{R T} \star H_{R T}=\mathbf{k}\{F \in M(\mathcal{T}) \text { with at least one tree equal to } \cdot\} .
$$

Proof. For any forest $F$ with $n$ vertices, $\Delta^{(k)}(F)=0$ if $k \geqslant n$, so $\theta$ is well-defined. If $x \in \operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right), \Delta^{(k)}(x)=0$ if $k \geqslant 1$, so $\theta(x)=x+0=x$.

Let $k \geqslant 2$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in H_{R T}$. By the compatibility between the product $\star$ and the coproduct $\Delta_{R T}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{R T} \circ \star^{(k-1)}\left(x_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{k}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\left(x_{i}\right)} x_{1} \star \cdots \star x_{i-1} \star x_{i}^{(1)} \otimes x_{i}^{(2)} \star x_{i+1} \star \cdots \star x_{k} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_{1} \star \cdots \star x_{i} \otimes x_{i+1} \star \cdots \star x_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using Sweedler's notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{R T} \circ \theta(x) & =x^{(1)} \otimes x^{(2)}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{(1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(i)} \otimes x^{(i+1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(k+1)} \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x^{(1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(i)} \otimes x^{(i+1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{(1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(i)} \otimes x^{(i+1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(k+1)} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{(1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(i)} \otimes x^{(i+1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(k+1)} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\theta$ is a projector on $\operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right)$.

By the definition of $\theta$, for any $x \in H_{R T}, \theta(x)-x \in H_{R T} \star H_{R T}$, so:

$$
H_{R T}=\operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right)+H_{R T} \star H_{R T} .
$$

Let $x, y \in H_{R T}$. By the compatibility between the product $\star$ and the coproduct $\Delta_{H R}$, for any $k \geqslant 2$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(k-1)}(x \star y) & =\sum_{i+j=k} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{(y)} x^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(i)} \otimes y^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes y^{(j)} \\
& +\sum_{i+j=k+1} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{(y)} x^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(i-1)} \otimes x^{(i)} \star y^{(1)} \otimes y^{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes y^{(j)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta(x \star y) & =x \star y+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i+j=k} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{(y)} x^{(1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(i)} \star y^{(1)} \star \cdots \star y^{(j)} \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i+j=k+1} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{(y)} x^{(1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(i)} \star y^{(1)} \star \cdots \star y^{(j)} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{(y)}(-1)^{i+j-1} x^{(1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(i)} \star y^{(1)} \star \cdots \star y^{(j)} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(x)} \sum_{(y)}(-1)^{i+j} x^{(1)} \star \cdots \star x^{(i)} \star y^{(1)} \star \cdots \star y^{(j)} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right) \cap\left(H_{R T} \star H_{R T}\right)=(0)$, so:

$$
H_{R T}=\operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right) \oplus\left(H_{R T} \star H_{R T}\right),
$$

and the projection on $\operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right)$ in this direct sum is $\theta$.
Remark 4.3. $\left(H_{R T}, \star\right)$ is not a unitary algebra. If we consider the unitary $\bar{H}_{R T}=$ $\mathbf{k} \oplus H_{R T}$, with the coproduct $\bar{\Delta}_{R T}$ defined by $\bar{\Delta}_{R T}(1)=1 \otimes 1$ and

$$
\bar{\Delta}_{R T}(x)=\underbrace{x \otimes 1}_{\in H_{R T} \otimes \mathbf{k}}+\underbrace{1 \otimes x}_{\in \mathbf{k} \otimes H_{R T}}+\underbrace{\Delta_{R T}(x)}_{\in H_{R T} \otimes H_{R T}}, \forall x \in H_{R T},
$$

then $\left(\bar{H}_{R T}, \star, \bar{\Delta}_{R T}\right)$ is a unitary infinitesimal bialgebra in the sense of Loday and Ronco [15], and $\theta$ is its antipode, defined as the idempotent $e$ in [15].

By definition of the coproduct $\Delta_{R T}$ :
Proposition 4.4. Let $F$ be a planar rooted forest. We denote its vertices according to the order $\leqslant_{h, \ell}$ :

$$
v_{1} \leqslant_{h, \ell} \cdots \leqslant_{h, \ell} v_{n}
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta(F)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leqslant n}(-1)^{k} F_{\mid\left\{v_{i_{k}}+1, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}} \cdot F_{\mid\left\{v_{i_{k-1}}+1, \ldots, v_{i_{k}}-1\right\}} \\
\ldots \cdots \cdot F_{\mid\left\{v_{i_{1}}+1, \ldots, v_{i_{2}}-1\right\}} \cdot F_{\mid\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i_{1}}-1\right\}} . \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Example 4.5. Applying this formula for a given $n$, we obtain, after simplifications:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { if } n=2, & \theta(F)=F-\boldsymbol{\bullet}, \\
\text { if } n=3, & \theta(F)=F-F_{\mid\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}} \bullet-\cdot F_{\mid\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}}+\ldots, \\
\text { if } n=4, & \theta(F)=F-F_{\mid\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}} \cdot-\cdot F_{\mid\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}}+\cdot F_{\mid\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}} \bullet
\end{array}
$$

Consequently:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta(\boldsymbol{l})=\mathfrak{l}-\mathbf{~}, \\
& \theta(\boldsymbol{V})=\boldsymbol{\vee}-\boldsymbol{i}, \quad \theta(\vdots)=\vdots-!-\ldots+\ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta(\mathfrak{V})=\mathfrak{V}-\mathfrak{Q}-\boldsymbol{V}+\ldots ., \quad \theta(\mathfrak{V})=\mathfrak{V}-\mathfrak{d}, \\
& \theta(\grave{\zeta})=\bigvee-\vee \cdot-\vdots+. \mathfrak{I}, \quad \theta(\vdots)=\vdots-\vdots-. \vdots+.!.
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4.6. Let $V$ be the vector space $\operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right)$. We put:

$$
T_{+}(V)=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 1} V^{\otimes n}
$$

We give it the concatenation product $m_{\text {conc }}$ and the deconcatenation coproduct $\Delta_{\text {dec }}$ :

$$
\Delta_{d e c}\left(v_{1} \cdots v_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_{1} \cdots v_{i} \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots v_{n}, \forall v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V
$$

Then the following map is an algebra and a coalgebra isomorphism:

$$
\Upsilon:\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
\left(T_{+}(V), m_{\text {conc }}, \Delta_{\text {dec }}\right) & \longrightarrow & \left(H_{R T}, \star, \Delta_{R T}\right) \\
v_{1} \cdots v_{n} & \mapsto & v_{1} \star \cdots \star v_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Obviously, $\Upsilon$ is an algebra morphism. By the compatibility between the product $\star$ and the coproduct $\Delta_{R T}$, for any $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in \operatorname{Prim}(H)$ :

$$
\Delta_{R T}\left(v_{1} \star \cdots \star v_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{1} \star \cdots \star v_{i} \otimes v_{i+1} \star \cdots \star v_{n}
$$

Consequently, $\theta$ is a coalgebra morphism.
The gradation of $H_{R T}$ induces a gradation of $\operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right)=V$, which in turn gives a gradation of $T_{+}(V)$ :

$$
T_{+}(V)_{n}=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} \bigoplus_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n} V_{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{n_{k}}, \forall n \geqslant 1
$$

As the product $\star$ is homogeneous of degree $0, \Upsilon$ is homogeneous of degree 0 . Let us assume that $\Upsilon$ is not injective, and let $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(\Upsilon)$, nonzero, of minimal degree $n$. Then:

$$
0=\Delta_{R T} \circ \Upsilon(x)=(\Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon) \circ \Delta_{d e c}(x)
$$

Moreover,

$$
\Delta_{d e c}(x) \in \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} T_{+}(V)_{k} \otimes T_{+}(V)_{n-k}
$$

By definition of $n, \Upsilon_{\mid T_{+}(V)_{k}}$ is injective if $k<n$, so $\Delta_{d e c}(x)=0$, and $x \in$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta_{\text {dec }}\right)=V$. Therefore, $\Upsilon(x)=x=0$ : this is a contradiction, and $\Upsilon$ is injective.

In order to prove that $\Upsilon$ is surjective, it is enough to prove that $\operatorname{Prim}\left(H_{R T}\right)$ generates the algebra $\left(H_{R T}, \star\right)$. Let $F$ be a planar rooted forest with $n$ vertices, let us prove that it belongs to the subalgebra $A$ generated by $\operatorname{Prim}(H)$ by induction on $n$. If $n=1$, then $F=\cdot \in V$ and this is obvious. Otherwise, let us put $y=F-\Upsilon(F)$. By definition of $\Upsilon(F), y$ is a linear span of forests of the form $G=G_{1} \bullet G_{2}$, with $n$ vertices. By the induction hypothesis, $G_{1}, G_{2} \in A$, so $G=G_{1} \star G_{2} \in A$ and finally $y \in A$. As $\Upsilon(F) \in V \subseteq A, F=y+\Upsilon(F) \in A$.

Let us simplify the writing of $\theta(F)$, in order to avoid the simplifications we observed in the examples.

Definition 4.7. Let us define a sequence of scalars $(c(n))_{n \geqslant 0}$ by:

$$
c(n)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \\ 0, & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \\ -1, & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)\end{cases}
$$

Recall that a composition is a finite sequence of positive integers. If $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ is a composition, we can write it as:

$$
\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)=(\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{\alpha_{0}}, b_{1}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{\alpha_{p-1}}, b_{p}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{\alpha_{p}}),
$$

where $p \geqslant 0, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p} \geqslant 2, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{p} \geqslant 0$. This is abbreviated as

$$
\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)=1^{\alpha_{0}} b_{1} 1^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots 1^{\alpha_{p-1}} b_{p} 1^{\alpha_{p}}
$$

We then put:

$$
c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}c\left(\alpha_{0}\right), & \text { if } p=0 \\ c\left(\alpha_{0}+2\right) c\left(\alpha_{1}+1\right) \cdots c\left(\alpha_{p-1}+1\right) c\left(\alpha_{p}+2\right), & \text { if } p \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 4.8. Let $F$ be a planar rooted forest. We denote its vertices according to the order $\leqslant_{h, \ell}$ :

$$
v_{1} \leqslant_{h, \ell} \cdots \leqslant_{h, \ell} v_{n}
$$

Then:

$$
\theta(F)=\sum_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n} c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) F_{\mid\left\{v_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k-1}+1}, \ldots, v_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}}\right\}} \cdots F_{\mid\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n_{1}}\right\}}
$$

Proof. Interpreting the trees • in (11) as $F_{\mid\left\{v_{i}\right\}}$, we can rewrite, for any forest $F$ with $n$ vertices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(F)=\sum_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n} a\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) F_{\mid\left\{v_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k-1}+1}, \ldots, v_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}}\right\}} \cdots F_{\mid\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n_{1}}\right\}}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a certain family of scalars $a\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$, independent of $F$. Let us prove that $a\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)=c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ for any composition $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$.

First case. We consider the case $p=0$, that is to say $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)=1^{n}$. The term $F_{\mid\left\{v_{n}\right\}} \cdots F_{\mid\left\{v_{1}\right\}}$ in (12) comes from the terms in (11) indexed by $1 \leqslant i_{1}<$ $\cdots<i_{k} \leqslant n$ with:

- $i_{1} \leqslant 2$.
- $i_{k} \geqslant n-1$.
- If $2 \leqslant p \leqslant k$, then $i_{p} \leqslant i_{p-1}+2$.

Any such $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ contributes with $(-1)^{k}$. Note that, in $\mathbb{Q}[[X]]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{X} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l-1}\left(X+X^{2}\right)^{l} & =\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l-1} \sum_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l} \in\{1,2\}} X^{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{l}-1} \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l} \in\{1,2\}, j_{1}+\cdots+j_{l}=m}}(-1)^{l-1}\right) X^{m-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{l-1} \leqslant m-1, i_{1} \leqslant 2, i_{-1} \geqslant m-2, i_{p} \leqslant i_{p-1}+2 \text { if } 2 \leqslant p \leqslant m-1}}(-1)^{l-1}\right) X^{m-1} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leqslant n, i_{1} \leqslant 2, i_{k} \geqslant n<1, i_{p} \leqslant i_{p-1}+2 \text { if } 2 \leqslant p \leqslant n}}(-1)^{k}
\end{array}\right) X^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a\left(1^{n}\right) X^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the third equality, $i_{p}=j_{1}+\cdots+j_{p}$ for any $p$ and $(k, n)=(l-1, m-1)$ for the fourth one. Therefore:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a\left(1^{n}\right) X^{n}=\frac{1}{X} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{l-1}\left(X+X^{2}\right)^{l}=-\frac{1}{X} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(-X-X^{2}\right)^{l}=\frac{1+X}{1+X+X^{2}}=\frac{X^{2}-1}{X^{3}-1} .
$$

Hence:

$$
a\left(1^{0}\right)=1, \quad a\left(1^{1}\right)=0, \quad a\left(1^{2}\right)=-1, \quad a\left(1^{n}\right)=a\left(1^{n-3}\right) \text { if } n \geqslant 3
$$

So $a\left(1^{n}\right)=c(n)$ for any $n \geqslant 0$.
Second case. We now assume that $p \geqslant 1$. For any $1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leqslant n$ contributing in (12) to $a\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$, necessarily:

- If $\alpha_{0} \geqslant 1, \alpha_{0}$ belongs to $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$.
- If $\alpha_{p} \geqslant 1, \alpha_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}+b_{1}+\cdots+b_{p}+1$ belongs to $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$.
- If $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$ and $\alpha_{i} \geqslant 1$, then $\alpha_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{i-1}+b_{1}+\cdots+b_{i-1}+1$ and $\alpha_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{i}+b_{1}+\cdots+b_{i-1}$ belong to $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$.

Separating the study for each block of 1 in $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$, we observe that $a\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ can be written as a product

$$
a\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)=a^{(0)}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \cdots a^{(p)}\left(\alpha_{p}\right)
$$

Mimicking the study of the first case:

$$
a^{(0)}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \alpha_{0}=0 \\ -1, & \text { if } \alpha_{0}=1 \\ -a\left(1^{\alpha_{0}-1}\right), & \text { if } \alpha_{0} \geqslant 2\end{cases}
$$

In all cases, we obtain that $a^{(0)}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=-a\left(1^{\alpha_{0}+2}\right)=-c\left(\alpha_{0}+2\right)$. Similarly, $a^{(p)}\left(\alpha_{p}\right)=-c\left(\alpha_{p}+2\right)$. If $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1:$

$$
a^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \alpha_{i}=0 \\ -1, & \text { if } \alpha_{i}=1 \\ a\left(1^{\alpha_{i}-2}\right), & \text { if } \alpha_{i} \geqslant 2\end{cases}
$$

In all cases, we obtain that $a^{(i)}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=a\left(1^{\alpha_{0}+1}\right)=c\left(\alpha_{0}+1\right)$. As a consequence, $a\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)=c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$.

For any composition $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right), c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \in\{-1,0,1\}$. Let us denote by $t_{n}$ the number of compositions $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$, with $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n$ and $c\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \neq$ 0 .

Proposition 4.9. In $\mathbb{Q}[[X]]$ :

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{n} X^{n}=\frac{1-X+2 X^{2}}{1-X-2 X^{3}}
$$

As a consequence, for any $n \geqslant 3$,

$$
t_{n}=t_{n-1}+2 t_{n-3}
$$

Proof. By definition, $t_{n}$ is the number of compositions $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)=1^{\alpha_{0}} b_{1} 1^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots 1^{\alpha_{p-1}} b_{p} 1^{\alpha_{p}}$ with $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=n$, such that:

- If $p=0$, then $\alpha_{i} \equiv 0[3]$ or $\alpha_{i} \equiv 2[3]$.
- if $p \geqslant 1$ :
$-\alpha_{0} \equiv 0[3]$ or $\alpha_{0} \equiv 1[3]$.
$-\alpha_{p} \equiv 0[3]$ or $\alpha_{p} \equiv 1[3]$.
- If $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1, \alpha_{i} \equiv 1[3]$ or $\alpha_{i} \equiv 2[3]$.

We shall use the following formal series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{0}(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^{3 k}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^{3 k+2}=\frac{1+X^{2}}{1-X^{3}}, \\
& P_{2}(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^{3 k}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^{3 k+1}=\frac{1+X}{1-X^{3}}, \\
& P_{1}(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^{3 k+1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^{3 k+2}=X P_{2}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{n} X^{n}=P_{0}(X)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{2}(X)\left(\frac{X^{2}}{1-X} P_{1}(X)\right)^{k-1} \frac{X^{2}}{1-X} P_{2}(X)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P_{0}(X)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{2}(X)^{k+1} X^{k-1}\left(\frac{X^{2}}{1-X}\right)^{k} \\
& =P_{0}(X)+\frac{P_{2}(X)}{X} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{X^{3} P_{2}(X)}{1-X}\right)^{k} \\
& =P_{0}(X)+\frac{P_{2}(X)}{X} \frac{\frac{X^{3} P_{2}(X)}{1-X}}{1-\frac{X^{3} P_{2}(X)}{1-X}} \\
& =\frac{1-X+2 X^{2}}{1-X-2 X^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here are the first values of $t_{n}$ :

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t_{n}$ | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 40 | 72 | 120 | 200 | 344 | 584 | 984 | 1672 |

Example 4.10. Let us consider the case $n=5$. There are 8 contributing terms in (12), corresponding to the compositions:
$(5), \quad(4,1), \quad(1,4), \quad(1,3,1), \quad(2,1,2), \quad(2,1,1,1), \quad(1,1,1,2), \quad(1,1,1,1,1)$.

Therefore, if $F$ has 5 vertices:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta(F)=F-. F_{\mid\{1,2,3,4\}}-F_{\mid\{2,3,4,5\}} \cdot+\cdot F_{\mid\{2,3,4\}} \cdot-F_{\mid\{4,5\}} \cdot F_{\mid\{1,2\}} \\
+\ldots F_{\mid\{1,2\}}+F_{\mid\{4,5\}} \cdot \cdots-\ldots \cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

4.3. Dual coproduct of $\star$. The product $\star$ on $H_{R T}$ induces by duality a coproduct © on $H_{R T}^{*}$.

Lemma 4.11. Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{k} \in \mathcal{T}$. Then, in $H_{R T}^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{\Delta}\left(Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{k}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{T_{i}, \bullet}, Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{i-1}} \otimes Z_{T_{i+1} \cdots T_{k}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $F, G \in M(\mathcal{T})$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{\Delta}\left(Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{k}}\right)(F \otimes G) & =Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{k}}(F \star G) \\
& =Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{k}}(F \cdot G) \\
& =\delta_{T_{1} \cdots T_{k}, F \bullet G} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{T_{1} \cdots T_{i-1}, F} \delta_{T_{i}, \bullet} \delta_{T_{i+1} \cdots T_{k}, G}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{T_{i}, \bullet} Z_{T_{1} \cdots T_{i-1}} \otimes Z_{T_{i+1} \cdots T_{k}}\right)(F \otimes G),
$$

which implies (13).
Dualizing Proposition 4.1:
Proposition 4.12. For any $f, g \in H_{R T}^{*}$ :

$$
\mathbf{\Delta}(f \diamond g)=f \diamond \mathbf{\Delta}(g)+\mathbf{\Delta}(f) \diamond g+f \otimes g
$$

Proof. Let $f, g \in H_{R T}^{*}$. For any $x, y \in H_{R T}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{\Delta}(f \diamond g)(x \otimes y) & =(f \diamond g)(x \star y) \\
& =(f \otimes g) \Delta_{R T}(x \star y) \\
& =(f \otimes g)\left(x \star \Delta_{R T}(y)+\Delta_{R T}(x) \star y+x \otimes y\right) \\
& =(\mathbf{\Delta}(f) \diamond g+f \diamond \Delta(g)+f \otimes g)(x \otimes y),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the result.
Let us then dualize Theorem 4.2:
Theorem 4.13. The transpose of $\theta$ is the map $\theta^{*}$ given by:

$$
\theta^{*}(f):=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k+1} \diamond{ }^{(k-1)} \circ \mathbf{\Delta}^{(k-1)}(f), \forall f \in H_{R T}^{*}
$$

where $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{(l)}: H_{R T}^{*} \longrightarrow\left(H_{R T}^{*}\right)^{\otimes(l+1)}$ and $\diamond^{(l)}:\left(H_{R T}^{*}\right)^{\otimes(l+1)} \longrightarrow H_{R T}^{*}$ are inductively defined:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{\Delta}^{(0)} & =\operatorname{id}_{H_{R T}^{*}}, & \diamond \diamond^{(0)} & =\operatorname{id}_{H_{R T}^{*}}, \\
\mathbf{\Delta}^{(l+1)} & =\left(\mathbf{\Delta}^{(l)} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{H_{R T}^{*}}\right) \circ \mathbf{\Delta}_{R T}, & \diamond^{(l+1)} & =\diamond \circ\left(\diamond^{(l)} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{H_{R T}^{*}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\theta^{*}$ is a projector on $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{\Delta})=\mathbf{k}\left\{Z_{F}\right.$, no tree of $F$ is equal to $\left.\cdot\right\}$. The kernel of $\theta^{*}$ is

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\theta^{*}\right)=H_{R T}^{*} \diamond H_{R T}^{*}
$$

Proof. The description of $\theta^{*}$ comes from $\diamond=\Delta_{R T}^{*}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}=\star^{*}$. As $\theta$ is the projection on $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta_{R T}\right)$ which vanishes on $\operatorname{Im}(\star), \theta^{*}$ is the projection on $\operatorname{Im}(\star)^{\perp}$ which vanishes on $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta_{R T}\right)^{\perp}$, and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}(\star)^{\perp} & =\operatorname{Ker}\left(\star^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{\Delta}), \\
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\Delta_{R T}\right)^{\perp} & =\operatorname{Im}\left(\Delta_{R T}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Im}(\diamond)=H_{R T}^{*} \diamond H_{R T}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The description of $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{\Delta})$ is immediate.

Consequently,

$$
H_{R T}^{*}=\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbf{\Delta}) \oplus H_{R T}^{*} \diamond H_{R T}^{*}
$$

As $\left(H_{R T}^{*}, \diamond\right)$ is a free non unitary algebra, any complement of $H_{R T}^{*} \diamond H_{R T}^{*}$ freely generates it as an algebra. Hence:

Corollary 4.14. The algebra $\left(H_{R T}^{*}, \diamond\right)$ is freely generated by the elements $Z_{F}$, where $F$ is a forest with no tree equal to . .
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