

# Bialgebras over another bialgebras and quasishuffle double bialgebras

Loïc Foissy

Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, UR 2597 LMPA, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées  
Joseph Liouville F-62100 Calais, France.  
Email: foissy@univ-littoral.fr

## Abstract

Quasishuffle Hopf algebras, usually defined on a commutative monoid, can be more generally defined on any associative algebra  $V$ . If  $V$  is a commutative and cocommutative bialgebra, the associated quasishuffle bialgebra  $\text{QSh}(V)$  inherits a second coproduct  $\delta$  of contraction and extraction of words, cointeracting with the deconcatenation coproduct  $\Delta$ , making  $\text{QSh}(V)$  a double bialgebra. In order to generalize the universal property of the Hopf algebra of quasisymmetric functions **QSym** (a particular case of quasishuffle Hopf algebra) as exposed by Aguiar, Bergeron and Sottile, we introduce the notion of double bialgebra over  $V$ . A bialgebra over  $V$  is a bialgebra in the category of right  $V$ -comodules and an extra condition is required on the second coproduct for double bialgebras over  $V$ .

We prove that the quasishuffle bialgebra  $\text{QSh}(V)$  is a double bialgebra over  $V$ , and that it satisfies a universal property: for any bialgebra  $B$  over  $V$  and for any character  $\lambda$  of  $B$ , under a connectedness condition, there exists a unique morphism  $\phi$  of bialgebras over  $V$  from  $B$  to  $\text{QSh}(V)$  such that  $\varepsilon_\delta \circ \phi = \lambda$ . When  $V$  is a double bialgebra over  $V$ , we obtain a unique morphism of double bialgebras over  $V$  from  $B$  to  $\text{QSh}(V)$ , and show that this morphism  $\phi_1$  allows to obtain any morphism of bialgebra over  $V$  from  $B$  to  $\text{QSh}(V)$  thanks to an action of a monoid of characters. This formalism is applied to a double bialgebra of  $V$ -decorated graphs.

*AMS classification.* 16T05 05A05 68R15 16T30

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Bialgebras over another bialgebra</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Définitions and notations	4
1.2	Antipode	6
1.3	Nonunitary cases	6
1.4	Double bialgebras over $V$	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Quasishuffle bialgebras</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Definition	8
2.2	Universal property of quasishuffle bialgebras	10
2.3	Double bialgebra morphisms	14
2.4	Action on bialgebra morphisms	18
2.5	Applications to graphs	21

## Introduction

Quasishuffle bialgebras are Hopf algebras based on words, used in particular for the study of relations between multizêtas [10, 11]. They also appear in Ecalle's mould calculus, as a symmetrel

mould can be interpreted as a character on a quasishuffle bialgebras [3]. Hoffman's construction is based on commutative countable semigroups, but it can be extended to any associative algebra  $(V, \cdot)$ , not necessarily unitary [6]. The associated quasishuffle bialgebra  $\text{QSh}(V)$  is, as a vector space, the tensor algebra  $T(V)$ . Its product is the quasishuffle product  $\boxplus$ , inductively defined as follows: if  $x, y \in V$  and  $v, w \in T(V)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \boxplus w &= w, \\ v \boxplus 1 &= v, \\ xv \boxplus yw &= x(v \boxplus yw) + y(xv \boxplus w) + (x \cdot y)(v \boxplus w). \end{aligned}$$

For example, if  $x, y, z, t \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x \boxplus y &= xy + yx + x \cdot y, \\ xy \boxplus z &= xyz + xzy + zxy + (x \cdot z)y + x(y \cdot z), \\ xy \boxplus zt &= xyzt + xzyt + zxyt + xzty + zxt y + ztxy \\ &\quad + (x \cdot z)ty + (x \cdot z)yt + xz(y \cdot t) + zx(y \cdot t) + (x \cdot z)(y \cdot t). \end{aligned}$$

The coproduct  $\Delta$  is the deconcatenation: if  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$ ,

$$\Delta(x_1 \dots x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_1 \dots x_i \otimes x_{i+1} \dots x_n.$$

When  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  is a commutative bialgebra, not necessarily unitary, then  $\text{QSh}(V)$  inherits a second, less known coproduct  $\delta$ : if  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$ ,

$$\delta(v_1 \dots v_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p < k} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq i_1} v'_i \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i_p+1 \leq i \leq k} v'_i \right) \otimes (v''_1 \dots v''_{i_1}) \boxplus \dots \boxplus (v''_{i_p+1} \dots v''_k),$$

with Sweedler's notation for  $\delta_V$  and where the symbols  $\prod$  mean that the products are taken in  $(V, \cdot)$ . The counit  $\epsilon_\delta$  is given as follows: for any word  $w$  of length  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\epsilon_\delta(w) = \begin{cases} \epsilon_V(w) & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $(T(V), \boxplus, \delta)$  is a bialgebra, and  $(T(V), \boxplus, \Delta)$  is a bialgebra in the category of right  $(T(V), \boxplus, \delta)$ -comodules, which in particular implies that

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta = \boxplus_{1,3,24} \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta,$$

where  $\boxplus_{1,3,24} : T(V)^{\otimes 4} \rightarrow T(V)^{\otimes 3}$  send  $w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes w_3 \otimes w_4$  to  $w_1 \otimes w_3 \otimes w_2 \boxplus w_4$ . Two particular cases will be considered all along this paper:

- $V = \mathbb{K}$ , with its usual bialgebraic structure. The quasishuffle algebra  $\text{QSh}(\mathbb{K})$  is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ , with its two coproducts defined by

$$\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X, \quad \delta(X) = X \otimes X.$$

- $V$  is the algebra of the semigroup  $(\mathbb{N}_{>0}, +)$ . We recover the double Hopf algebra of quasi-symmetric functions  $\mathbf{QSym}$  [8, 9, 12, 14]. This Hopf algebra is studied in [2], where it is proved to be the terminal object in a category of combinatorial Hopf algebras: If  $B$  is a graded and connected Hopf algebra and  $\lambda$  is a character of  $B$ , then there exists a unique homogeneous Hopf algebra morphism  $\phi_\lambda : B \rightarrow \mathbf{QSym}$  such that  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi_\lambda = \lambda$ . We proved in [4, 5] that when  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  is a double bialgebra, such that:

- $(B, m, \Delta)$  is a graded and connected Hopf algebra,
- for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\delta(B_n) \subseteq B_n \otimes B$ ,

then  $\phi_{\epsilon_\delta}$  is the unique homogeneous double bialgebra morphism from  $B$  to **QSym**. A similar result exists for  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ , where the hypothesis "graded and connected" on  $B$  is replaced by the weaker hypothesis "connected".

In this paper, we generalize these results to any quasishuffle  $\text{QSh}(V)$  associated to a commutative and cocommutative bialgebra  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$ , not necessarily unitary. We firstly show that  $(T(V), \cdot, \Delta)$  is a bialgebra in the category of right  $V$ -comodules, with the coaction  $\rho$  defined by

$$\forall v_1, \dots, v_n \in V, \quad \rho(v_1 \dots v_n) = v'_1 \dots v'_n \otimes v''_1 \dots v''_n.$$

Moreover, the second coproduct  $\delta$  satisfies this compatibility with  $\rho$ :

$$(\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta = (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho,$$

where  $c : V \otimes T(V) \rightarrow T(V) \otimes V$  is the usual flip. Equivalently,  $(T(V), \bowtie, \Delta)$  is a comodule over the coalgebra  $(V, \delta_V^{\text{op}}) \otimes (T(V), \delta)$ . This observation leads us to study bialgebras over  $V$ , that is to say bialgebras in the category of right  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$ -comodules (Definition 1.1 when  $V$  is unitary). Technical difficulties occur when  $V$  is not unitary, a case that cannot be neglected as it includes **QSym**: this is the object of Definition 1.3, where we use the unitary extension  $uV$  of  $V$ , which is also a bialgebra. We define double bialgebras over  $V$  in Definition 1.4 in the unitary case and Definition 1.3 in the nonunitary case. When  $V = \mathbb{K}$ , bialgebras over  $V$  are bialgebras  $B$  with a decomposition  $B = B_1 \oplus B_{\bar{1}}$ , where  $B_1$  is a subbialgebra and  $B_{\bar{1}}$  is a biideal. This includes any bialgebra  $B$ , taking  $B_1 = \mathbb{K}1_B$  and  $B_{\bar{1}}$  the kernel of the counit. When  $V = \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{N}_{>0}, +)$ , bialgebras over  $V$  are  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded and connected bialgebras, in other words  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded bialgebras  $B$  with  $B_0 = \mathbb{K}1_B$ .

We prove that the antipode of a bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  over  $V$ , such that  $(B, m, \Delta)$  is a Hopf algebra, is automatically a comodule morphism (Proposition 1.2), that is to say

$$\rho \circ S = (S \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \rho.$$

In the case of  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded bialgebras, this means that  $S$  is automatically homogeneous; more generally, if  $\Omega$  is a commutative semigroup and  $B$  is an  $\Omega$ -graded bialgebra and a Hopf algebra, then its antipode is automatically  $\Omega$ -homogeneous.

Let us now consider the double quasishuffle algebra  $\text{QSh}(V) = (T(V), \bowtie, m, \Delta, \delta)$ , which is over  $V$  with the coaction  $\rho$ . We obtain a generalization of Aguiar, Bergeron and Sottile's result: Theorem 2.3 states that for any connected bialgebra  $B$  over  $V$  and for any character  $\lambda$  of  $B$ , there exists a unique morphism  $\phi_\lambda$  from  $B$  to  $\text{QSh}(V)$  of bialgebras over  $V$  such that  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi_\lambda = \lambda$ , given by an explicit formula implying the iterations of the reduced coproduct  $\tilde{\Delta}$  associated to the coproduct  $\Delta$  of  $B$ .

When  $B$  is moreover a double bialgebra over  $V$ , we prove that the unique morphism of double bialgebras over  $V$  from  $B$  to  $\text{QSh}(V)$  is  $\Phi_{\epsilon_\delta}$  (Theorem 2.7). Moreover, for any bialgebra  $B'$  over  $V$ , the second coproduct  $\delta$  induces an action  $\curvearrowright$  of the monoid of characters  $\text{Char}(B)$  (with the product induced by  $\delta$ ) onto the set of morphisms of bialgebras over  $V$  from  $B$  to  $B'$  (Proposition 2.11. When  $B' = \text{QSh}(V)$ , we obtain that this action is simply transitive (Corollary 2.13), which gives a bijection between the set of characters of  $B$  and the set of morphisms of double bialgebras over  $V$  from  $B$  to  $\text{QSh}(V)$ . This is finally applied to the twisted bialgebra of graphs **G**: for any  $V$ , we obtain a double bialgebra  $\mathcal{H}_V$  of  $V$ -decorated graphs, and the unique morphism of double bialgebras over  $V$  from  $\mathcal{H}_V$  to  $\text{QSh}(V)$  is a generalization of the chromatic polynomial and of the chromatic (quasi)symmetric series. Taking  $V = \mathbb{K}$  or  $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{N}_{>0}, +)$ , we recover the terminal property of  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  and **QSym**.

**Acknowledgements.** The author acknowledges support from the grant ANR-20-CE40-0007 *Combinatoire Algébrique, Résurgence, Probabilités Libres et Opérades*.

*Notations 0.1.* 1. We denote by  $\mathbb{K}$  a commutative field of characteristic zero. Any vector space in this field will be taken over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

2. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $[n]$  the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . In particular,  $[0] = \emptyset$ .

## 1 Bialgebras over another bialgebra

### 1.1 Définitions and notations

Let  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  be a commutative bialgebra, which we firstly assume to be unitary and counitary. Its counit is denoted by  $\epsilon_V$  and its unit by  $1_V$ .

**Definition 1.1.** *A bialgebra over  $V$  is a bialgebra in the category of right  $V$ -comodules, that is to say a family  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  where  $(B, m, \Delta)$  is a bialgebra and  $\rho : B \longrightarrow B \otimes V$  such that:*

- $\rho$  is a right coaction of  $V$  over  $B$ :

$$(\rho \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \rho = (\text{Id}_B \otimes \delta_V) \circ \rho, \quad (\text{Id}_B \otimes \epsilon_V) \circ \rho = \text{Id}_B.$$

- The unit of  $B$  is a  $V$ -comodule morphism:

$$\rho(1_B) = 1_B \otimes 1_V.$$

- The product  $m$  of  $B$  is a  $V$ -comodule morphism:

$$\rho \circ m = (m \otimes \cdot) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes c \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\rho \otimes \rho),$$

where  $c : B \otimes B \longrightarrow B \otimes B$  is the usual flip, sending  $a \otimes b$  to  $b \otimes a$ .

- The counit  $\epsilon_\Delta$  of  $B$  is a  $V$ -comodule morphism:

$$\forall x \in B, \quad (\epsilon_\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) = \epsilon_\Delta(x) 1_V.$$

- The coproduct  $\Delta$  of  $B$  is a  $V$ -comodule morphism:

$$(\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho = m_{1,3,24} \circ (\rho \otimes \rho) \circ \Delta,$$

where

$$m_{1,3,24} : \begin{cases} B \otimes V \otimes B \otimes V & \longrightarrow & B \otimes B \otimes V \\ b_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes b_3 \otimes v_4 & \longrightarrow & b_1 \otimes b_3 \otimes v_2 \cdot v_4. \end{cases}$$

Notice that the second and third items are equivalent to the fact that  $\rho$  is an algebra morphism.

*Example 1.1.* • Let  $(\Omega, \star)$  be a monoid and let  $V = \mathbb{K}\Omega$  be the associated bialgebra. Let  $B$  be a bialgebra over  $V$ . For any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , we put

$$B_\alpha = \{x \in B \mid \rho(x) = x \otimes \alpha\}.$$

Then  $B = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Omega} B_\alpha$ . Indeed, if  $x \in B$ , we can write

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} x_\alpha \otimes \alpha.$$

Then

$$(\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} \rho(x_\alpha) \otimes \alpha = (\text{Id} \otimes \delta_V) \circ \rho(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} x_\alpha \otimes \alpha \otimes \alpha.$$

Therefore, for any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ ,  $\rho(x_\alpha) = x_\alpha \otimes \alpha$ , that is to say  $x_\alpha \in B_\alpha$ . Moreover,

$$x = (\text{Id} \otimes \epsilon_V) \circ \rho(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} x_\alpha.$$

The second item of Definition 1.1 is equivalent to  $1_B \in B_{1_\Omega}$ . The third item is equivalent to

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega, \quad B_\alpha B_\beta \subseteq B_{\alpha * \beta}.$$

The fourth item is equivalent to  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \neq 1_\Omega} B_\alpha \subseteq \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ . The last item is equivalent to

$$\forall \alpha \in \Omega, \quad \Delta(B_\alpha) \subseteq \bigoplus_{\alpha' * \alpha'' = \alpha} B_{\alpha'} \otimes B_{\alpha''}.$$

In other words, a bialgebra over  $\mathbb{K}\Omega$  is an  $\Omega$ -graded bialgebra.

- Let  $V = \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ . A bialgebra over  $V$  admits a decomposition  $B = B_{\bar{0}} \oplus B_{\bar{1}}$ , with  $1_B \in B_{\bar{0}}$ ,  $\varepsilon_\Delta(B_{\bar{1}}) = (0)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\bar{0}}B_{\bar{1}} + B_{\bar{1}}B_{\bar{0}} + B_{\bar{1}}B_{\bar{1}} &\subseteq B_{\bar{1}}, & B_{\bar{0}}B_{\bar{0}} &\subseteq B_{\bar{0}}, \\ \Delta(B_{\bar{1}}) &\subseteq B_{\bar{1}} \otimes B_{\bar{0}} + B_{\bar{0}} \otimes B_{\bar{1}} + B_{\bar{1}} \otimes B_{\bar{1}}, & \Delta(B_{\bar{0}}) &\subseteq B_{\bar{0}} \otimes B_{\bar{0}}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, a bialgebra over  $V$  is a bialgebra with a decomposition  $B = B_{\bar{0}} \oplus B_{\bar{1}}$ , such that  $B_{\bar{0}}$  is a subbialgebra and  $B_{\bar{1}}$  is a biideal. In particular, any bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta)$  is trivially a bialgebra over  $V$ , with  $B_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{K}1_B$  and  $B_{\bar{1}} = \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ , or equivalently, for any  $x \in B$ ,

$$\rho(x) = \varepsilon(x)1_B \otimes 1 + (x - \varepsilon(x)1_B) \otimes X.$$

- Let  $\Omega$  be a finite monoid and let  $\mathbb{K}[\Omega]$  be the bialgebra of functions over  $G$ , dual of the bialgebra  $\mathbb{K}\Omega$ . A bialgebra over  $\mathbb{K}[\Omega]$  is a family  $(B, m, \Delta, \triangleleft)$  where  $(B, m, \Delta)$  is a bialgebra and  $\triangleleft$  is a right action of  $\Omega$  on  $B$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y \in B, & \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, & \quad (xy) \triangleleft \omega &= (x \triangleleft \omega)(y \triangleleft \omega), \\ \forall x \in B, & \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, & \quad \Delta(x \triangleleft \omega) &= \Delta(x) \triangleleft (\omega \otimes \omega), \\ & \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, & \quad 1_B \triangleleft \omega &= 1_B, \\ \forall x \in B, & \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega, & \quad \varepsilon_\Delta(x \triangleleft \omega) &= \varepsilon_\Delta(x). \end{aligned}$$

*Notations 1.1.* We shall use the Sweedler's notation  $\rho(x) = x_0 \otimes x_1$ . The five items of Definition 1.1 become

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0)_0 \otimes (x_0)_1 \otimes x_1 &= x_0 \otimes x'_1 \otimes x''_1, \\ x_0 \varepsilon(x_1) &= x, \\ (1_B)_0 \otimes (1_B)_1 &= 1_B \otimes 1_V, \\ (xy)_0 \otimes (xy)_1 &= x_0 y_0 \otimes x_1 y_1, \\ \varepsilon_\Delta(x_0) x_1 &= \varepsilon_\Delta(x) 1_V, \\ (x_0)^{(1)} \otimes (x_0)^{(2)} \otimes x_1 &= (x^{(1)})_0 \otimes (x^{(2)})_0 \otimes (x^{(1)})_1 (x^{(2)})_1. \end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Antipode

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $(V, m_V, \delta_V)$  be a bialgebra and let  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  be a bialgebra over  $V$ . If  $(B, m, \Delta)$  is a Hopf algebra of antipode  $S$ , then  $S$  is a comodule morphism:*

$$\rho \circ S = (S \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \rho.$$

*Proof.* Let us give  $\text{Hom}(B, B \otimes V)$  its convolution product  $*$ : for any linear maps  $f, g$  from  $B$  to  $B \otimes V$ ,

$$f * g = m_{B \otimes V} \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta.$$

In this convolution algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} ((S \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \rho) * \rho &= m_{B \otimes V} \circ (S \otimes \text{Id}_V \otimes \text{Id}_B \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ (\rho \otimes \rho) \circ \Delta \\ &= (m \circ (S \otimes \text{Id}_B) \circ \Delta \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ m_{1,3,24} \circ (\rho \otimes \rho) \circ \Delta \\ &= (m \circ (S \otimes \text{Id}_B) \circ \Delta \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ (\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho \\ &= (m \circ (S \otimes \text{Id}_B) \circ \Delta \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \rho \\ &= (\iota_B \circ \varepsilon_\Delta \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \rho \\ &= \iota_{B \otimes V} \circ \varepsilon_\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

So  $(S \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \rho$  is a right inverse of  $\rho$  in  $(\text{Hom}(B, B \otimes V), *)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \rho * (\rho \circ S) &= m_{B \otimes V} \circ (\rho \otimes \rho) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta \\ &= \rho \circ m \circ (\text{Id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta \\ &= \rho \circ \iota_B \circ \varepsilon_\Delta \\ &= \iota_{B \otimes V} \circ \varepsilon_\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\rho \circ S$  is a left inverse of  $\rho$  in  $(\text{Hom}(B, B \otimes V), *)$ . As  $*$  is associative,  $(S \otimes \text{Id}_V) \circ \rho = \rho \circ S$ .  $\square$

*Example 1.2.* 1. Let  $(\Omega, \star)$  be a semigroup. If  $V$  is the bialgebra of  $(\Omega, \star)$ , we recover that if  $B$  is an  $\Omega$ -graded bialgebra and a Hopf algebra, then,  $S$  is  $\Omega$ -homogeneous, that is to say, for any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ ,

$$S(B_\alpha) \subseteq B_\alpha.$$

2. Let  $\Omega$  be a finite monoid. If  $(B, m, \Delta, \triangleleft)$  is a bialgebra over  $\mathbb{K}[\Omega]$  and a Hopf algebra, then for any  $x \in B$ , for any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ ,

$$S(x \triangleleft \alpha) = S(x) \triangleleft \alpha.$$

## 1.3 Nonunitary cases

We shall work with not necessarily unitary bialgebras  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$ . If so, we put  $uV = \mathbb{K} \oplus V$  and we give it a product and a coproduct defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \forall v, w \in V, \quad (\lambda + v) \cdot (\mu + w) &= \lambda\mu + \lambda w + \mu v + v \cdot w, \\ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \forall v \in V, \quad \delta_{uV}(\lambda + v) &= \lambda 1 \otimes 1 + \delta_V(v). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(uV, \cdot, \delta_{uV})$  is a counitary and unitary bialgebra, and  $V$  is a nonunitary subbialgebra of  $uV$ .

**Definition 1.3.** *Let  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  be a not necessarily unitary bialgebra and  $(uV, \cdot, \delta_{uV})$  be its unitary extension. A bialgebra over  $V$  is a bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  over  $uV$  such that*

$$\rho(\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)) \subseteq B \otimes V.$$

*Remark 1.1.* If  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  is a bialgebra over the nonunitary bialgebra  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$ , then

$$\{b \in B \mid \rho(b) = b \otimes 1\} = \mathbb{K}1_B.$$

Indeed, if  $\rho(b) = b \otimes 1$ , putting  $b' = b - \varepsilon_\Delta(b)1_B$ , then  $b' \in \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ . Hence,

$$\rho(b') = \rho(b) - \varepsilon_\Delta(b)1_B \otimes 1 = (b - \varepsilon(b)1_B) \otimes 1 \in B \otimes V,$$

so  $b = \varepsilon_\Delta(b)1_B$ .

In the sequel, we will mention that we work with a nonunitary bialgebra  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  if we want to use Definition 1.3 instead of Definition 1.1, even if  $(V, \cdot)$  has a unit – that will happen when we will work with  $\mathbb{K}$ .

*Example 1.3.* 1. If  $\Omega$  is a semigroup, then a bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta)$  over  $\mathbb{K}\Omega$  is a connected  $u\Omega$ -graded bialgebra, where  $u\Omega = \{e\} \sqcup \Omega$  with the extension of the product of  $\Omega$  such that  $e$  is a unit:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \bigoplus_{\alpha \in u\Omega} B_\alpha, \\ \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega, \quad \Delta(B_\alpha) &\subseteq \sum_{\substack{\alpha', \alpha'' \in \Omega, \\ \alpha' \times \alpha'' = \alpha}} B_{\alpha'} \otimes B_{\alpha''} + B_\alpha \otimes B_e + B_e \otimes B_\alpha, \\ B_e &= \mathbb{K}1_B, \\ \forall \alpha \in \Omega, \quad \varepsilon_\Delta(B_\alpha) &= (0). \end{aligned}$$

2. If  $V = \mathbb{K}^1$ , as  $u\mathbb{K}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ , any bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta)$  is a bialgebra over  $V$  with  $B_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{K}1_B$  and  $B_{\bar{1}} = \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ .

#### 1.4 Double bialgebras over $V$

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  be a double bialgebra,  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  be a bialgebra and  $\rho : B \rightarrow B \otimes V$  be a right coaction of  $V$  over  $B$ . We shall say that  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  is a double bialgebra over  $V$  if  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  is a bialgebra over  $V$  and

$$(\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta = (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho : B \rightarrow B \otimes B \otimes V,$$

where  $c : V \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes V$  is the usual flip. In other words, with Sweedler's notation  $\delta(x) = x' \otimes x''$  for any  $x \in B$ ,

$$(x')_0 \otimes x'' \otimes (x')_1 = (x_0)' \otimes (x_0)'' \otimes x_1.$$

*Remark 1.2.* In other words, in a double bialgebra  $B$  over  $V$ , considering the left coaction  $\rho^{op}$  of  $V^{cop} = (V, \delta_V^{op})$  on  $B$ ,

$$(\rho^{op} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta = (\text{Id} \otimes \delta) \circ \rho^{op},$$

which means that  $B$  is a  $(V, \delta_V^{op})$ – $(B, \delta)$ -bicomodule.

*Example 1.4.* Let  $\Omega$  be a finite monoid. A double bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta, \triangleleft)$  over  $\mathbb{K}[\Omega]$  is a bialgebra over  $\mathbb{K}[\Omega]$  and a double bialgebra such that for any  $x \in B$ , for any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ ,

$$\delta(x \triangleleft \alpha) = \delta(x) \triangleleft (\alpha \otimes e_\Omega),$$

where  $e_\Omega$  is the unit of  $\Omega$ .

In the nonunitary case:

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  be a not necessarily unitary bialgebra. A double bialgebra over  $V$  is a double bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  over  $uV$  such that  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  is a bialgebra over  $V$ .

<sup>1</sup>which is of course unitary, but which we treat as a nonunitary bialgebra, as mentioned before.

*Example 1.5.* 1. Let  $\Omega$  be a semigroup. A double bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  over  $\mathbb{K}\Omega$  is a bialgebra over  $\mathbb{K}\Omega$  such that for any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ ,

$$\delta(B_\alpha) \subseteq B_\alpha \otimes B.$$

2. If  $V = \mathbb{K}$ , as  $u\mathbb{K}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ , any double bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  is a double bialgebra over  $V$  with  $B_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{K}1_B$  and  $B_{\bar{1}} = \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ .

## 2 Quasishuffle bialgebras

### 2.1 Definition

[3, 6, 10, 11] Let  $(V, \cdot)$  be a nonunitary bialgebra. The tensor algebra  $T(V)$  is given the quasishuffle product associated to  $V$ : For any  $v_1, \dots, v_{k+l} \in V$ ,

$$v_1 \dots v_k \boxplus v_{k+1} \dots v_{k+l} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{QSh}(k,l)} \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(1)} v_i \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\max(\sigma))} v_i \right),$$

where  $\text{QSh}(k, l)$  is the set of  $(k, l)$ -quasishuffles, that is to say surjections  $\sigma : [k+l] \rightarrow [\max(\sigma)]$  such that  $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(k)$  and  $\sigma(k+1) < \dots < \sigma(k+l)$ . The symbol  $\prod$  means that the corresponding products are taken in  $(V, \cdot)$ . The coproduct  $\Delta$  is given by deconcatenation: for any  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ ,

$$\Delta(v_1 \dots v_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n v_1 \dots v_k \otimes v_{k+1} \dots v_n.$$

A special case is given when  $\cdot$  is the zero product of  $V$ . In this case, we obtain the shuffle product  $\boxplus$  of  $T(V)$ . The bialgebra  $(T(V), \boxplus, \Delta)$  is denoted by  $\text{Sh}(V)$ .

If  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  is a not necessarily unitary commutative bialgebra, then  $\text{QSh}(V)$  inherits a second coproduct  $\delta$  making it a double bialgebra. For any  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$ , with Sweeder's notation  $\delta_V(v) = v' \otimes v''$ ,

$$\delta(v_1 \dots v_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p < k} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq i_1} v'_i \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i_p+1 \leq i \leq k} v'_i \right) \otimes (v''_1 \dots v''_{i_1}) \boxplus \dots \boxplus (v''_{i_p+1} \dots v''_k).$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  be a nonunitary bialgebra. We define a coaction of  $V$  on  $\text{QSh}(V)$  by*

$$\forall v_1, \dots, v_n \in V, \quad \rho(v_1 \dots v_n) = v'_1 \dots v'_n \otimes v''_1 \cdot \dots \cdot v''_n.$$

1. *The quasishuffle bialgebra  $\text{QSh}(V) = (T(V), \boxplus, \Delta, \rho)$  is a bialgebra over  $V$  if and only if  $(V, \cdot)$  is commutative.*
2. *The quasishuffle double bialgebra  $\text{QSh}(V) = (T(V), \boxplus, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  is a bialgebra over  $V$  if and only if  $(V, \cdot)$  is commutative and cocommutative.*

*Proof.* 1. Let us assume that  $\text{QSh}(V)$  is a double bialgebra over  $V$  with this coaction  $\rho$ . For any  $v, w \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(v \boxplus w) &= \rho(vw + wv + v \cdot w) \\ &= v'w' \otimes v'' \cdot w'' + w'v' \otimes w'' \cdot v'' + v' \cdot w' \otimes v'' \cdot w'', \\ (\boxplus \otimes m) \circ (\rho \otimes \rho)(v \otimes w) &= v' \boxplus w' \otimes v'' \cdot w'' \\ &= (v'w' + w'v' + v' \otimes w') \otimes v'' \cdot w''. \end{aligned}$$



As  $\boxplus$  is comodule morphism, we obtain that for any  $v, w \in V$ ,

$$w' \otimes v' \otimes w'' \cdot v'' = w' \otimes v' \otimes v'' \cdot w''.$$

Applying  $\epsilon_V \otimes \epsilon_V \otimes \text{Id}_V$ , this gives  $v \cdot w = w \cdot v$ , so  $V$  is commutative.

Let us now assume that  $V$  is commutative. The compatibilities of the unit and of the counit with the coaction  $\rho$  are obvious. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_{k+l} \in V$  and let  $\sigma \in \text{QSh}(k, l)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \left( \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(1)} v_i \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\max(\sigma))} v_i \right) \right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(1)} v_i \right)' \dots \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\max(\sigma))} v_i \right)' \otimes \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(1)} v_i \right)'' \dots \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\max(\sigma))} v_i \right)'' \\ &= \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(1)} v_i' \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\max(\sigma))} v_i' \right) \otimes \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(1)} v_i'' \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\max(\sigma))} v_i'' \right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(1)} v_i' \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\max(\sigma))} v_i' \right) \otimes v_1'' \dots v_n'', \end{aligned}$$

as  $(V, \cdot)$  is commutative. Summing over all possible  $\sigma$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(v_1 \dots v_k \boxplus v_{k+1} \dots v_{k+l}) &= \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \text{QSh}(k, l)} \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(1)} v_i' \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i \in \sigma^{-1}(\max(\sigma))} v_i' \right) \right) \otimes v_1'' \dots v_n'' \\ &= (v_1' \dots v_k' \boxplus v_{k+1}' \dots v_{k+l}') \otimes (v_1'' \dots v_k'') \cdot (v_{k+1}'' \dots v_{k+l}'') \\ &= \rho(v_1 \dots v_k) \rho(v_{k+1} \dots v_{k+l}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$ . If  $0 \leq i \leq k$ ,

$$m_{1,3,24} \circ (\rho \otimes \rho)(v_1 \dots v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \dots v_k) = v_1' \dots v_i' \otimes v_{i+1}' \dots v_k' \otimes v_1'' \dots v_k''.$$

Summing over all possible  $i$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1,3,24} \circ (\rho \otimes \rho) \circ \Delta(v_1 \dots v_k) &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^k v_1' \dots v_i' \otimes v_{i+1}' \dots v_k' \right) \otimes v_1'' \dots v_k'' \\ &= (\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(v_1 \dots v_k). \end{aligned}$$

2. Let us assume that  $\text{QSh}(V)$  is a double bialgebra over  $V$ . By the first part of this proof,  $V$  is commutative. For any  $v \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Id} \otimes \delta_V) \circ \delta_V(v) &= (\delta_V \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta_V(v) \\ &= (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(v) \\ &= (\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta(v) \\ &= (\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta(v) \\ &= (\text{Id} \otimes \delta_V^{op}) \circ \delta_V(v). \end{aligned}$$

Applying  $\epsilon_V \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}$ , we obtain that  $\delta_V^{op} = \delta_V$ , so  $V$  is cocommutative.

Let us assume that  $V$  is commutative and cocommutative. It is proved in [6] that  $\text{QSh}(V)$  is a double bialgebra. By the first item,  $\text{QSh}(V)$  is a bialgebra over  $V$ . For any  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(v_1 \dots v_k) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p < k} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq i_1} v'_i \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i_p+1 \leq i \leq k} v'_i \right) \otimes (v''_1 \dots v''_{i_1}) \boxplus \dots \boxplus (v''_{i_p+1} \dots v''_k) \otimes v'''_1 \dots v'''_k, \end{aligned}$$

whereas

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta(v_1 \dots v_k) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p < k} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq i_1} v'_i \right)' \dots \left( \prod_{i_p+1 \leq i \leq k} v'_i \right)' \otimes (v''_1 \dots v''_{i_1}) \boxplus \dots \boxplus (v''_{i_p+1} \dots v''_k) \\ & \otimes \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq i_1} v'_i \right)'' \dots \left( \prod_{i_p+1 \leq i \leq k} v'_i \right)'' \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p < k} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq i_1} v'_i \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i_p+1 \leq i \leq k} v'_i \right) \otimes (v''_1 \dots v''_{i_1}) \boxplus \dots \boxplus (v''_{i_p+1} \dots v''_k) \\ & \otimes \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq i_1} v''_i \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i_p+1 \leq i \leq k} v''_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p < k} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq i_1} v'_i \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i_p+1 \leq i \leq k} v'_i \right) \otimes (v'''_1 \dots v'''_{i_1}) \boxplus \dots \boxplus (v'''_{i_p+1} \dots v'''_k) \otimes v''_1 \dots v''_k, \end{aligned}$$

as  $V$  is commutative. By the cocommutativity of  $\delta_V$ ,

$$(\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(v_1 \dots v_k) = (\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta(v_1 \dots v_k),$$

so  $(T(V), \boxplus, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  is a double bialgebra over  $V$ .  $\square$

## 2.2 Universal property of quasishuffle bialgebras

Let us recall the definition of connectivity for bialgebras:

*Notations 2.1.* 1. Let  $(B, m, \Delta)$  be a bialgebra, of unit  $1_B$  and of counit  $\varepsilon_\Delta$ . For any  $x \in \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ , we put

$$\tilde{\Delta}(x) = \Delta(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x.$$

Then  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is a coassociative coproduct on  $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ . Its iterations will be denoted by  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(n)} : \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)^{\otimes(n+1)}$ , inductively defined by

$$\tilde{\Delta}^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \text{Id}_{\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (\tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \tilde{\Delta} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. The bialgebra  $(B, m, \Delta)$  is connected if

$$\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Ker}(\tilde{\Delta}^{(n)}).$$

3. If  $(B, m, \Delta)$  is a connected bialgebra, we put, for  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$B_{\leq n} = \mathbb{K}1_B \oplus \text{Ker}(\tilde{\Delta}^{(n)}).$$

As  $B$  is a connected, this is a filtration of  $B$ , known as the coradical filtration [1, 15]. Moreover, for any  $n \geq 1$ , because of the coassociativity of  $\tilde{\Delta}$ ,

$$\tilde{\Delta}(B_{\leq n}) \subseteq B_{\leq n-1}^{\otimes 2}.$$

In the case of bialgebras over a bialgebra  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$ , the connectedness is sometimes automatic:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $(V, \cdot, \Delta)$  be a nonunitary bialgebra. For any  $n \geq 1$ , we put*

$$V^n = \text{Vect}(v_1 \cdot \dots \cdot v_n, v_1, \dots, v_n \in V).$$

*If  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} V^n = (0)$ , then any bialgebra over  $V$  is a connected bialgebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  be a bialgebra over  $V$  and let  $x \in \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ . We put

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i \otimes v_i.$$

Let us denote by  $W$  the vector space generated by the elements  $v_i$ . By definition, this is a finite-dimensional vector space and  $\rho(x) \in B \otimes W$ . As  $W$  is finite-dimensional, the decreasing sequence of vector spaces  $(W \cap V^n)_{n \geq 1}$  is stationary, so there exists  $N \geq 1$  such that if  $n \geq N$ ,  $W \cap V^n = W \cap V^N$ . Therefore

$$W \cap V^{\cdot N} = W \cap \bigcap_{n \geq 1} V^n = (0).$$

Moreover,

$$\underbrace{m_{1,3,\dots,2N-1,24\dots 2N} \circ \rho^{\otimes N} \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(N-1)}(x)}_{\in B^{\otimes N} \otimes V^{\cdot N}} = \underbrace{(\tilde{\Delta}^{(N-1)} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x)}_{\in B^{\otimes N} \otimes W}.$$

As  $V^{\cdot N} \cap W = (0)$ ,  $(\tilde{\Delta}^{(N-1)} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) = 0$ . Then

$$(\text{Id}^{\otimes N} \otimes \varepsilon_V) \circ (\tilde{\Delta}^{(N-1)} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) = \tilde{\Delta}^{(N-1)}(x) = 0.$$

So  $(B, m, \Delta)$  is connected. □

*Example 2.1.* 1. If  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  is the bialgebra of the semigroup  $(\mathbb{N}_{>0}, +)$ , then  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} V^n = (0)$ .

We recover the classical result that any  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded bialgebra  $B$  such that  $B_0 = \mathbb{K}1_B$  is connected. This also works for algebras of semigroups  $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , for example.

2. This does not hold if  $V$  is unitary, as then  $V^n = V$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $V$  be a nonunitary, commutative bialgebra and let  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  be a connected bialgebra over  $V$ . For any character  $\lambda$  of  $B$ , there exists a unique morphism  $\phi$  from  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  to  $(T(V), \boxplus, \Delta, \rho)$  of bialgebras over  $V$  such that  $\varepsilon_\delta \circ \phi = \lambda$ . Moreover, for any  $x \in \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ ,*

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{((\lambda \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho)^{\otimes n} \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)}(x)}_{\in V^{\otimes n}}. \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* Let us first prove that for any  $\lambda \in V^*$  such that  $\lambda(1_B) = 1$ , there exists a unique coalgebra morphism  $\phi : (B, \Delta, \rho) \longrightarrow (T(V), \Delta, \rho)$  of coalgebras over  $V$  such that  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi = \lambda$ .

*Existence.* Let  $\phi : B \longrightarrow \text{QSh}(V)$  defined by (1) and by  $\phi(1_B) = 1$ . By connectivity of  $B$ , (1) makes perfectly sense. Let us prove that  $\phi$  is a coalgebra morphism. As  $\phi(1_B) = 1$ , it is enough to prove that for any  $x \in \text{Ker}(\epsilon_\Delta)$ ,  $\tilde{\Delta} \circ \phi(x) = (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x)$ . We shall use Sweedler's notation  $\tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)}(x) = x^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x^{(n)}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Delta} \circ \phi(x) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(x_0^{(1)}) \dots \lambda(x_0^{(n)}) \tilde{\Delta}(x_1^{(1)} \dots x_1^{(n)}) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda(x_0^{(1)}) \dots \lambda(x_0^{(n)}) x_1^{(1)} \dots x_1^{(i)} \otimes x_1^{(i+1)} \dots x_1^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \lambda(x_0^{(1)(1)}) \dots \lambda(x_0^{(1)(i)}) \lambda(x_0^{(2)(1)}) \dots \lambda(x_0^{(2)(j)}) x_1^{(1)(1)} \dots x_1^{(1)(i)} \otimes x_1^{(2)(1)} \dots x_1^{(2)(j)} \\
&= (\phi \otimes \phi)(x^{(1)} \otimes x^{(2)}) \\
&= (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi = \lambda$ . If  $x = 1_B$ , then  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi(1_B) = \epsilon_\delta(1) = 1 = \lambda(1_B)$ . If  $x \in \text{Ker}(\epsilon_\Delta)$ , as  $\epsilon_\delta(V^{\otimes n}) = (0)$  for any  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi(x) = \epsilon_\delta \circ (\lambda \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(0)}(x) + 0 = \lambda((\text{Id} \otimes \epsilon_\delta) \circ \rho(x)) = \lambda(x).$$

Let us prove that  $\phi$  is a comodule morphism. If  $x = 1_B$ , then

$$\rho \circ \phi(1_B) = 1 \otimes 1 = (\phi \otimes \text{Id})(1_B \otimes 1) = (\phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(1_B).$$

Let us assume that  $x \in \text{Ker}(\epsilon_\Delta)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) &= \phi(x_0) \otimes x_1 \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(x_0^{(1)}) \dots \lambda(x_0^{(n)}) (x_0)_1^{(1)} \dots (x_0)_1^{(n)} \otimes x_1 \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(x_{00}^{(1)}) \dots \lambda(x_{00}^{(n)}) x_{01}^{(1)} \dots x_{01}^{(n)} \otimes x_1^{(1)} \dots x_1^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(x_0^{(1)}) \dots \lambda(x_0^{(n)}) x_1^{(1)} \dots x_1^{(n)} \otimes x_2^{(1)} \dots x_2^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(x_0^{(1)}) \dots \lambda(x_0^{(n)}) \rho(x_1^{(1)} \dots x_1^{(n)}) \\
&= \rho \circ \phi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

*Uniqueness.* Let  $\psi : (B, \Delta, \rho) \longrightarrow (T(V), \Delta, \rho)$  such that  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \psi = \lambda$ . As 1 is the unique group-like element of  $\text{QSh}(V)$ , necessarily  $\psi(1_B) = 1 = \phi(1_B)$ . It is now enough to prove that  $\psi(x) = \phi(x)$  for any  $x \in \text{Ker}(\epsilon_\Delta)$ . We assume that  $x \in B_{\leq n}$  and we proceed by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 0$ , there is nothing to prove. Let us assume that  $n \geq 1$ . As  $\tilde{\Delta}(x) \in B_{\leq n-1}^{\otimes 2}$ , by the induction hypothesis,

$$\tilde{\Delta} \circ \psi(x) = (\psi \otimes \psi) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x) = (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x) = \tilde{\Delta} \circ \phi(x),$$

so  $\psi(x) - \phi(x) \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\Delta}) = V$ . We put  $\psi(x) - \phi(x) = v \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
v &= (\epsilon_V \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta_V(v) \\
&= (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(v) \\
&= (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho \circ \phi(x) - (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho \circ \psi(x) \\
&= (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\phi \otimes \text{Id})(x) - (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\psi \otimes \text{Id})(x) \\
&= (\lambda \otimes \text{Id})(x) - (\lambda \otimes \text{Id})(x) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So  $\psi(x) = \phi(x)$ .

Let us now consider a character  $\lambda$ . As  $\lambda(1_B) = 1$ , we already proved that there exists a unique coalgebra morphism  $\phi : (B, \Delta, \rho) \longrightarrow (T(V), \Delta, \rho)$  such that  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi = \lambda$ . Let us prove that it is an algebra morphism. We consider the two morphisms  $\phi_1 = \boxplus \circ (\phi \otimes \phi)$  and  $\phi_2 : \phi \circ m$ , both from  $B \otimes B$  to  $\text{QSh}(V)$ . As  $\phi$ ,  $\boxplus$  and  $m$  are both comodule and coalgebra morphisms,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are comodule and coalgebra morphisms. Moreover,  $B \otimes B$  is connected and, as  $\epsilon_\delta$  is a character of  $(T(V), \boxplus)$  and  $\lambda$  is a character of  $(B, m)$ ,

$$\epsilon_\delta \circ \boxplus \circ (\phi \otimes \phi) = (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \epsilon_\delta) \circ (\phi \otimes \phi) = \lambda \otimes \lambda = \lambda \otimes m = \epsilon_\delta \circ \phi \circ m.$$

So  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi_1 = \epsilon_\delta \circ \phi_2$ . By the *uniqueness* part,  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** 1. The double bialgebras  $\text{QSh}(\mathbb{K}) = (T(\mathbb{K}), \boxplus, \Delta, \delta)$  and  $(\mathbb{K}[X], m, \Delta, \delta)$  are isomorphic, through the map

$$\Upsilon : \begin{cases} \text{QSh}(\mathbb{K}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}[X] \\ \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n & \longrightarrow & \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n H_n(X), \end{cases}$$

where  $H_n$  is the  $n$ -th Hilbert polynomial

$$H_n(X) = \frac{X(X-1)\dots(X-n+1)}{n!}.$$

2. Let  $V$  be a nonunitary, commutative and cocommutative bialgebra. The following map is a morphism of double bialgebras:

$$\Upsilon_V : \begin{cases} \text{QSh}(V) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}[X] \\ v_1 \dots v_n & \longrightarrow & \epsilon_V(v_1) \dots \epsilon_V(v_n) H_n(X). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* 1. In order to simplify the reading of the proof, the element  $1 \in \mathbb{K} \subseteq \text{QSh}(\mathbb{K})$  is denoted by  $x$ . We apply Theorem 2.3 with  $B = \mathbb{K}[X]$ , with its usual product  $m$  and coproducts  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$ . with the character  $\epsilon_\delta$  of  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ , which sends any polynomial  $P$  on  $P(1)$ . Let us denote by  $\phi$  the following morphism. Then  $\phi(X) = \epsilon_\delta(X)x = x$ . By multiplicativity, for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\phi(X^n) = x^{\boxplus n} = n!x^n + \text{a linear span of } x^k \text{ with } k < n.$$

By triangularity,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. Let us denote by  $\Upsilon$  the inverse isomorphism, and let us prove that  $\Upsilon(x^n) = H_n(X)$  for any  $n$  by induction on  $n$ . This obvious if  $n = 0$  or  $1$ . Let us assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Let us prove that for any  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $\Upsilon(x^n)(k) = 0$  by induction on  $k$ . As  $\epsilon_\Delta \circ \Upsilon = \epsilon_\Delta$ ,

$$\Upsilon(x^n)(0) = \epsilon_\Delta \circ \Upsilon(x^n) = \epsilon_\Delta(x^n) = 0.$$

If  $k \geq 1$ , as  $\Upsilon$  is a coalgebra morphism,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon(x^n)(k) &= \Upsilon(x^n)(k-1+1) \\
&= \Delta \circ \Upsilon(x^n)(k-1, 1) \\
&= (\Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon) \circ \Delta(x^n)(k-1, k) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \Upsilon(x^l)(k-1) \Upsilon(x^{n-l})(1) \\
&= \Upsilon(x^n)(k-1) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \Upsilon_l(k-1) \Upsilon_{n-l}(1) + \Upsilon(x^n)(1) \\
&= \Upsilon(x^n)(1),
\end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypotheses on  $k$  and  $n$ . As  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi = \epsilon_\delta$ , we obtain that  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \Upsilon = \epsilon_\delta$ ,

$$\Upsilon(x^n)(1) = \epsilon_\delta \circ \Upsilon(x^n) = \epsilon_\delta(x^n) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\Upsilon(x^n)$  is a multiple of  $X(X-1)\dots(X-n+1)$ . By triangularity of  $\phi$ , we obtain that

$$\Upsilon(x^n) = \frac{X^n}{n!} + \text{terms of degree } < n.$$

Consequently,  $\Upsilon(x^n) = H_n(X)$ .

2. The counit  $\epsilon_V : V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is a bialgebra morphism. By functoriality, we obtain a double bialgebra morphism from  $\text{QSh}(V)$  to  $\text{QSh}(\mathbb{K})$ , which sends  $v_1 \dots v_n \in V^{\otimes n}$  to  $\epsilon_V(v_1) \dots \epsilon_V(v_n) x^n$ . Composing with the isomorphism of the preceding item, we obtain  $\Upsilon_V$ .  $\square$

As any bialgebra is trivially a bialgebra over  $\mathbb{K}$ , we immediately obtain:

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $(B, m, \Delta)$  be a connected bialgebra and let  $\lambda$  be a character of  $B$ . There exists a unique bialgebra morphism  $\phi : (B, m, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}[X], m, \Delta)$  such that for any  $x \in B$ ,  $\phi(x)(1) = \lambda(x)$ . For any  $x \in \text{Ker}(\epsilon_\Delta)$ ,*

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{\otimes n} \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)}(x) H_n(X).$$

When  $V$  is the bialgebra of the semigroup  $(\mathbb{N}_{>0}, +)$ , we recover Aguiar, Bergeron and Sottile's result [2], with Proposition 2.2:

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $(B, m, \Delta)$  be a graded bialgebra with  $B_0 = \mathbb{K}1_B$  and let  $\lambda$  be a character of  $B$ . There exists a unique bialgebra morphism  $\phi : (B, m, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathbf{QSym}, \boxplus, \Delta)$  such that  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi = \lambda$ .*

### 2.3 Double bialgebra morphisms

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $V$  be a nonunitary, commutative and cocommutative bialgebra, and let  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  be a connected double bialgebra over  $V$ . There exists a unique morphism  $\phi$  from  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  to  $(T(V), \boxplus, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  of double bialgebras over  $V$ . For any  $x \in \text{Ker}(\epsilon_\Delta)$ ,*

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{((\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho)^{\otimes n} \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)}}_{\in V^{\otimes n}}(x).$$

*Proof. Uniqueness:* such a morphism is a morphism  $\phi$  from  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  to  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  with  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi = \epsilon_\delta$ . By Theorem 2.3, it is unique.

*Existence:* let  $\phi : (B, m, \Delta, \rho) \longrightarrow (B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  be the (unique) morphism such that  $\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi = \epsilon_\delta$ . Let us prove that for any  $x \in B_{\leq n}$ ,  $\delta \circ \phi(x) = (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \delta(x)$  by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 0$ , we can assume that  $x = 1_B$ . Then

$$\delta \circ \phi(1_B) = (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \delta(1_B) = 1 \otimes 1.$$

Let us assume the result at all ranks  $< n$ , with  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $x \in \text{Ker}(\epsilon_\Delta)$ . As  $(\epsilon_\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta(x) = \epsilon_\Delta(x)1$ ,  $\delta(x) \in \text{Ker}(\epsilon_\Delta) \otimes B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Delta} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta \circ \phi(x) &= m_{1,3,24} \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ \tilde{\Delta} \circ \phi(x) \\ &= m_{1,3,24} \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x) \\ &= m_{1,3,24} \circ (\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x) \\ &= (\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi) \circ m_{1,3,24} \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x) \\ &= (\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \phi) \circ (\tilde{\Delta} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta(x) \\ &= (\tilde{\Delta} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x). \end{aligned}$$

We used the induction hypothesis on the both sides of the tensors appearing in  $\tilde{\Delta}(x)$  for the third equality. We deduce that  $(\delta \circ \phi - \phi \otimes \phi) \circ \delta(x) \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\Delta} \otimes \text{Id}) = V \otimes T(V)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta \circ \phi(x) &= (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho \circ \phi(x) \\ &= (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x), \\ (\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \delta(x) &= (\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\phi \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \phi) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta(x) \\ &= (\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta(x) \\ &= (\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $y = (\delta \circ \phi - \phi \otimes \phi) \circ \delta(x) \in V \otimes T(V)$ , we proved that

$$(\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id})(y) = ((\delta \circ \phi - (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \delta) \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x).$$

As  $y \in V \otimes T(V)$ ,

$$\rho \otimes \text{Id}(y) = \delta_V \otimes \text{Id}(y).$$

Consequently,

$$(\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id})(y) = (\epsilon_V \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\delta_V \otimes \text{Id})(y) = y.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id})(y) &= (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\delta \circ \phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) \\ &\quad - (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (((\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \delta) \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) \\ &= (\phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) - (((\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi) \otimes \phi) \circ \delta) \otimes \text{Id} \circ \rho(x) \\ &= (\phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) - ((\epsilon_\delta \otimes \phi) \circ \delta) \otimes \text{Id} \circ \rho(x) \\ &= (\phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) - (\phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(x) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $y = 0$ , so  $\delta \circ \phi(x) = (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \delta(x)$ . □

Applying to  $V = \mathbb{K}$  or  $V = \mathbb{K}(> 0, +)$ :

**Corollary 2.8.** 1. Let  $(B, m, \Delta)$  be a connected double bialgebra. There exists a unique double bialgebra morphism  $\phi$  from  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  to  $(\mathbb{K}[X], m, \Delta, \delta)$ . For any  $x \in \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ ,

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_\delta^{\otimes n} \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)}(x) H_n(X).$$

2. Let  $(B, m, \Delta)$  be a graded, connected double bialgebra, such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\delta(B_n) \subseteq B_n \otimes B.$$

There exists a unique homogeneous double bialgebra morphism  $\phi$  from  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  to  $(\mathbf{QSym}, \boxplus, \Delta, \delta)$ . For any  $x \in \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ ,

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1} \epsilon_\delta^{\otimes n} \circ (\pi_{k_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{k_n}) \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)}(x)(k_1, \dots, k_n).$$

3. Let  $\Omega$  be a commutative monoid and let  $(B, m, \Delta)$  be a connected  $\Omega$ -graded double bialgebra, connected as a coalgebra, such that for any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ ,

$$\delta(B_\alpha) \subseteq B_\alpha \otimes B.$$

There exists a unique homogeneous double bialgebra morphism  $\phi$  from  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  to  $\text{QSh}(\mathbb{K}\Omega)$ . For any  $x \in \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\Delta)$ ,

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega} \epsilon_\delta^{\otimes n} \circ (\pi_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{\alpha_n}) \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)}(x)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

As an application, let us give a generalization of Hoffman's isomorphism between shuffle and quasishuffle algebras [10, 11]:

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $(V, \cdot)$  be a nonunitary, commutative algebra. The following map is a Hopf algebra isomorphism:

$$\Theta_V : \begin{cases} \text{Sh}(V) = (T(V), \boxplus, \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \text{QSh}(V) = (T(V), \boxplus, \Delta) \\ w & \longrightarrow & \sum_{\substack{w=w_1 \dots w_k \\ w_1, \dots, w_k \neq \emptyset}} \frac{1}{\ell(w_1)! \dots \ell(w_k)!} |w_1| \dots |w_k|, \end{cases}$$

where for any word  $w$ ,  $|w|$  is the product in  $V$  of its letters, and  $\ell(w)$  its length.

*Proof.* We first prove this result when  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  is a commutative, cocommutative, counitary bialgebra, of counit  $\epsilon_V$ . First, observe that  $(T(V), \boxplus, \Delta, \rho)$  is a bialgebra over  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$  and that the following map is a character of  $(T(V), \boxplus)$ : for any word  $w = x_1 \dots x_k$ ,

$$\lambda(w) = \frac{1}{k!} \epsilon_V(x_1) \dots \epsilon_V(x_k).$$

By the universal property of the quasishuffle algebra, there exists a unique Hopf algebra morphism  $\Theta_V : (T(V), \boxplus, \Delta) \longrightarrow (T(V), \boxplus, \Delta)$  such that  $\epsilon \circ \Theta_V = \lambda$ . For any word  $w = v_1 \dots v_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho(w) &= \lambda(v'_1 \dots v'_k) v''_1 \dots v''_k \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \epsilon_V(v'_1) \dots \epsilon_V(v'_k) v''_1 \dots v''_k \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} v_1 \dots v_k \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell(w)!} |w|. \end{aligned}$$



Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_V(w) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ((\lambda \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho)^{\otimes k} \circ \tilde{\Delta}^{(k-1)}(w) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{w=w_1 \dots w_k, \\ w_1, \dots, w_k \neq \emptyset}} ((\lambda \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho)^{\otimes k}(w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{w=w_1 \dots w_k, \\ w_1, \dots, w_k \neq \emptyset}} \frac{1}{\ell(w_1)! \dots \ell(w_k)!} |w_1| \dots |w_k|.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider an commutative algebra  $(V, \cdot)$ . Let  $(S(V), m, \Delta)$  be the symmetric algebra generated by  $V$ , with its usual product and coproduct. Applying the first item to  $S(V)$ , we obtain a Hopf algebra morphism  $\Theta_{S(V)} : (T(S(V)), \sqcup, \Delta) \rightarrow (T(S(V)), \boxplus, \Delta)$ . By restriction, we obtain a Hopf algebra morphism  $\Theta_{S_+(V)} : (T(S_+(V)), \sqcup, \Delta) \rightarrow (T(S_+(V)), \boxplus, \Delta)$ . The canonical algebra morphism  $\pi : S_+(V) \rightarrow V$ , sending  $v_1 \dots v_k$  to  $v_1 \cdot \dots \cdot v_k$  (which exists as  $V$  is commutative), induces a surjective morphism  $\varpi : T(S_+(V)) \rightarrow T(V)$ , which is obviously a Hopf algebra morphism from  $(T(S_+(V)), \sqcup, \Delta)$  to  $(T(V), \sqcup, \Delta)$  and from  $(T(S_+(V)), \boxplus, \Delta)$  to  $(T(V), \boxplus, \Delta)$ . Moreover, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(T(S_+(V)), \sqcup, \Delta) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{S_+(V)}} & (T(S_+(V)), \boxplus, \Delta) \\
\varpi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varpi \\
(T(V), \sqcup, \Delta) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_V} & (T(V), \boxplus, \Delta)
\end{array}$$

As the vertical arrows are surjective Hopf algebra morphisms and the top horizontal arrow is also a Hopf algebra morphism, the bottom horizontal arrow is also a Hopf algebra morphism. For any word  $w$ ,  $\Theta_V(w) - w$  is a linear span of words of length  $< \ell(w)$ . By a triangularity argument,  $\Theta_V$  is bijective.  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* Using the same argument as in [10], it is not difficult to prove that for any nonempty word  $w \in T(V)$ ,

$$\Theta_V^{-1}(w) = \sum_{\substack{w=w_1 \dots w_k, \\ w_1, \dots, w_k \neq \emptyset}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell(w)+k}}{\ell(w_1) \dots \ell(w_k)} |w_1| \dots |w_k|.$$

It is immediate to show that  $\Theta$  is a natural transformation from the functor  $\text{Sh}$  to the functor  $\text{QSh}$ , that is to say, if  $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$  is a morphism between two commutative non unitary algebras, then  $T(\alpha) \circ \Theta_V = \Theta_W \circ T(\alpha)$ , as Hopf algebra morphisms from  $\text{Sh}(V)$  to  $\text{QSh}(W)$ . Let us prove a unicity result:

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $\Upsilon$  be a natural transformation from the functor  $\text{Sh}$  to the functor  $\text{QSh}$  (functors from the category of commutative nonunitary algebras to the category of Hopf algebras). There exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $\Upsilon = \Theta \circ \Phi^{(\mu)}$ , where  $\Phi^{(\mu)}$  is the natural transformation from  $\text{Sh}$  to  $\text{Sh}$  defined for any commutative nonunitary algebra  $V$  by*

$$\forall v_1, \dots, v_n \in V, \quad \Phi_V^{(\mu)}(v_1 \dots v_n) = \mu^n v_1 \dots v_n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Upsilon$  be a natural transformation from  $\text{Sh}$  to  $\text{QSh}$ . For any commutative nonunitary algebra  $V$ , let us denote by  $\pi_V : T(V) \rightarrow V$  the canonical projection on  $V$  and let us put  $\varpi_V = \pi_V \circ \Upsilon_V$ . As  $\Upsilon_V$  is an endomorphism of the cofree coalgebra  $(T(V), \Delta)$ , for any nonempty word  $w \in T(V)$ ,

$$\Upsilon_V(w) = \sum_{\substack{w=w_1 \dots w_k, \\ w_1, \dots, w_k \neq \emptyset}} \varpi_V(w_1) \dots \varpi_V(w_k). \quad (2)$$

Let  $V$  be the augmentation ideal of  $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . We consider  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$  and the endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $V$  defined by  $\alpha(x_i) = \lambda_i X_i$ . By naturality of  $\Upsilon$ ,

$$\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \Upsilon_V(X_1 \dots X_n) = \Upsilon_V \circ T(\alpha)(X_1 \dots X_n) = T(\alpha) \circ \Upsilon_V(X_1 \dots X_n).$$

Applying  $\pi_V$ , we obtain

$$\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n \varpi_V(X_1 \dots X_n) = \alpha \circ \varpi_V(X_1 \dots X_n).$$

Therefore, there exists  $\mu_n \in \mathbb{K}$  such that

$$\varpi_V(X_1 \dots X_n) = \mu_n X_1 \dots X_n.$$

Let  $W$  be any nonunitary commutative algebra,  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  and let  $\beta : V \rightarrow W$  be the morphism defined by  $\beta(X_i) = v_i$ . By naturality of  $\Upsilon$ ,

$$T(\beta) \circ \Upsilon_V(X_1 \dots X_n) = \Upsilon_W \circ T(\beta)(X_1 \dots X_n).$$

Applying  $\pi_W$ , we obtain

$$\beta \circ \varpi_V(X_1 \dots X_n) = \beta(\mu_n X_1 \dots X_n) = \mu_n v_1 \dots v_n = \varpi_W(v_1 \dots v_n).$$

We proved the existence of a family of scalars  $(\mu_n)_{n \geq 0}$  such that for any commutative nonunitary algebra  $V$ , for any  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ ,  $\varpi_V(v_1 \dots v_n) = \mu_n v_1 \dots v_n$ .

Let us study this sequence  $(\mu_n)_{n \geq 0}$ . Let  $V$  be the augmentation ideal of  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ . For any  $k, l \geq 1$ , as  $\Upsilon_V$  is an algebra morphism from  $\text{Sh}(V)$  to  $\text{QSh}(V)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_V(X^{\otimes k} \sqcup X^{\otimes l}) &= \frac{(k+l)!}{k!l!} \varpi \left( X^{\otimes(k+l)} \right) \\ &= \frac{(k+l)!}{k!l!} \mu_{k+l} X^{k+l}, \\ &= \pi_V \left( \Upsilon_V \left( X^{\otimes k} \sqcup X^{\otimes l} \right) \right) \\ &= \pi_V \left( \Upsilon_V \left( X^{\otimes k} \right) \sqcup \Upsilon_V \left( X^{\otimes l} \right) \right) \\ &= \varpi_V \left( X^{\otimes k} \right) \cdot \varpi_V \left( X^{\otimes l} \right) \\ &= \mu_k \mu_l X^{k+l}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(k+l)! \mu_{k+l} = k! \mu_k l! \mu_l$ . This implies that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu_k = \frac{\mu^k}{k!}$ , with  $\mu = \mu_1$ . Therefore, by (2), for any nonunitary commutative algebra, for any nonempty word  $w \in T(V)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_V(w) &= \sum_{\substack{w=w_1 \dots w_k, \\ w_1, \dots, w_k \neq \emptyset}} \frac{\mu^{\ell(w_1) + \dots + \ell(w_k)}}{\ell(w_1)! \dots \ell(w_k)!} |w_1| \dots |w_k| \\ &= \mu^{\ell(w)} \sum_{\substack{w=w_1 \dots w_k, \\ w_1, \dots, w_k \neq \emptyset}} \frac{1}{\ell(w_1)! \dots \ell(w_k)!} |w_1| \dots |w_k| \\ &= \Theta_V \circ \Phi_V^{(\mu)}(w). \end{aligned}$$

In other words,  $\Upsilon = \Theta \circ \Phi^{(\mu)}$ . □

*Remark 2.2.* For any  $\mu \in \mathbb{K}$ , for any commutative nonunitary algebra  $V$   $\Phi_V^{(\mu)}$  is indeed a Hopf algebra endomorphism of  $\text{Sh}(V)$ , as  $\text{Sh}(V)$  is graded by the length of words.

## 2.4 Action on bialgebra morphisms

We here fix a bialgebra  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$ , nonunitary, commutative and cocommutative.

*Notations 2.2.* 1. Let  $(B, m, \Delta)$  and  $(B', m', \Delta')$  be bialgebras. We denote by  $M_{B \rightarrow B'}$  the set of bialgebra morphisms from  $(B, m, \Delta)$  to  $(B', m', \Delta')$ .

2. Let  $(B, m, \Delta, \rho)$  and  $(B', m', \Delta', \rho')$  be bialgebras over  $V$ . We denote by  $M_{B \rightarrow B'}^\rho$  the set of morphisms of bialgebra over  $V$  from  $B$  to  $B'$ , that is to say morphisms both of bialgebras and of comodules over  $V$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  be a double bialgebra over  $V$  and  $(B', m', \Delta', \rho')$  be a bialgebra over  $V$ . The following map is a right action of the monoid of characters  $(\text{Char}(B), \star)$  attached to  $(B, m, \delta)$  on  $M_{B \rightarrow B'}^\rho$ ,*

$$\leftarrow : \begin{cases} M_{B \rightarrow B'}^\rho \times \text{Char}(B) & \longrightarrow M_{B \rightarrow B'}^\rho \\ (\phi, \lambda) & \longrightarrow \phi \leftarrow \lambda = (\phi \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $(\phi, \lambda) \in M_{B \rightarrow B'}^\rho \times \text{Char}(B)$ . Let us prove that  $\psi = (\phi \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta$  is a bialgebra morphism. As  $\phi, \lambda$  and  $\delta$  are algebra morphisms, by composition  $\psi$  is an algebra morphism.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta' \circ \psi &= \Delta' \circ (\phi \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta \\ &= (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta \\ &= (\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \lambda) \circ (\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta \\ &= (\phi \otimes \phi \otimes \lambda) \circ m_{1,3,24} \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta \\ &= (\phi \otimes \lambda \otimes \phi \otimes \lambda) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta \\ &= (\psi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

We used that  $\lambda$  is a character for the fifth equality. Moreover,

$$\varepsilon'_\Delta \circ \Psi = (\varepsilon'_\Delta \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta = \lambda \circ \eta \circ \varepsilon_\Delta = \varepsilon_\Delta,$$

as  $\lambda(1_B) = 1$  so  $\lambda \circ \eta = \text{Id}_\mathbb{K}$ . So  $\psi \in M_{B \rightarrow B'}$ . Let us now prove that  $\psi$  is a comodule morphism. As  $\rho' \circ \phi = (\phi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho' \circ \psi &= \rho' \circ (\phi \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta \\ &= (\phi \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \lambda) \circ (\rho \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta \\ &= (\phi \otimes \text{Id} \otimes \lambda) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes c) \circ (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho \\ &= (\phi \otimes \lambda \otimes \text{Id}) \circ (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho \\ &= (\psi \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \rho. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\psi \in M_{B \rightarrow B'}^\rho$ .

Let  $\phi \in M_{B \rightarrow B'}^\rho$ ,  $\lambda, \mu \in \text{Char}(B)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi \leftarrow \lambda) \leftarrow \mu &= (\phi \otimes \lambda \otimes \mu) \circ (\delta \otimes \text{Id}) \circ \delta \\ &= (\phi \otimes \lambda \otimes \mu) \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \delta) \circ \delta \\ &= (\phi \otimes \lambda \star \mu) \circ \delta \\ &= \phi \leftarrow (\lambda \star \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\phi \leftarrow \varepsilon_\delta = (\phi \otimes \varepsilon_\delta) \circ \delta = \phi.$$

Therefore,  $\leftarrow$  is an action. □

Moreover, any bialgebra morphism is compatible with these actions:

**Proposition 2.12.** *Let  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  be a double bialgebra over  $V$  and  $B'$  and  $B''$  be bialgebras over  $V$ . For any morphisms  $\phi : B \rightarrow B'$  and  $\psi : B' \rightarrow B''$  of bialgebras over  $V$ , for any character  $\lambda$  of  $B$ ,*

$$(\psi \circ \phi) \leftarrow \lambda = \psi \circ (\phi \leftarrow \lambda).$$

*Proof.* Indeed,

$$(\psi \circ \phi) \leftarrow \lambda = ((\psi \circ \phi) \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta = \psi \circ (\phi \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta = \psi \circ (\phi \leftarrow \lambda). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta, \rho)$  be a connected double bialgebra over  $V$ . Let us denote by  $\phi_1 : B \rightarrow \text{QSh}(V)$  the unique morphism of double bialgebras of Theorem 2.7. The following maps are bijections, inverse one from the other:*

$$\theta : \begin{cases} \text{Char}(B) & \rightarrow & M_{B \rightarrow \text{QSh}(V)}^\rho \\ \lambda & \rightarrow & \phi_1 \leftarrow \lambda, \end{cases} \quad \theta' : \begin{cases} M_{B \rightarrow \text{QSh}(V)}^\rho & \rightarrow & \text{Char}(B) \\ \phi & \rightarrow & \epsilon_\delta \circ \phi. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in M_{B \rightarrow \text{QSh}(V)}^\rho$ . We put  $\phi' = \theta \circ \theta'$  and  $\lambda = \epsilon_\delta \circ \phi$ . Then

$$\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi' = \epsilon_\delta \circ (\phi_1 \leftarrow \lambda) = (\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi_1) \star \lambda = \epsilon_\delta \star \lambda = \lambda = \epsilon_\delta \circ \phi.$$

By the uniqueness in Theorem 2.3,  $\phi = \phi'$ .

Let  $\lambda \in \text{Char}(B)$  and let  $\lambda' = \theta' \circ \theta(\lambda)$ . Then

$$\lambda' = \epsilon_\delta \circ (\phi_1 \leftarrow \lambda) = (\epsilon_\delta \circ \phi_1 \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta = (\epsilon_\delta \otimes \lambda) \circ \delta = \epsilon_\delta \star \lambda = \lambda.$$

So  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  are bijections, inverse one from the other.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.14.** 1. *Let  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  be a connected double bialgebra. Let us denote by  $\phi_1$  the unique morphism of double bialgebras from  $B$  to  $\mathbb{K}[X]$  of Theorem 2.7. The following maps are bijections, inverse one from the other:*

$$\theta : \begin{cases} \text{Char}(B) & \rightarrow & M_{B \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]} \\ \lambda & \rightarrow & \phi_1 \leftarrow \lambda, \end{cases} \quad \theta' : \begin{cases} M_{B \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]} & \rightarrow & \text{Char}(B) \\ \phi & \rightarrow & \epsilon_\delta \circ \phi. \end{cases}$$

2. *Let  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  be a connected, graded double bialgebra such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\delta(B_n) \subseteq B_n \otimes B.$$

*Let us denote by  $\phi_1$  the unique homogeneous morphism of double bialgebras from  $B$  to  $\mathbf{QSym}$  of Theorem 2.7. We denote by  $M_{B \rightarrow \mathbf{QSym}}^0$  the set of bialgebra morphisms from  $(B, m, \Delta)$  to  $(\mathbf{QSym}, \boxplus, \Delta)$  which are homogeneous of degree 0. The following maps are bijections, inverse one from the other:*

$$\theta : \begin{cases} \text{Char}(B) & \rightarrow & M_{B \rightarrow \mathbf{QSym}}^0 \\ \lambda & \rightarrow & \phi_1 \leftarrow \lambda, \end{cases} \quad \theta' : \begin{cases} M_{B \rightarrow \mathbf{QSym}}^0 & \rightarrow & \text{Char}(B) \\ \phi & \rightarrow & \epsilon_\delta \circ \phi. \end{cases}$$

3. *Let  $\Omega$  be a commutative monoid and let  $(B, m, \Delta, \delta)$  be a connected,  $\Omega$ -graded double bialgebra, connected as a coalgebra, such that for any  $\alpha \in \Omega$ ,*

$$\delta(B_\alpha) \subseteq B_\alpha \otimes B.$$

*Let us denote by  $\phi_1$  the unique homogeneous morphism of double bialgebras from  $B$  to  $\text{QSh}(\mathbb{K}\Omega)$  of Theorem 2.7. We denote by  $M_{B \rightarrow \text{QSh}(\mathbb{K}\Omega)}^0$  the set of bialgebra morphisms from  $(B, m, \Delta)$  to  $\text{QSh}(\mathbb{K}\Omega)$  which are homogeneous of degree the unit of  $\Omega$ . The following maps are bijections, inverse one from the other:*

$$\theta : \begin{cases} \text{Char}(B) & \rightarrow & M_{B \rightarrow \text{QSh}(\mathbb{K}\Omega)}^0 \\ \lambda & \rightarrow & \phi_1 \leftarrow \lambda, \end{cases} \quad \theta' : \begin{cases} M_{B \rightarrow \text{QSh}(\mathbb{K}\Omega)}^0 & \rightarrow & \text{Char}(B) \\ \phi & \rightarrow & \epsilon_\delta \circ \phi. \end{cases}$$

## 2.5 Applications to graphs

We postpone the detailed construction of the double bialgebras of  $V$ -decorated graphs to a forthcoming paper [7]. For any nonunitary commutative bialgebra  $(V, \cdot, \delta_V)$ , we obtain a double bialgebra over  $V$  of  $V$ -decorated graphs  $\mathcal{H}_V[\mathbf{G}]$ , generated by graphs  $G$  which any vertex  $v$  is decorated by an element  $d_G(v)$ , with conditions of linearity in each vertex. For example, if  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in V$  and  $\lambda_2, \lambda_4 \in \mathbb{K}$ , if  $w_1 = v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2$  and  $w_2 = v_3 + \lambda_4 v_4$ ,

$$\mathfrak{!}_{w_1}^{w_2} = \mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_3} + \lambda_4 \mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_4} + \lambda_2 \mathfrak{!}_{v_2}^{v_3} + \lambda_2 \lambda_4 \mathfrak{!}_{v_2}^{v_4}.$$

The product is given by the disjoint union of graphs, the decorations being untouched. For any graph  $G$ , for any  $X \subseteq V(G)$ , we denote by  $G|_X$  the graph defined by

$$G|_X = X, \quad E(G|_X) = \{\{x, y\} \in E(G) \mid x, y \in X\}.$$

Then

$$\Delta(G) = \sum_{V(G)=A \sqcup B} G|_A \otimes G|_B,$$

the decorations being untouched. For any equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $V(G)$ :

- $G/\sim$  is the graph defined by

$$V(G/\sim) = V(G)/\sim, \quad E(G/\sim) = \{\{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\} \mid \{x, y\} \in E(G), \bar{x} \neq \bar{y}\},$$

where for any  $z \in V(G)$ ,  $\bar{z}$  is its class in  $V(G)/\sim$ .

- $G|\sim$  is the graph defined by

$$V(G|\sim) = V(G), \quad E(G|\sim) = \{\{x, y\} \in E(G) \mid x \sim y\}.$$

- We shall say that  $\sim \in \mathcal{E}_c[G]$  if for any equivalence class  $X$  of  $\sim$ ,  $G|_X$  is connected.

With these notations, the second coproduct  $\delta$  is given by

$$\delta(G) = \sum_{\sim \in \mathcal{E}_c[G]} G/\sim \otimes G|\sim.$$

Any vertex  $w \in V(G/\sim) = V(G)/\sim$  is decorated by

$$\prod_{v \in w} d_G(v)',$$

where the symbol  $\prod$  means that the product is taken in  $V$  (recall that any vertex of  $V(G/\sim)$  is a subset of  $V(G)$ ). Any vertex  $v \in V(G|\sim) = V(G)$  is decorated by  $d_G(v)''$ . We use Sweedler's notation  $\delta_V(v) = v' \otimes v''$ , and it is implicit that in the expression of  $\delta(G)$ , everything is developed by multilinearity in the vertices. For example, if  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_2}) &= \mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_3} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_3} + \mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_2} \otimes \cdot v_3 + \mathfrak{!}_{v_2}^{v_3} \otimes \cdot v_1 + \cdot v_1 \cdot v_3 \otimes \cdot v_2 \\ &\quad + \cdot v_3 \otimes \mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_2} + \cdot v_1 \otimes \mathfrak{!}_{v_2}^{v_3} + \cdot v_2 \otimes \cdot v_1 \cdot v_3, \\ \delta(\mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_2}) &= \mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_3'} \otimes \cdot v_1'' \cdot v_2'' \cdot v_3'' + \cdot v_1' \cdot v_2' \cdot v_3' \otimes \mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_3''} + \mathfrak{!}_{v_1' \cdot v_2'}^{v_3''} \otimes \mathfrak{!}_{v_1}^{v_2''} \cdot v_3'' + \mathfrak{!}_{v_1' \cdot v_2'}^{v_3''} \otimes \mathfrak{!}_{v_2}^{v_3''} \cdot v_1''. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $V$ -decorated graph,

$$\epsilon_\delta(G) = \begin{cases} \prod_{v \in V(G)} \epsilon_V(d_G(v)) & \text{if } E(G) = \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.15.** *For any graph  $G$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  the set of packed valid colourations of  $G$ , that is to say surjective maps  $c : V[G] \rightarrow [\max(f)]$  such that for any  $\{x, y\} \in E(g)$ ,  $c(x) \neq c(y)$ . We denote by  $\Phi_1$  the unique morphism of double bialgebras over  $V$  from  $\mathcal{H}_V[\mathbf{G}]$  to  $\mathbf{QSh}(V)$ . For any  $V$ -decorated graph  $G$ ,*

$$\Phi_1(G) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \left( \prod_{c(x)=1} d_V(x), \dots, \prod_{c(x)=\max(c)} d_V(x) \right),$$

where for any vertex  $x \in V(G)$ ,  $d_V(x) \in V$  is its decoration.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a  $V$ -decorated graph. For any vertex  $i$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $v_i \in V$  the decoration of  $i$ . The number of vertices of  $G$  is denoted by  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(G) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{V(G)=I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_k, \\ I_1, \dots, I_k \neq \emptyset}} \epsilon_\delta(G|_{I_1}) \dots \epsilon_\delta(G|_{I_k}) \left( \prod_{i \in I_1} v_i, \dots, \prod_{i \in I_k} v_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{c: V[G] \rightarrow [k], \text{ surjective}} \epsilon_\delta(G|_{c^{-1}(1)}) \dots \epsilon_\delta(G|_{c^{-1}(k)}) \left( \prod_{c(x)=1} d_V(x), \dots, \prod_{c(x)=k} d_V(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \left( \prod_{c(x)=1} d_V(x), \dots, \prod_{c(x)=\max(c)} d_V(x) \right), \end{aligned}$$

as for any surjective map  $c : V[G] \rightarrow [\max(f)]$ ,

$$\epsilon_\delta(G|_{c^{-1}(1)}) \dots \epsilon_\delta(G|_{c^{-1}(k)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c \in \mathcal{C}(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \square$$

*Example 2.2.* For any  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(\mathbf{\uparrow}_{v_1}^{v_2}) &= v_1 v_2 + v_2 v_1, \\ \Phi_1(\mathbf{\uparrow}_{v_1}^{v_2, v_3}) &= v_1 v_2 v_3 + v_1 v_3 v_2 + v_2 v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 v_1 + v_3 v_1 v_2 + v_3 v_2 v_1 + (v_1 \cdot v_3) v_2 + v_2 (v_1 \cdot v_3), \\ \Phi_1(\mathbf{\nabla}_{v_1}^{v_2, v_3}) &= v_1 v_2 v_3 + v_1 v_3 v_2 + v_2 v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3 v_1 + v_3 v_1 v_2 + v_3 v_2 v_1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $V = \mathbb{K}$ , we obtain the double bialgebra morphism  $\phi_{chr} : \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{G}] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]$ , sending any graph on its chromatic polynomial. If  $V$  is the algebra of the semigroup  $(> 0, +)$ , we obtain the morphism  $\Phi_{chr} : \mathcal{H}_V[\mathbf{G}] \rightarrow \mathbf{QSym}$ , sending any graphs which vertices are decorated by positive integers to its chromatic (quasi)symmetric function [13].

## References

- [1] Eiichi Abe, *Hopf algebras*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 74, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1980, Translated from the Japanese by Hisae Kinoshita and Hiroko Tanaka.
- [2] Marcelo Aguiar, Nantel Bergeron, and Frank Sottile, *Combinatorial Hopf algebras and generalized Dehn-Sommerville relations*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 1, 1–30.
- [3] Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard, Frédéric Fauvet, and Dominique Manchon, *A comodule-bialgebra structure for word-series substitution and mould composition*, J. Algebra **489** (2017), 552–581.

- [4] Loïc Foissy, *Commutative and non-commutative bialgebras of quasi-posets and applications to Ehrhart polynomials*, Adv. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (2019), no. 1, 27–63.
- [5] ———, *Chromatic polynomials and bialgebras of graphs*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra **30** (2021), 116–167.
- [6] ———, *Bialgebras in cointeraction, the antipode and the eulerian idempotent*, arXiv:2201.11974, 2022.
- [7] ———, *Contractions and extractions on twisted bialgebras and coloured Fock functors*, in preparation, 2022.
- [8] Israel M. Gelfand, Daniel Krob, Alain Lascoux, Bernard Leclerc, Vladimir S. Retakh, and Jean-Yves Thibon, *Noncommutative symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. **112** (1995), no. 2, 218–348.
- [9] Michiel Hazewinkel, *Symmetric functions, noncommutative symmetric functions and quasi-symmetric functions. II*, Acta Appl. Math. **85** (2005), no. 1-3, 319–340.
- [10] Michael E. Hoffman, *Quasi-shuffle products*, J. Algebr. Comb. **11** (2000), no. 1, 49–68.
- [11] ———, *Quasi-shuffle algebras and applications*, Algebraic combinatorics, resurgence, moulds and applications (CARMA). Volume 2, Berlin: European Mathematical Society (EMS), 2020, pp. 327–348.
- [12] Claudia Malvenuto and Christophe Reutenauer, *A self paired Hopf algebra on double posets and a Littlewood-Richardson rule*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **118** (2011), no. 4, 1322–1333.
- [13] Mercedes H. Rosas, *MacMahon symmetric functions, the partition lattice, and Young subgroups*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **96** (2001), no. 2, 326–340.
- [14] Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
- [15] Moss E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.